University of Richmond Law Review

Volume 41 | Issue 4

Article 1

5-1-2007

Issue 4: Symposium Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarship.richmond.edu/lawreview Part of the <u>Courts Commons</u>, <u>Judges Commons</u>, <u>State and Local Government Law Commons</u>, and the <u>Supreme Court of the United States Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Issue 4: Symposium Table of Contents, 41 U. Rich. L. Rev. (2007). Available at: https://scholarship.richmond.edu/lawreview/vol41/iss4/1

This Table of Contents is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Richmond Law Review by an authorized editor of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu.

UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND LAW REVIEW

VOLUME 41

May 2007

NUMBER 4

CONTENTS

ALLEN CHAIR SYMPOSIUM THE ROLE OF THE DEATH PENALTY IN AMERICA: REFLECTIONS, PERCEPTIONS, AND REFORM

ADDRESS

The Legal, Political, and Social Implications	
of the Death Penalty The Honorable William W. Wilkins	793

ARTICLES

The Challenge of Implementing Atkins v. Virginia: How Legislatures and Courts Can Promote Accurate Assessments and Adjudications	
of Mental Retardation in Death Penalty Cases Richard J. Bonnie Katherine Gustafson	811
Pay Now, Execute Later: Why Counties Should Be Required to Post a Bond to Seek the Death Penalty Adam M. Gershowitz	861
Improving Accuracy in Criminal Cases Erik Lillquist	897
Efforts To Improve The Illinois Capital Punishment System: Worth the Cost? Thomas P. Sullivan	935
An Uninvited Guest: The Federal Death Penalty and the Massachusetts Prosecution of Nurse	
Kristen GilbertJohn P. Cunningham	969

COMMENTS

The Meaning of Life (or Limb):	
An Originalist Proposal for	
Double Jeopardy ReformJustin W. Curtis	991

The Safe Harbor of 35 U.S.C. § 271(e)(1):	
The End of Enforceable Biotechnology	
Patents in Drug Discovery? Paul T. Nyffeler	1025
"Don't Ask, Don't Tell": Negligent Hiring	
Law in Virginia and the Necessity	
of Legislation to Protect Ex-Convicts	
from Employment Discrimination Nancy B. Sasser	1063

CORRECTION

Kathleen M. McCauley & Dana A. Dews, Annual Survey of Virginia Law: Medical Malpractice Law, 41 U. RICH. L. REV. 231, 233-34 (2006).

In the sentence beginning at the bottom of page 233 and continuing on the top of page 234, the phrase "within twenty-four hours" should read "more than twenty-four hours." The complete sentence should read as follows:

"The protected oral communications are limited to those made more than twenty-four hours after the medical incident at issue."

The University of Richmond Law Review apologizes for this mistake and any inconvenience that it might have caused. For the complete article, please see Kathleen M. McCauley & Dana A. Dews, Annual Survey of Virginia Law: Medical Malpractice Law, 41 U. RICH. L. REV. 231 (2006), in the November 2006 edition of the University of Richmond Law Review.