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COVALENTLY NETWORKED MONOLAYER PROTECTED NANOPARTICLE FILMS
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Abstract

Covalently networked films of nanoparticles can be assembled on various substrates from functionalized monolayer protected clusters (MPCs) via ester coupling reactions. Exposure of a specifically modified substrate to alternating solutions of 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid exchanged and 11-mercaptoundecanol exchanged MPCs, in the presence of ester coupling reagents, 1,3-dicyclohexyl-carbodiimide and 4-dimethylaminopyridine, results in the formation of a multilayer film with ester bridges between individual nanoparticles. These films can be grown in a controlled manner to various thicknesses and exhibit certain properties that are consistent with films having other types of interparticle connectivity, including chemical vapor response behavior and quantized double layer charging. Ester coupling of MPCs into assembled films is a straightforward and highly versatile approach that results in robust films that can endure harsher chemical environments than other types of films. The stability of these covalent films is assessed and compared to other more traditional MPC film assemblies.
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Introduction

Research continues to expose the many characteristics and potential applications of nanoparticles. Specific nanoparticles called monolayer protected clusters (MPCs) have, in recent years, seen a great deal of attention due to their unique size-dependent properties and highly functional design – a metallic core surrounded with a peripheral layer of protective alkanethiols. These colloidal gold nanoparticles are known to exhibit exceptional stability, resistance to aggregation, and superior handling properties, including being easily dissolved and recollected from solvent. Additionally, MPCs can be functionalized via simple place exchange reactions that can incorporate various ω-substituted alkanethiols into the self-assembled monolayer surrounding their core (see Scheme I). This type of manipulation has facilitated the exploration of nanoparticle films and the methods of connecting MPCs into controlled assemblies with specific architecture.

Films of MPCs have been formed based on non-specific interparticle interactions as well as more elaborate networking schemes. Non-specific, dropcast films have been investigated by Murray and coworkers for electron transfer (ET) studies and have also been exploited by Wohltjen and Evans as chemical sensors. More elaborately connected nanoparticle films, including hydrogen bonded and dithiol-linked systems, have also been studied as chemically sensitive interfaces. Films linked with dithiol ligands represent some of the most stable nanoparticle films, exhibiting multiple linkages from each individual nanoparticle. Most work with
dithiol-linked MPC films is aimed at the immobilization of a single adlayer of MPCs to a substrate\textsuperscript{7,11} or the creation of a thick film via a one step exchange-cross linking-precipitation reaction.\textsuperscript{14} While extremely stable, these films lack a significant degree of control over film thickness during growth,\textsuperscript{7} as evidenced by the \(~ 100\) nm thick film formed by Murray et al. after a single exposure to the dithiol/MPC deposition solution.\textsuperscript{7} A significant amount of research has also been dedicated to MPCs networked into films via carboxylate–metal ion–carboxylate electrostatic bridges.\textsuperscript{14-16} Researchers in Murray’s group and others have had much success with such films, controlling their growth,\textsuperscript{17-18} and exploring their potential as both ET model systems\textsuperscript{19-21} and chemical vapor sensors.\textsuperscript{18,22}

In terms of their use as chemical sensors, current methods of MPC film assembly exhibit certain disadvantages: poor adhesion to substrates (dropcast films),\textsuperscript{5,6} instability in certain chemical environments (electrostatically bridged,\textsuperscript{14-16} hydrogen bonded, and dropcast films\textsuperscript{5,6}), difficult control over growth (dithiol linkages\textsuperscript{7-12} and dropcast films\textsuperscript{5,6}), and/or extensive time requirements for growth (some electrostatic bridged films).\textsuperscript{14-16} Dropcast films immediately degrade with exposure to MPC-friendly solvents, eventually losing adhesion to the substrate. Nanoparticle films networked with carboxylate-metal ion-carboxylate linkages are also problematic, despite their common usage.\textsuperscript{14-16} Most notably, they are sensitive to high ionic strength or low pH environments and can also require days to construct. Upon exposure to solvents, metal ion linkers can be leached out of this type of film\textsuperscript{5} eventually altering
film connectivity and compromising film integrity. Another complication with metal ion–linked films is the solubility of the carboxylic acid exchanged MPCs in solution. Their solubility in ethanol, a property intimately related to the number of carboxylic acid moieties on the periphery of the MPCs, has been shown to be a vital part of successful film growth. Of interest would be a more versatile, relatively facile method of nanoparticle film growth that is both highly controllable in terms of thickness and results in a more robust film than those previously described.

This paper describes a new method of covalently networking films of MPCs through ester-coupling reactions. Ester coupling has been used in the past to attach ligands onto the periphery of MPCs but not as the primary interparticle linking mechanism. These covalently-linked films exhibit similar properties to the traditional films described above, including ease of formation and controlled growth, but are also shown to be much more robust when exposed to a range of chemical environments.

**Experimental**

All solvents and reagents were used as received from the manufacturer. As reported by Goss *et al.*, glass slides were cut (2.5 cm x 1.0cm) and submerged in piranha solution (2:1 ratio of H2SO4 to 30% H2O2) for 15 minutes (piranha is dangerous, handle with care). Slides were then rinsed with copious amounts of purified water. Clean glass slides were immersed in a heated mixture of hydroxymethyltriethoxy silane (HMTES, Gelest), isopropanol, and purified water for
45 minutes. Slides were rinsed with propanol, dried with argon and cured in a 100°C oven for 1 hour.

Gold substrates were hand polished with successively smaller alumina pastes down to 0.05 µm particles, rinsed with purified water, and electrochemically cleaned in H₂SO₄, until well-defined voltammetry was achieved. The substrates were rinsed with purified water and ethanol, and dipped in a 5mM ethanolic solution of 11-mercaptoundecanoic acid (MUA, Aldrich) or 11-mercaptoundecanol (MUD, Aldrich) for 24 hours.

Hexanethiolate-coated MPCs were created using a modified Brust synthesis where tetraoctylammonium bromide is used to phase-exchange aqueous gold salt HAuCl₄ into toluene. Subsequently, a Au(I) polymer is formed through the addition of hexanethiol (C₆) in a 3:1 thiol to gold mole ratio. Addition of NaBH₄ to the solution at 0°C induces the formation of the MPCs with an average composition of Au₁₄₀C₆₅ and an approximate core diameter of 1.6 nm that were collected on a glass frit and subsequently rinsed with copious amounts of acetonitrile (MeCN).

 Previously described place-exchange reactions were carried out with the addition of either MUA or MUD to a solution of hexanethiolate MPCs in THF for ~72 hours. Samples were rotary evaporated and precipitated using MeCN, and subsequently recollected via filtration. Extensive cleaning of the material was performed to remove residual disulfides and thiols. The samples were washed thoroughly with massive amounts of MeCN (~ 400 mLs MeCN / 10 mgs of MPC).
After collection from the filter funnel, samples were repeatedly sonicated and decanted for five cycles with fresh MeCN before being dried under vacuum. The resulting MUA-modified and MUD-modified MPCs were then characterized with NMR, IR, and UV-VIS spectroscopy (see Supporting Material). The approximate peripheral composition of ligands surrounding the cores was determined from NMR analysis of the thiols and disulfides that are liberated upon oxidative decomposition of the nanoparticles with iodine.  

As shown in Scheme I, covalently networked MPC films were grown on modified gold substrates via ester coupling reactions derived from work by Steglich and Neises. Briefly, a gold substrate modified with a MUA self-assembled monolayer (SAM) was immersed in a chilled solution of dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂; 1 mg/mL) containing MUD-modified MPCs. Over five minutes, 3-4 mg of the ester coupling reagent 1,3-dicyclohexyl-carbodiimide (DCC) was added to the solution. The mixture was allowed to stir for one hour before the slide was removed, rinsed thoroughly with CH₂Cl₂ and dried under a stream of N₂. In most cases, the reaction was carried out in the presence of 2-3 mg of a catalyst, 4-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP), to further promote ester formation between the carboxylic acid terminal groups of the MUA-SAM and the peripheral hydroxyl groups of the MUD-modified MPC (step 1, Scheme I). 

To achieve multilayer film growth, the substrate, with attached MUD-modified MPCs (exposed hydroxyl groups), was immersed in a solution of MUA-
modified MPCs and ester coupling reagents (DCC and DMAP) in the same manner as described above to promote inter-nanoparticle ester bond formation, effectively growing the film to a greater thickness (step 2, Scheme I). This process was repeated with alternating exposure of the substrate to MUD-modified and MUA-modified MPC solutions to create a multi-layer film covalently networked with ester coupled linkages (see Scheme II).

Films grown on glass slides for spectroscopic measurements were grown in a similar manner with the exception of the glass first being modified with hydroxymethyltriethoxy silane (HMTES), requiring the first MPC exposure to be with MUA-modified MPCs.

Interdigitated array (IDA) electrodes consisting of both glass and gold surfaces were modified sequentially, first as a glass (silanized) and then as a gold substrate (SAM modified with MUD or MUA). Films with thicknesses estimated to be greater than the height of the IDA fingers were used for conductivity and chemical sensing measurements. As in previous reports, DC conductivity measurements were performed using linear sweep voltammetry between ± 200 mV at a scan rate of 100 mV/sec to generate current-potential responses which could be translated into electronic conductivities.

Film growth was monitored spectroscopically using a dual beam UV-VIS spectrophotometer (JASCO, V-560). Spectra were collected on films that, after an ester coupling dip cycle, were rinsed with copious amounts of CHCl via a squirt
bottle and immersed in pure solvent for several minutes before being dried in a stream of nitrogen. A silanized glass slide was employed as a reference for gathering the spectra. Evidence for the formation of interparticle ester coupling was supported with both NMR (Bruker) and IR (Nicolet) results (see Supporting Information). Differential pulse voltammetry was performed on a CH Instruments potentiostat using a gold working electrode, platinum counter electrode and silver quasi-reference electrode in argon-purged 0.1M tetrabutylammonium perchlorate solution in CHCl3.

Results and Discussion

Ester coupling reactions are very versatile and are well known for forming an ester bridge between amines or alcohols and ligands with carboxylic acid functionality. Ester coupling reactions have already been applied as a synthetic strategy to attach molecules to MPCs, including a variety of redox moieties. Here, we use ester coupling to tether nanoparticles to a substrate and subsequently grow a covalently networked film of MPCs. Following established procedures and applying them to our heterogeneous system, we are able to grow multi-layers of MPCs by forming ester bonds acting as interparticle bridges (See Scheme I and II).

Figure 1 tracks the growth of an ester coupled film on a glass slide using UV-Vis spectroscopy. Overall, the spectra are typical of nanoparticle film comprised of MPCs regardless of the linking mechanism within the film. In this case, as with electrostatically-linked, dithiol-linked, and dropcast MPC films, the observed
absorbance increases after each exposure to the MPC solutions, known as a dip cycle. As in previous studies,\textsuperscript{17,18,22} the surface concentration of MPCs, a common measure of relative thickness, have been predicted from the absorbance at 300 nm.\textsuperscript{34} Individual absorbance increases with each dip cycle, when translated into film thickness, clearly indicating multi-layer growth rather than a layer-by-layer assembly. Such growth, typical of other MPC assembled films,\textsuperscript{17,18,22} is not surprising considering the MPCs have multiple functional groups per cluster that are capable of forming an ester linkage with additional MPCs during a single dip cycle. Ester linkages under these conditions have been confirmed using \textsuperscript{1}H NMR and IR. Both techniques show spectroscopic evidence supporting the formation of an ester after the reaction has been carried out, while the same ester based signals are absent prior to the coupling reaction (see Supporting Information). The apparent multi-layer growth is at least partially enhanced in the spectra by additional non-specific interactions (i.e., hydrogen bonding) making contributions to the overall adsorption (discussed below).

Figure 2 tracks film growth, or absorbance at 300 nm, and estimated film thickness over time with each exposure to the MPC solutions (alternating between MUA-MPC and MUD-MPC with each dip cycle).\textsuperscript{35} The growth is sluggish at first and then abruptly increases after the fifth dip cycle. This growth pattern is both repeatable and consistent with previous studies of MPC films utilizing different linking mechanisms.\textsuperscript{17-22} It is thought that initial, anchoring layers of MPC are required before substantial and consistent growth is possible. The effect of adding the DMAP
catalyst is also shown (Figure 2) and clearly indicates a catalytic effect on promoting ester coupling between nanoparticles. Without catalyst, the reaction slows down considerably and absorbance measurements show only a modest increase over time. When the procedure is run without either DCC or DMAP as a control some residual growth due to non-specific interactions is observed. In spite of strong evidence of ester bond formation as the main film growth mechanism (see Supporting Materials), two other types of linkages, intermolecular hydrogen bonding and acid condensation to anhydride are also possible in these networks, albeit to a much lower degree. Hydrogen bonding between MUA-MPCs and MUD-MPCs is cited for the small observed growth without DCC or DMAP (see Figure 2). Films grown under these conditions disintegrated when immersed in a polar solvent, presumably due to the disruption of the hydrogen bonding. Likewise, films grown with excessive rinsing with a polar solvent after each exposure to MPCs displayed a noticeably slower growth rate (results not shown). On the other hand, films assembled with DCC and DMAP exhibited spectral evidence of ester formation and were much more resilient when exposed to the same environment.

It is important to establish that these covalently networked films possess the same characteristics and functional behavior as other, more traditionally-linked MPC films. One such property, quantized double layer (QDL) charging, is readily observed with other assembled MPC films because of the nanoparticle’s atto-farad capacitance. Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) applied to MPC films reveals
single electron transfers (SETs) in the form of sequential current peaks emanating from MPCs in the film. The peak spacing (ΔV) of the charging peaks is indicative of MPC core size, with smaller core sizes exhibiting larger peak spacing. Likewise, the potential (E) resulting in certain charged states of the MPCs adheres to the following relationship:

\[
E_p = E_{pzc} + \frac{Ze}{C_{CLU}}
\]  

Eqn. 1

where \(E_p\) is the peak potential of the core’s charge transformation, \(E_{pzc}\) is the potential at zero charge, \(Z\) is the charged state of the MPC, \(e\) is the electronic charge, and \(C_{CLU}\) is cluster capacitance. Thus, a plot of potential (E) versus cluster charge state (Z), known as a “Z plot,” yields a linear response where the slope can be used to determine cluster capacitance.39

Figure 3 shows the QDL charging behavior of the ester coupled films and the slope from corresponding “z-plot” (inset) which is used to determine the average capacitance of the MPCs comprising the film, as previously described.20-21 Individual peaks are marked with the specific redox reaction taking place at the indicated applied potential. Based on the peak spacing of the QDL charging for these covalent attached films, the average capacitance of MPCs in the film is 0.59 (±0.02) aF, corresponding to an average core diameter between 1.5 and 1.7 nm according to literature reports.39-40 Electrochemical results are in agreement with other specifically linked films, indicating that the ester coupled films are electronically connected and composed of the same narrow distribution of stable MPC cores. A dispersity of core
sizes within the film would have resulted in an averaged QDL charging signal with poorly resolved peaks, inconsistent peak spacing, and the appearance of peak shoulders/double apexes.

By studying the electron transfer (ET) properties of MPC films using electronic conductivity ($\sigma_{EL}$) measurements, information about film structure and connectivity can be ascertained. ET through these materials is believed to occur via an electron tunneling mechanism, indicated by a signature exponential decay in the conductivity over tunneling distance. In the case of MPC films, this distance can be represented by the number of methylene units occupying the space between nanoparticle cores. The relationship between conductivity and distance has been defined by the following equation:

$$\sigma_{EL}(n,T) = \sigma_o \exp[-n\beta_n]\exp[-Ea/RT]$$

Eqn. 2

where $n$ is the total number of carbons separating the cores, $\sigma_o$ is an infinite temperature electronic conductivity, $\beta_n$ is electronic coupling (decay factor), $Ea$ is the activation energy, $R$ is the gas constant, and $T$ is temperature. The decay factor is derived from the slope of an exponential plot of conductivity versus total carbons. The value of $\beta_n$ for ferrocene at a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) was determined to be 1.25 per carbon unit (C\textsuperscript{-1}), establishing a benchmark for electron tunneling through an adlayer of ordered, trans-staggered, alkane chains.

Conductivity through MPC assemblies can be measured by constructing a film across the fingers of an interdigitated array (IDA) electrode and measuring the current
that flows when a voltage is applied.\textsuperscript{22} Using this technique, Murray and coworkers were able to determine decay factors ($\beta$) of 1.2 C$^{-1}$ and 0.7 C$^{-1}$ for dropcast MPC films composed of Au$_{m_1}$($C_n$)$_{m_2}$ and Au$_{m_1}$($C_n$)$_{m_2}$, respectively. These values are consistent with the films being almost fully intercalated and, when the radial nature of the MPC structure is taken into account, agree nicely with the $\beta$, for SAMs.\textsuperscript{41} In a similar study,\textsuperscript{22} MPC films linked with carboxylate-metal ion-carboxylate electrostatic bridges and having sequentially varied chain lengths of linker (acid terminated thiolates) and non-linker (hexanethiolates) ligands were formed on IDAs. If ET occurred through the electrostatic bridge, conductivity would be sensitive to changes in the length of those linker ligands. The results of the study, however, showed that the film was much more responsive to changes in the length of the \textit{non-linker} ligand, exhibiting exponential distance dependence and a $\beta \sim 0.8$ C$^{-1}$ as the chainlength of that ligand was systematically altered. These results support that the MPCs remain almost completely intercalated in a compact and collapsed film structure.

It was hypothesized that the ester coupled linkage may make the films rigid with a more open architecture. If MPCs in the ester coupled films were networked into a stiffer format dictated by the length of the acid and alcohol ligands involved in ester coupling, the conductivity of such films would be highly dependent on the chain lengths of those ligands. Three different films were grown on IDA electrodes, each designed to have a significantly different number of methylene units involved in the ester linkage: (1) mercaptohexanol (MHOL) with mercatoundecanoic acid (MUA),
(2) mercaptoundecanol (MUD) with MUA, and (3) MUA with mercaptohexadecanoic acid (MHDA). Each combination yields 16, 21, and 26 methylene units involved in the ester bridge, respectively. With a difference of 5 methylene units in the linking bridge for each film, a substantial drop in conductivity was expected. The overall magnitude of the measured conductivity values, summarized in Figure 4, is consistent with prior reports on metal linked films where the MPC ligands are almost completely interdigitated. The observed differences in the electronic conductivity of the three systems (Figure 4), however, are minimal with only a small degree of exponential dependence through the ester coupled bridge observed. The calculated β of ~0.11 C is very low and strongly suggests that the predominant electron transfer pathway does not transverse the ester coupling bridge – a result that is consistent with these films, like the electrostatic films in previous studies," being collapsed and not held in a significantly more rigid fashion due to the ester bonded links."

An important feature of our covalently-linked MPC films is that they maintain the functionality demonstrated with earlier MPC films, especially for use as chemiresistive sensors. Previous MPC films used in this capacity exhibited a substantial drop in electronic conductivity (σe) when exposed to a variety of chemical vapors and solvents, a phenomenon attributed to the sorption of the vapor/liquid and subsequent swelling of the film that results in an increase in electron tunneling distance which, in turn, causes a decrease in conductivity.\(^1\) These covalently networked films behave likewise, showing similar results to chemical vapors ranging
in degrees of polarity (Figure 5). These results are achieved with covalently networked films that, unlike the metal linked films, are essentially void of the same levels of metal ions and are therefore not susceptible to problematic ion migration that stunts growth and eventually degrades film structure (see below) as metal leeches from the metal-linked system.\textsuperscript{19}

Ester coupled films are expected to be much more stable in certain chemical environments then their non-covalently networked counterparts. Stability experiments were performed on the covalent films and compared directly to both dropcast and electrostatically bridged MPC films (Table 1). UV-Vis spectroscopy was performed on MPC films with three different linking mechanisms: nonspecific drop cast films, electrostatic metal-carboxylic acid-linked films, and covalently networked ester coupled films. Each type of film was constructed on a glass slide and initial, starting spectra were recorded. Individual films were then exposed to a specific chemical environment, including immersion in solvent or exposure to a specific pH, for 15 minutes with agitation. After drying the films, spectra were again collected and compared directly to measurements before exposure. Any degradation in the film, meaning a loss of MPC material from the film due to the chemical environment, would be indicated by a decrease in absorbance. Several representative spectra are provided in Figure 6 and the collective results of the stability experiments are summarized in Table 1. The response of each film to the specific environments
was the same regardless of whether it was the film’s first exposure or if it had experienced other chemical conditions prior to that exposure.

Certain environments in the stability experiments showed substantial effects on the films, with > 90% degradation shown in bold in Table 1. As expected, drop cast films were somewhat stable toward more polar solvents and the entire pH range but experienced almost total destruction when exposed to more non-polar solvents in which the nanoparticles were soluble (Fig. 6A). On the other hand, metal-linked films were extremely stable toward all solvents tested (Fig. 6B) but showed extreme degradation in acidic environments capable of protonating the carboxylic acids linkers (Fig. 6C). By comparison, the ester coupled films were more stable under all the experimental conditions and did not suffer significant degradation in any case – emphasizing their higher stability in both non-polar solvents (Fig. 6D, bottom two spectra) and acidic environments (Fig. 6D, top two spectra), conditions that were clearly weaknesses of the other, more traditional, films. Thus, the new ester coupled films described are more resilient under all the chemical conditions tested.

Conclusions

We have reported an alternative linking method that can attach MPCs to substrates and to other MPCs through a covalent network of ester bonds. Heterogeneous ester coupling reactions using DCC and DMAP as a coupling reagent
and catalyst, respectively, were used to form linkages between MPCs with carboxylic acid ligands and MPCs with hydroxyl terminated ligands. The interparticle ester bridge formed by the reaction was verified spectroscopically and the film’s collective properties were examined and compared to other MPC films. This covalently networked film of MPCs represents one of the more stable and versatile iterations of assembled nanoparticle films as these materials maintain their unique properties and functionality even after being networked through ester coupling reactions. In addition to their ability to withstand low pH conditions which broadens their potential applications, the overall versatility of these films is derived from several other factors as well. The films presented here can be grown with relative ease and offer a high degree of control over film thickness as well as superior stability in certain chemical environments compared to other assembled nanoparticle films. Electrostatic carboxylate-metal linked films have only been grown from ethanol solutions, meaning that nanoparticles not soluble in that solvent, including both under-exchanged and over-exchanged MUA-MPCs, are useless for the purpose of film assembly. In light of this problem, significant care is required during exchange reactions to keep the MUA-MPCs soluble in ethanol. In contrast, the covalent linkage is less sensitive to the ethanol solubility of the exchanged MPCs. The presented method of assembly through ester coupling enables the use of more MPC-friendly chlorinated solvents (e.g., CHCl) for film growth, a significant advantage and simplification over other techniques. The versatility of the ester coupled films
also stems from the facile nature of the ester coupling reaction itself which allows for multi-functional MPCs to be linked together into films. This option is useful for novel applications where the properties of the MPC film are augmented with an additional functionality (e.g., ionophore, fluorophore, biospecific ligands). For example, MPCs bearing crown ether moieties have been recently explored by our laboratory and others as materials with potential for metal ion sensing in solution. Such an application clearly benefits from both the simplicity of the coupling reaction, allowing MPCs with linking and sensing ligands to be easily incorporated into films, and from the inherent pH resistance of the covalent film, a useful property for operation in liquid environments where one might want to detect metal ions. Similar covalently linked films can be envisioned using amide coupling as well. It is our hope that ester coupled MPC films will lend themselves to a variety of novel nanoparticle film sensing applications, including continued vapor innovations, metal ion detection, biosensor research.
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**Supplemental Materials**

NMR and IR spectroscopic evidence of ester linkages established with spectra taken before and after the coupling reaction. UV-Vis spectroscopy, transmission electron microscopy images and differential pulse voltammetry used to characterize core size and properties of the C6-MPC starting material.
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Captions

**Figure 1.** UV-Vis spectroscopy tracking the growth of a covalently linked MPC film after exposure to alternating solutions of MUD-MPC (- - -) and MUA-MPC
in the presence of ester coupling reagents (DCC and DMAP). Surface concentration of MPCs are estimated on the y axis as previously shown."

**Figure 2.** Representative UV-Vis spectroscopy monitoring film growth, absorbance at 300 nm, and estimated thickness on glass slides during ester coupling reactions. A dip cycle refers to sequential exposure of the slide to MUA-MPC and MUD-MPC solutions. Reaction progress is tracked in the presence of ester coupling and catalytic reagents (●), the absence of both reagents (▲), and when the reaction is run without catalyst (♦).

**Figure 3.** Differential pulse voltammetry showing cathodic quantized double layer (QDL) charging peaks of a covalently networked MUA/MUD MPC film immersed in a solution of CH₂Cl₂ with 0.1 M tetrabutyl ammonium perchlorate as a supporting electrolyte. Each peak represents a change in the charge state of the MPC cores comprising the films as they undergo single electron oxidation or reduction. Inset: The slope of the corresponding “Z-plot,” based on the peak spacing (ΔV) of the QDL signal, is used to determine the average capacitance of the nanoparticles in the films.

**Figure 4.** Plot of electronic conductivity (log σₑ) versus the average MPC core separation in terms of the number of methylene units in the ester coupled bridge. The beta value for the ester coupled systems was calculated from the slope of the curve and determined to be only 0.11 C⁻¹ only a very slight exponential
dependence on distance. Based on this result, ET within the film should occur through non-bonded interactions between nanoparticles, mean that the ester coupled films are intercalated and the film density is most likely not very different from other assembled MPC films.

Figure 5 Linear sweep voltammetry (100 mV/sec) of a covalently networked MPC film in the presence of various chemical vapors (saturated). As the film swells with solvent electron pathways are increased, thereby causing a decrease in conductivity (\(\sigma_n\)) indicated by a lower slope on the potential-current responses shown.\textsuperscript{a}

Figure 6. UV-Vis spectra from stability experiments before (---) and after (----) exposure to chemical environments: (A) drop cast film exposed to CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\); (B) metal-linked film exposed to CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\); (C) metal-linked films exposed to acidic pH conditions and; (D) ester-coupled films exposed to CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\)(x) or acidic pH conditions (●).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticle Film</th>
<th>Dropcast (non-specific)</th>
<th>Metal-Linked (electrostatic)</th>
<th>Ester Coupled (covalent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 1. Stability Results for Nanoparticle Films in Various Chemical Environments: Percent Degradation Based on UV-Vis Spectroscopy
**Solvents:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Value (± Error)</th>
<th>Value (± Error)</th>
<th>Value (± Error)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>92 (± 0.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 1 (± 0.3)</td>
<td>4 (± 2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrahydrofuran</td>
<td>92 (± 0.5)</td>
<td>&lt; 1 (± 0.4)</td>
<td>3 (± 0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloromethane</td>
<td>94 (± 0.8)</td>
<td>&lt; 1 (± 0.7)</td>
<td>2 (± 0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetone</td>
<td>8 (± 0.9)</td>
<td>&lt; 1 (± 0.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 1 (± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>2 (± 0.0)</td>
<td>1 (± 0.0)</td>
<td>2 (± 0.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acidity:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH Range</th>
<th>Value (± Error)</th>
<th>Value (± Error)</th>
<th>Value (± Error)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH range ~ 3-4</td>
<td>4 (± 0.5)</td>
<td>97 (± 0.4)</td>
<td>3 (± 1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH range ~ 7-8</td>
<td>2 (± 0.2)</td>
<td>3 (± 0.4)</td>
<td>4 (± 2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH range ~10-11</td>
<td>14 (± 0.6)</td>
<td>2 (± 0.3)</td>
<td>7 (± 1.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

· Based on absorbance at 350 nm; standard error shown in parentheses are the result of multiple films being tested in each case; variations in measurements due to positioning and repositioning of the film in the spectrometer were observed up to 3% meaning degradations of 3% or lower are essentially indicating no change in the film.

· Acidity experiments conducted in pH adjusted ethanol solutions.
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