


2015

Cover Letter

Catherine Meade Gray
University of Richmond

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.richmond.edu/jolt>

 Part of the [Computer Law Commons](#), [Intellectual Property Law Commons](#), and the [Internet Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Catherine M. Gray, *Cover Letter*, 21 Rich. J.L. & Tech (2015).
Available at: <http://scholarship.richmond.edu/jolt/vol21/iss3/1>

This Introduction is brought to you for free and open access by UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Richmond Journal of Law and Technology by an authorized administrator of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu.



RICHMOND

Journal of Law & Technology

The first exclusively online law review.

Search

[Home](#) > Volume XXI Issue 3

Volume XXI Issue 3

(March 20, 2015)

Dear Readers,

The *Richmond Journal of Law and Technology* is proud to present its third issue of the Twenty-First Volume, our Annual Survey. This issue is published in conjunction with *JOLT's* Symposium, "Who's Looking At Your Mobile Device? Data Security, Personal Privacy, and Information Governance in the Wireless World." As one of the leading publications in the legal technology field, *JOLT* has the privilege of publishing articles that address topics at the forefront of the law. From the *Journal's* inception in 1995, *JOLT* has strived to be a step ahead of these laws in an effort to help shape the emerging legal landscape. Our Annual Survey seeks to discuss the complex, ever-changing nature of e-Discovery and information governance. Additionally, *JOLT* recognizes the practical benefit that many of its articles have on legal professionals and we publish articles with this goal in mind. The following articles embody this balance between innovation and practicality and we look forward to the discussions they evoke.

In our first article, "Kill the Dinosaurs, and Other Tips for Achieving Technical Competence in Your Law Practice," author Antigone Peyton discusses how old ways of thinking when it comes to technology leave attorneys at risk. The article acknowledges several 'dinosaur thoughts' still found in digital law practice today, and shows the reader why and how they must be changed. Peyton emphasizes the need for a focus on education; firms must become knowledgeable about the various security risks associated with technology in the legal practice, and take steps to limit those risks with new ways of thinking.

Author Philip J. Favro provides our second article, entitled "The New ESI Sanctions Framework Under the Proposed Rule 37(e) Amendments." The article first discusses the shortcomings of the current rules when dealing with electronically stored information before turning to the significance of the sanctions framework under the proposed changes to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. Favro considers whether those changes adequately address failures with ESI preservation, discussing the questions the amendments leave unanswered, and ultimately concludes that while they do so solve all ESI preservation issues, the proposed changes resolve many of the shortcomings found in the current Rules.

In our third article, "Beyond Technophobia: Lawyers' Ethical and Legal Obligations to Monitor Evolving Technology and Security Risks," author Timothy J. Toohey discusses the evolving security risks attorneys face by e-mail, the Internet, and cloud computing. The article argues that lawyers must reassess their duties of competence and confidentiality when it comes to technology, and develop a greater awareness of security threats. Toohey concludes that security and privacy are no longer matters for specialists, but must be understood and dealt with directly by attorneys.

Authors Michael Arnold and Dennis R. Kiker provide our fourth article, entitled "The Big Data Collection Problem of Little Mobile Devices." The article discusses the impact of ubiquitous mobile device usage and the case law emphasizing the relevance of information stored on these devices in litigation. The authors conclude by outlining the various methods for collecting mobile

data while staying within the reasonableness requirements, emphasizing that attorneys must be prepared to assess and evaluate new sources of information, from mobile devices to wearable technology, in preparing a case.

In our final article, “Wherever You Go, There You Are (With Your Mobile Device): Privacy Risks and Legal Complexities Associated with International ‘Bring Your Own Device’ Programs,” authors Melinda L. McLellan, James A. Sherer, and Emily R. Fedeles consider the implications of BYOD programs in an international setting. Using a hypothetical case study, the article draws out the risks associated with data traveling from country to country, transferring mobile carriers and being temporarily stored along the way. The authors conclude by suggesting several considerations for implementing and improving upon a BYOD program to protect against these risks.

On behalf of the entire 2014-2015 *JOLT* staff, I want to extend our sincerest thanks for your continued readership. I would like to thank each of our authors for the time and hard work they have put into these articles. I would also like to recognize and thank the *JOLT* Editorial Board and staff for their continued dedication and diligence in completing the Annual Survey and making the Symposium a success. As always, *JOLT* greatly appreciates the ongoing support from the University of Richmond School of Law and is especially grateful for the guidance of our faculty advisors, Dean Jim Gibson and Professor Chris Cotropia.

Sincerely,

Catherine Meade Gray

Annual Survey & Symposium Editor, Volume XXI

[7. Kill the Dinosaurs, and Other Tips for Achieving Technical Competence in Your Law Practice by Antigone Peyton](#)

[8. The New ESI Sanctions Framework Under the Proposed Rule 37\(e\) Amendments by Philip Favro](#)

[9. Beyond Technophobia: Lawyers’ Ethical and Legal Obligations to Monitor Evolving Technology and Security Risks by Timothy J. Toohey](#)

[10. The Big Data Collection Problem of Little Mobile Devices by Michael Arnold and Dennis R. Kiker](#)

[11. Wherever You Go, There You Are \(With Your Mobile Device\): Privacy Risks and Legal Complexities Associated with International ‘Bring Your Own Device’ Programs by Melinda L. McLellan, James A. Sherer, and Emily R. Fedeles](#)

Categories

[Article](#)

[Blog Posts](#)

[News](#)

[Symposium](#)

[Uncategorized](#)

Archives

[May 2016](#)

[April 2016](#)

March 2016

February 2016

January 2016

December 2015

November 2015

October 2015

September 2015

April 2015

March 2015

February 2015

January 2015

November 2014

October 2014

September 2014

August 2014

July 2014

June 2014

April 2014

March 2014

February 2014

January 2014

December 2013

November 2013

October 2013

September 2013

August 2013

June 2013

April 2013

March 2013

[February 2013](#)

[November 2012](#)

[October 2012](#)

[July 2012](#)

Meta

[Log in](#)

[Entries RSS](#)

[Comments RSS](#)

[WordPress.org](#)

Archives

Select Month 

Follow us on Twitter and Facebook!



[University of Richmond](#) / [University of Richmond School of Law](#) / [Richmond Gmail](#)

POWERED BY [TEMPERA](#) & [WORDPRESS](#).