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The University of Richmond Law Review is pleased to present its 2017 Symposium Issue, National Security in the Information Age: Are We Heading Toward Big Brother? Each year, the Law Review endeavors to further the debate among scholars, policymakers, students, and the larger community on critical legal issues facing the world today. The discussion this year centered on the emerging role of data and metadata in national security.

In Haig v. Agee, the United States Supreme Court held there is no government interest "more compelling than the Security of the nation." In 2002, the United States acknowledged that the new millennium transformed our country’s security landscape in three main ways: technological advancements, weapons of mass destruction, and attacks by non-state actors. The primary question, then, becomes how does the government ensure security in an age of unconventional and digital warfare. As continued terrorist attacks in the United States and abroad show, this is no small feat.

George Orwell’s novel, 1984, provided inspiration for this issue. In 1984, the governing party maintained absolute control of public documents, changed them at will, and kept a watchful eye on citizens through monitors in their homes. While that level of intrusion is unlikely to occur, it is important for citizens to be on the lookout for public encroachment on our rights. The 2017 Symposium Issue addresses the technological advancements that dominate the privacy and security debate.

The Law Review hosted a number of leading scholars and practitioners at the University of Richmond School of Law on October 28, 2016. The speakers discussed three issues relating to data in the national security context.

The first subject was the collection of data. The speakers sought to answer, or at least shed more light on, how data is collected, how new technology affects government surveillance, and to what extent government collects data from its own citizens.

Second, the symposium discussed the retention and destruction of collected data. Specifically asking where the government keeps our data, how long does the government keep our data, and what restrictions exist for the destruction of collected data.

The third issue was the use of data in the courtroom. This part posed the following questions: Can, or should, citizens enforce cybersecurity through civil suits; what role does the private sector play in reasonable security protections of confidential information; and how does the use of confidential information impact trial and the attorney-client relationship.

Last, we were honored to have Thomas J. Ridge deliver the keynote address of the symposium. Mr. Ridge was the first Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security and served under President George Bush from 2003 to 2005. A transcript of his speech begins this issue, providing a stimulating preface. Ridge notes that we, as citizens, abhor government collection of our personal data, but as consumers, freely give the same information to companies like Google, Apple, Facebook, and many others. We thank him for his contribution.

In addition to speaking at the Law Review Symposium, our speakers authored the following scholarship. We would like to thank Professor William C. Banks of Syracuse Law School, Jake Laperruque of the Constitution Project, Professor and Law Librarian Douglas Cox of CUNY Law School, Professor Jeffrey Addicott of St. Mary’s Law School, and Paul Gill (L’90) of the Federal Public Defender’s Office for the Eastern District of Virginia for their hard work and dedication to contributing to the marketplace of ideas. We congratulate two students, Devin Adams and Justin Hill, for their hard work in producing their student comments.

When it comes to hosting a symposium, many hands make light work. The contributions of the University of Richmond School of Law’s administration, faculty, and alumni greatly contributed to its overall success. We would like to thank Professor Paul D. Crane and Douglas A. Ramseur (L’96) for aiding the Law Review as moderators for the various panels of the Symposium. The Law Review would also like to thank Emily Cherry for her
work in publicizing our event, which sold out in two weeks. We thank Dean Kristine Henderson’s assistance in registering the symposium for Continuing Legal Education credit. Last, the Law Review would like to recognize Carl Hamm’s multimedia expertise. Links to watch the symposium are located on the Law Review website.

The Law Review would like to thank Dean Wendy Perdue for her leadership at the University of Richmond School of Law. Her commitment to excellence drives the Law Review to reach for new heights in academia. We also appreciate the guidance of Professors Carl Tobias and John Douglass.

The contributions of Glenice Coombs, our Legal Publication Coordinator, cannot be understated. Without Glenice, the Law Review would not be able to continue its many traditions, one of those being timely publication. Her insights and sharp wit are invaluable in the editing process and we thank her for her dedication and friendship.

The work of Sylvia Macon, Stephanie Serhan, Alexander Fraser, Kerrigan O’Malley, Micaylee Noreen, and Rachel DeGraba was indispensable in the editorial process. The efforts of this volume’s Editor-in-Chief, Rachel Willer, have been nothing short of astounding; under her leadership, the Law Review is as efficient as it has ever been. The members of this executive board surely have brilliant careers in their future.

On a personal note, I would like to thank my mother, Beth Anne McDaniel, for instilling values in me that will serve me well in my future endeavors. I learned from her passion, work ethic, attention to detail, and persistence in the face of adversity. I would also like to thank Jessica Kautzer for her patience and understanding.

The University of Richmond Law Review has confidence that you will enjoy the Volume 51 Symposium Issue: National Security in the Information Age: Are We Heading Toward Big Brother? We hope that this issue encourages you to enter the marketplace of ideas in this important discussion.

Alexander R. McDaniel
Symposium Editor, Volume 51