

3-4-2009

Trimethylsilyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate- Accelerated Addition of Catalytically Generated Zinc Acetylides to Aldehydes

C. Wade Downey

University of Richmond, wdowney@richmond.edu

Brian D. Mahoney

Vincent R. Lipari

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.richmond.edu/chemistry-faculty-publications>

 Part of the [Organic Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Downey, C. Wade, Brian D. Mahoney, and Vincent R. Lipari. "Trimethylsilyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate- Accelerated Addition of Catalytically Generated Zinc Acetylides to Aldehydes." *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 74, no. 7 (March 4, 2009): 2904-906.
doi:10.1021/jo900102w.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu.


Trimethylsilyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate-Accelerated Addition of Catalytically Generated Zinc Acetylides to Aldehydes

C. Wade Downey,* Brian D. Mahoney, and Vincent R. Lipari

Gottwald Center for the Sciences, University of Richmond, Richmond, Virginia 23173

wdowney@richmond.edu

Received January 15, 2009




In the presence of TMSOTf, a wide variety of terminal acetylens add rapidly and efficiently to aldehydes via a catalytically generated zinc acetylide. In the absence of TMSOTf, no reaction is observed under otherwise identical conditions.

Metal-catalyzed addition of terminal acetylens to aldehydes¹ remains an active area of research because of the synthetic utility of the propargyl alcohol products.² Zinc catalysis in particular has been a fruitful field, as popularized by the development of an enantioselective system by Careira and co-workers.³ The frequent requirement for elevated temperatures in these reactions^{1c,d,3} and our own continued interest in silylation-induced reactivity⁴ attracted us to the possibility that trimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (TMSOTf) might accelerate these reactions. Herein, we report the results of our efforts in this area, which demonstrate that TMSOTf remains active as a silylating agent and/or Lewis acid even in the presence of a carbanion nucleophile.

At the outset of these experiments, our primary concern was that terminal silylation of the zinc acetylide might occur under our reaction conditions. Indeed, Shaw and Rahaim have very recently shown that zinc acetylides react with TMSOTf in excellent yield under reaction conditions very similar to those

we planned to employ (eq 1).⁵ Interestingly, we found that replacement of TMSOTf with TMSCl prevented acetylene silylation from occurring.



Accordingly, we began our investigation by studying the addition of phenylacetylene to benzaldehyde in the presence of ZnBr₂, *i*-Pr₂NEt, and TMSOTf in CH₂Cl₂ (Table 1, entry 1). Because ample precedent demonstrates that zinc acetylide

TABLE 1. Reaction Optimization

Reaction scheme for Table 1: Phenylacetylene (Ph-C≡C-H) reacts with an aldehyde (H-C(=O)-R) catalyzed by ZnBr₂ (20 mol%), *i*-Pr₂NEt, and TMSX in solvent^a to form a propargyl alcohol (Ph-C≡C-CH(OH)-R).

entry	R	X	solvent	temp	conv (%) ^b
1	Ph	Cl	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	<5
2	Ph	OTf	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	93
3	4-anisyl	OTf	CH ₂ Cl ₂	rt	65
4	Ph	OTf	THF	rt	72
5	Ph	OTf	CH ₃ CN	rt	<5
6	Ph	OTf	toluene	rt	61
7	Ph	OTf	Et ₂ O	rt	78
8	Ph	OTf	Et ₂ O	0 °C	73
9 ^c	Ph	OTf	Et ₂ O	rt	89
10 ^c	4-anisyl	OTf	Et ₂ O	rt	88

^a Standard reaction conditions: phenylacetylene (0.5 mmol), ZnBr₂ (0.1 mmol), *i*-Pr₂NEt (0.75 mmol), RCHO (1.0 mmol), TMSX (0.55 mmol), solvent (3 mL), 1 h. ^b Conversion determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified reaction mixture. ^c Modified stoichiometry for *i*-Pr₂NEt and TMSOTf: *i*-Pr₂NEt (1.0 mmol), TMSOTf (0.6 mmol).

formation occurs under these conditions,⁶ we were disappointed in the very low conversion observed. When TMSCl was replaced with TMSOTf, however, acetylene silylation was suppressed in favor of propargyl alcohol formation (entry 2). Unfortunately, side reactions hampered generality with respect to aldehyde under these conditions by reducing conversion to the desired product (entry 3).⁷ A simple change in medium to Et₂O provided a more reliable and general system (entry 7), and final adjustments in stoichiometry resulted in our optimized reaction conditions (entries 9 and 10).

Our working hypothesis regarding the reaction mechanism is outlined in Scheme 1.⁸ Formation of zinc acetylides by treatment of a terminal alkyne with ZnBr₂ and an amine base is well documented.^{3,6} Subsequently, addition of the acetylide to the aldehyde takes place, followed by silylation of the zinc alkoxide by TMSOTf, to release the product and regenerate the catalyst (Scheme 1A). In this reaction mechanism, TMSOTf

(1) For recent work, see the following. (a) Ti: Gao, G.; Wang, Q.; Yu, X.-Q.; Xie, R.-G.; Pu, L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 122–125. (b) In: Sakai, N.; Kanada, R.; Hirasawa, M.; Konokahara, T. *Tetrahedron* **2005**, *61*, 9298–9304. (c) Ag: Yao, X.; Li, C.-J. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4395–4398. (d) Cu: Asano, Y.; Hara, K.; Ito, H.; Sawamura, M. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3901–3904. (e) Zn: Cozzi, P. G.; Rudolph, J.; Bolm, C.; Norrby, P.-O.; Tomasi, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 5733–5736. For a Lewis base-catalyzed approach, see: (f) Lettan, R. B.; Scheidt, K. A. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 3227–3230.

(2) For the use of propargyl alcohols as building blocks in organic synthesis, see: (a) Marshall, J. A.; Bourbeau, M. P. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3197–3199. (b) Kabalka, G.; Wu, Z.; Ju, Y. *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 3929–3931. (c) Engel, D. A.; Dudley, G. B. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4027–4029.

(3) (a) Frantz, D. E.; Fassler, R.; Carreira, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2000**, *122*, 1806–1807. (b) Anand, N. K.; Carreira, E. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 9687–9688.

(4) (a) Downey, C. W.; Johnson, M. W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 3559–3562. (b) Downey, C. W.; Johnson, M. W.; Tracy, K. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 3299–3302.


(5) Rahaim, R. J., Jr.; Shaw, J. T. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 2912–2915.

(6) (a) Lee, K. Y.; Park, D. Y.; Kim, T. H.; Kim, J. N. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *26*, 1617–1619. (b) Leeuwenbergh, M. A.; Timmers, C. M.; van der Marel, B. A.; van Boom, J. H.; Mallet, J.-M.; Sinaÿ, P. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 6251–6254.

(7) Cannizzaro reaction appears to be competitive with the desired reaction for some substrates when CH₂Cl₂ is employed as solvent. For additions to benzaldehyde and anisaldehyde, ¹H NMR analysis of the unpurified reaction mixtures revealed the presence of benzyl alcohol and *p*-methoxybenzyl alcohol, respectively.




(8) Although the catalyst is represented as ZnBr₂ in these mechanistic schemes, it may be in equilibrium with the equally active Zn(OTf)₂ under these reaction conditions.

SCHEME 1. Proposed Mechanisms



serves to induce catalyst turnover. We are disinclined toward this mechanism at present because no product is observed in the absence of TMSOTf (vide infra), even with stoichiometric quantities of ZnBr_2 . Alternatively, preactivation of the aldehyde oxygen by the Lewis acidic TMSOTf would preclude the necessity for subsequent silylation of an alkoxide species (Scheme 1B). Furthermore, the ability of TMSOTf to activate the aldehyde toward nucleophilic attack may explain the remarkable rate acceleration observed. Indeed, this mechanism is analogous to our mechanistic hypothesis regarding the TMSOTf-induced one-pot enol silane formation–Mukaiyama aldol reaction previously reported by our laboratory.^{4a}

The control experiments illustrated below are in agreement with both variations of the proposed mechanisms and serve to illustrate the remarkable ability of TMSOTf to accelerate these reactions. As shown in eq 2, no reaction occurs in the absence of TMSOTf under otherwise optimized reaction conditions. Furthermore, we have eliminated the possibility that TMSOTf acts merely as an agent to transform ZnBr_2 into $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ by showing in eq 3 that $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ is also an ineffective catalyst in the absence of TMSOTf.⁹ We also considered the possibility that a silylated acetylide was acting as the nucleophile in these reactions, in a manner somewhat analogous to the Mukaiyama aldol reaction. In the event, however, we confirmed that the independently synthesized and purified silyl acetylide is inactive under our reaction conditions (eq 4). In summary, these experiments show that TMSOTf is necessary for reactivity but does not appear to react directly with either the acetylide or the zinc catalyst.



After the determination of optimized reaction conditions and preliminary verification of the reaction mechanism, we examined

TABLE 2. Addition of Phenylacetylene to Various Aldehydes^a

entry	RCHO	product	yield (%) ^b
1			95
2			86
3			92
4			89
5			93
6			89
7			85
8			90
9			94
10			92
11			88

^a See Supporting Information for experimental procedures, which vary by substrate. ^b Isolated yield after chromatography.

the scope of this reaction by performing a survey of various aldehydes (Table 2). Aromatic aldehydes are exceptional substrates for this reaction (entries 1–3), including electron-rich aromatic and heteroaromatic electrophiles (entries 4 and 5). The electron-poor *p*-nitrobenzaldehyde, however, was hampered by poor solubility in diethyl ether and also reacted poorly (<50% yield) in CH_2Cl_2 (not shown). Bulky naphthyl-substituted aldehydes (entries 6 and 7) and cinnamyl aldehydes (entries 8 and 9) are also excellent acceptors. Saturated aldehydes were more problematic as a result of competing aldol and enol silane formation reactions.¹⁰ Nonetheless, portionwise addition of aldehyde, *i*-Pr₂NEt, and TMSOTf allowed successful addition to α -branched aliphatic aldehydes in high yield (entries 10 and 11). The representative unbranched propionaldehyde failed to react efficiently (<50% conversion, not shown).

After the establishment of the aldehyde scope, we conducted a survey of a range of terminal acetylenes in order to more fully determine the reaction scope. The addition of various acetylenes to the representative electrophile benzaldehyde is summarized in Table 3. To our pleasure, both electron-rich and electron-

(9) When TMSOTf is present, $\text{Zn}(\text{OTf})_2$ reacts in a manner comparable to that of ZnBr_2 .

(10) Aldehyde-derived enol silane was detectable by ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the unpurified reaction mixture.

TABLE 3. Addition of Various Acetylenes to Benzaldehyde^a

entry	acetylene	product	yield (%) ^b
1		1	95
2		12	97
3		13	95
4		14	92
5		15	90
6		16	89
7		17	78

^a See Supporting Information for experimental procedures, which vary by substrate. ^b Isolated yield after chromatography.

TABLE 4. Addition of Various Acetylenes to Isobutyraldehyde^a

entry	acetylene	product	yield (%) ^b
1		11	88
2		18	96
3		19	94
4		20	94
5		21	59
6		22	72
7		23	80

^a See Supporting Information for experimental procedures, which vary by substrate. ^b Isolated yield after chromatography.

poor aromatic acetylenes reacted in exemplary yields (entries 1–4). Alkyl and trialkylsilyl acetylenes were also competent substrates, demonstrating the wide scope of reactivity under these conditions (entries 5 and 6). Ethyl propiolate also reacted competently to provide good yield of the γ -hydroxy ynoate product (entry 7).

We next targeted isobutyraldehyde for a survey of the addition of the same acetylenes to an aliphatic substrate (Table 4).

Because the aldehyde competitively converts to an enol silane under these reaction conditions, we anticipated generally lower yields. To our delight, however, aromatic-substituted alkynes again reacted in outstanding yields (entries 1–4). Reactivity with other alkynes did suffer somewhat (entries 5–7), but moderate to good yields were still obtained for this challenging substrate class.

In conclusion, TMSOTf remarkably accelerates the zinc-catalyzed addition of terminal acetylenes to aldehydes, without significant competing acetylene silylation. The TMSOTf may induce catalyst turnover by silylation of the nascent zinc alkoxide or may precomplex and activate the aldehyde electrophile. The reaction scope includes both aromatic and aliphatic acetylenes and aldehydes. We anticipate the extension of this general strategy to other zinc-catalyzed alkyne addition reactions, including stereoselective variants.

Experimental Section

Typical Procedure for TMSOTf-Accelerated Addition of Terminal Acetylenes to Aldehydes. Synthesis of Product 1.^{2c}

To an oven-dried round-bottomed flask under N₂ atmosphere was added ZnBr₂ (104 mg, 0.398 mmol). The flask was charged with Et₂O (12 mL), and then phenylacetylene (220 μ L, 205 mg, 2.00 mmol), diisopropylethylamine (700 μ L, 519 mg, 4.01 mmol), benzaldehyde (408 μ L, 426 mg, 4.01 mmol), and TMSOTf (432 μ L, 530 mg, 2.39 mmol) were added sequentially. The heterogeneous mixture was stirred for 1 h and then passed through a plug of silica (1.0 cm \times 3.0 cm) with Et₂O. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and then dissolved in THF (6 mL). The solution was stirred with 1.0 M HCl (3 mL) for 15 min, then diluted in Et₂O and washed with water (1 \times) and saturated NaHCO₃ (1 \times). The organic layer was diluted with hexanes (1:2 hexanes/Et₂O) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (5–20% EtOAc/hexanes) as a yellow oil (95% yield); IR (film) 3348, 1480, 1437, 1021, 955, 901, 750, 726, 683 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.65 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.53–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.32 (m, 4H) 5.73 (s, 1H), 2.28 (bs, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 140.7, 131.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 126.8, 122.5, 88.7, 86.7, 65.2; HRMS (ESI) exact mass calcd for C₁₅H₁₂ONa [M + Na]⁺ 231.0780, found 231.0790.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Thomas F. Jeffress and Kate Miller Jeffress Memorial Trust and Research Corporation for funding. B.D.M. acknowledges the University of Richmond College of Arts & Sciences for a Gottwald fellowship and the University of Richmond Department of Chemistry for a Puryear-Topham fellowship. We thank Dr. Timothy Smith for assistance with mass spectral data.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, compound characterization data, and spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

JO900102W