

University of Richmond UR Scholarship Repository

Law Faculty Publications

School of Law

2009

Brownfields Development: From Individual Sites to Smart Growth

Joel B. Eisen

University of Richmond, jeisen@richmond.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.richmond.edu/law-faculty-publications

Part of the Environmental Law Commons

Recommended Citation

Joel B. Eisen, *Brownfields Development: From Individual Sites to Smart Growth*, in Agenda For a Sustainable America (John C. Dernbach, ed., Environmental Law Institute, 2009).

This Book Chapter is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Law at UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Law Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu.

ginable America

rs have produced one of those rare to the corporate, governmental, and for classroom use in undergraduate

dies, and Ie University

ointing performance of the e at the 1992 United Nations nent, "Agenda" tells us where we ctor by sector, what is needed to by extension, the world community.

e depth, scholarly detail, and richness ner for what must be done to preserve ney will inherit.

le America could not be better timed. is book constitutes a worthy and r this time of great change.

AGENDA FOR A SUSTAINABLE AMERICA

John C. Dernbach, Editor

UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND

JAN 20 2010

LAW LIBRARY

ELI PRESS Environmental Law Institute Washington, D.C.



Mixed Sources

Product group from well-managed forests, controlled sources and recycled wood or fiber

www.fsc.org Cert no. SW-COC-002483 © 1996 Forest Stewardship Council

For Tess, Becky,

Copyright © 2009 Environmental Law Institute 2000 L Street NW, Washington, DC 20036

Published January 2009.

All rights reserved. No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing.

Copyright is not claimed as to any part of the original work prepared by a United States government officer or employee as part of that person's official duties.

Printed in the United States of America ISBN 978-1-58576-133-3

AGENDA FOR A SUSTAINABLE AMERICA

Table of Contents

Preface ix Editor and Contributing Author xiii
Contributing Authors
I. Introduction
Chapter 1: Sustainable Development and the United States by John C. Dernbach
Chapter 2: Progress Toward Sustainability: A Report Card by the Contributing Authors
Chapter 3: Agenda for a Sustainable America by the Contributing Authors
II. Six Areas of Greater Progress
Chapter 4: Local Governance and Sustainability: Major Progress, Significant Challenges by Jonathan D. Weiss
Chapter 5: Brownfields Development: From Individual Sites to Smart Growth by Joel B. Eisen
Chapter 6: Business and Industry: Transitioning to Sustainability by Ira Robert Feldman
Chapter 7: Higher Education: Emerging Laboratories for Inventing a Sustainable Future by Wynn Calder and Julian Dautremont-Smith
Chapter 8: Kindergarten Through Twelfth Grade Education: Fragmentary Progress in Equipping Students to Think and Act in a Challenging World
by Carmela Federico and Jaimie Cloud

Chapter 9: Religion and Ethics Focused on Sustainability by Dieter T. Hessel
III. Consumption, Population, and Poverty
Chapter 10: Sustainable and Unsustainable Developments in the J.S. Energy System by Mark D. Levine and Nathaniel T. Aden
Chapter 11: Materials: From High Consumption to More Sustainable Resource Use by Amit Kapur and Thomas E. Graedel
Chapter 12: The Importance of Curbing and Ending Population Growth to Achieve Sustainability by Anne H. Ehrlich and James Salzman
Chapter 13: Poverty: Greening the Tax and Transfer System to Create More Opportunities by Jonathan Barry Forman
IV. Conservation and Management of Natural Resources
Chapter 14: Freshwater: Sustaining Use by Protecting Ecosystems by Robert W. Adler
Chapter 15: Oceans and Estuaries: The Ocean Commissions' Unfulfilled Vision by Robin Kundis Craig
Chapter 16: Air Quality: The Need to Replace Basic Technologies With Cleaner Alternatives by David M. Driesen
Chapter 17: Climate Change: The Unmet Obligation to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Donald A. Brown
Chapter 18: Biodiversity Conservation: An Unrealized Aspiration

Consistent Pi by Federic

Chapter 19: 5

Chapter 20: 'Pollution by Lynn R.

Chapter 21:

Little Progre by Joel A. i

Chapter 22: to Jobs and t by Marian

Chapter 23: and Climate by Patricia

Chapter 24: by Trip Po

VII. Intei

Chapter 25: Bilateral, an by Kevin C

Chapter 26: for Sustainal by Royal C

Chapter 27: by Smita N

used on Sustainability
Population, and Poverty
ainable Developments in the
C. Aden
Consumption to More
edel159
bing and Ending Population
zman
Tax and Transfer System to
agement of Natural Resources
Use by Protecting Ecosystems
The Ocean Commissions'
221
to Replace Basic Technologies
239
Unmet Obligation to Reduce
251
tion: An Unrealized Aspiration

Chapter 19: Sustainable Forestry: Moving From Concept to Consistent Practice
by Federico Cheever and Ward J. Scott
V. Waste and Toxic Chemicals
Chapter 20: Toxic Chemicals and Pesticides: Not Yet Preventing Pollution by Lynn R. Goldman
Chapter 21: Hazardous Waste and Superfund: Few Changes and Little Progress by Joel A. Mintz
Chapter 22: Municipal Solid Waste: Building Stronger Connections to Jobs and the Economy by Marian Chertow
VI. Land Use and Transportation
Chapter 23: Land Use: Blending Smart Growth With Social Equity and Climate Change Mitigation by Patricia Salkin
Chapter 24: Transportation: Challenges and Choices by Trip Pollard
VII. Iutcrnational Trade, Finauce, and Development Assistance
Chapter 25: International Trade: Sustainability as a Multilateral, Bilateral, and Regional Effort by Kevin C. Kennedy
Chapter 26: Official Development Assistance: Toward Funding for Sustainability by Royal C. Gardner and Ezequiel Lugo
Chapter 27: Financing Sustainable Development by Smita Nakhooda, Frances Seymour, and Sabina Ahmed

Chapter 28: Lead Poisoning and Pollution: Opportunities for Internationalized Solutions by K.W. James Rochow
VIII. State and Federal Governance
Chapter 29: State Governance: Leadership on Climate Change by Kirsten H. Engel and Marc L. Miller
Chapter 30: Public Access to Information, Participation, and Justice: Forward and Backward Steps Toward an Informed and Engaged Citizenry by Carl Bruch, Frances Irwin, and Gary D. Bass
Chapter 31: National Governance: Still Stumbling Toward Sustainability by John C. Dernbach
Index

PREFAC

More the ence on Ein Rio de greater nu For the fir opment. T 21, to achithe effort.

In simp lenge we f are confro nations, a the contin for our we able devel ment of b tected and

Each na achieve su its interna ship of Pr

This both efforts for future acticand fifteer are worth a ternational most important and the first ternational most important important and the first ternational most important actical and the first ternational most important actical acti

The firs nar at Wid by the *Env* intense attr assessment during tho

Brownfields Development: From Individual Sites to Smart Growth

Joel B. Eisen

In the late 1980s, communities across America faced a number of obstacles to successful urban redevelopment. One obstacle, though hardly the only one, ¹ was "the fear and uncertainty associated with potential environmental contamination [that] was seriously undermining efforts to keep urban areas vital." This fear of environmental contamination focused on abandoned or underused urban sites that were not already the target of federal environmental attention and enforcement, such as those highly contaminated sites found on the National Priorities List. These sites differ widely in their prior uses, including former steel mills and other industrial properties, gas stations and other commercial tracts, and even residential properties.

Collectively, these have come to be known as "brownfields." Federal law today defines a brownfield site as "real property, the expansion, redevelopment, or reuse of which may be complicated by the presence or potential presence of a hazardous substance, pollutant, or contaminant." The term differentiates these sites from "greenfields," which are suburban and exurban locations that developers have been thought to prefer for new construction.

Remediation and reuse of brownfields is a hallmark of sustainable land use because the societal and economic benefits of remediating and rehabilitating an underused urban parcel are often greater than those of comparable development taking place at greenfields locations. These benefits are mentioned frequently in the large (and growing) body of brownfields literature, where brownfields redevelopment is seen as especially desirable because it meshes with the goals of the smart growth movement. However, not all brownfields redevelopment activity is "smart," for development of individual sites continues to be parcel-specific and state brownfields programs do not fully integrate well-known benchmarks of sustainable development. These benchmarks, to which this chapter's recommendations are linked, include:

- Effective public involvement in brownfields remediation and reuse decisions;
- Integrated decisionmaking procedures in state voluntary cleanup programs (VCPs); and
- Measurable outcomes for sustainability embodied in program designs.

The Brownfields Challenge

The extent of the brownfields problem remains significant, as indicated in a 2004 report by the National Association of Local Government Environmental Professionals (NALGEP) and the Northeast-Midwest Institute (NEMW). The report states: "Virtually every community in America is plagued by idle properties that lay abandoned for years due to fear of environmental contamination, unknown cleanup costs, and potential legal liability issues. It is estimated that there could be as many as 1 million of these so-called "brownfield" properties nationwide." 5

However, the past two decades have seen the birth of what could be called the brownfields industry. Extensive redevelopment activities are taking place at formerly abandoned or underused sites, ⁷ spurred by two major legal developments: (1) the emergence in virtually every state of voluntary cleanup programs (VCPs) and other brownfields programs and initiatives; and (2) federal protection for brownfields developers through a 2002 amendment to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA, or "Superfund law"). The 2002 law provides protection against subsequent liability for cleanup of a brownfield site for a developer that conducts a cleanup in a state VCP, so long as it meets the requirements of the 2006 rule of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to make "all appropriate inquiries" (AAI) before acquiring ownership of brownfields sites. ⁹ The AAI rule establishes specific requirements for conducting due diligence into the previous ownership, uses, and environmental conditions of a site for the purposes of qualifying for liability protections available to landowners under CERCLA.

Current brownfields redevelopment initiatives go far beyond attention to liability protection, however, involving full-fledged programs at the state and federal levels. The EPA's Office of Brownfields Cleanup and Redevelopment administers its Brownfields Program to "empower states, communities and other stakeholders in economic

redev safely offers and c eral c brow law a grant

ment

authc

gram

BROW

been cessf Stamatu: now states ence said t

progithe n

cial, i

as He

Brov

Ιii

the si

Smar

ways prote whice that is in Bis redevines seek tentian puts grow devention nent in brownfields remediation

ng procedures in state voluntary); and

r sustainability embodied in pro-

problem remains significant, as indiational Association of Local Governnals (NALGEP) and the Northeaste report states: "Virtually every comridle properties that lay abandoned for tal contamination, unknown cleanup lity issues. It is estimated that there these so-called "brownfield" proper-

es have seen the birth of what could be ⁶ Extensive redevelopment activities ndoned or underused sites, ⁷ spurred by (1) the emergence in virtually every grams (VCPs) and other brownfields 2) federal protection for brownfields endment to the Comprehensive Enviation, and Liability Act (CERCLA, or w provides protection against subsebrownfield site for a developer that P, so long as it meets the requirements rironmental Protection Agency (EPA) es" (AAI) before acquiring ownership rule establishes specific requirements to the previous ownership, uses, and te for the purposes of qualifying for lilandowners under CERCLA.

opment initiatives go far beyond attenever, involving full-fledged programs s. The EPA's Office of Brownfields Iministers its Brownfields Program to and other stakeholders in economic redevelopment to work together in a timely manner to prevent, assess, safely clean up and sustainably reuse brownfields." To that end, EPA offers grants for activities such as assessment of site contamination and cleanup, as well as loans, training, and education programs. Several other federal agencies also offer funding and other resources for brownfields projects. Section 211 of the 2002 federal brownfields law added the new section 104(k) of CERCLA, establishing a federal grant and loan system for brownfield site characterization and assessment and brownfield remediation. Up to \$200 million per year was authorized for brownfields assessment and cleanup under this program. It has been reported, however, that these programs have not been fully funded and that more public funding is necessary for successful brownfields remediation and reuse.

State programs for the remediation and reuse of brownfields have matured rapidly since their inception in the late 1980s, with 49 states now featuring such programs and many (including such pioneering states as Minnesota and Pennsylvania) having over a decade of experience in processing sites through their programs. By 2002 it could be said that "[a] decade of experience with state and federal brownfields programs has yielded broadly perceived successes." In cities across the nation, brownfields have been converted to industrial, commercial, residential, and recreational uses. Examples abound in cities such as Houston, 17 Chicago, 18 and Trenton, 19 to name a few.

Brownfields and Smart Growth

In the past several years, there has been a much greater link between the smart growth movement and brownfields remediation and reuse. Smart growth refers to the myriad "creative strategies to develop in ways that preserve natural lands and critical environmental areas, protect water and air quality, and reuse already-developed land," which stand in opposition to the existing patterns of development that result in suburban and exurban sprawl. ²⁰ EPA's Smart Growth in Brownfield Communities initiative asserts that "[b]rownfield redevelopment is an essential component of smart growth, as both seek to return abandoned and underutilized sites to their fullest potential as community and economic assets." As another report puts it, the two movements—brownfields redevelopment and smart growth—developed from different roots but have similar goals: "Redevelopment of existing buildings and land, including contaminated brownfield sites, has been pursued since the early 1990s, and is a sepa-

rate activity from the smart growth initiatives. However, both share the same goals of providing economic growth, creating jobs, and creating a healthy environment."²²

Because urban sites are often good candidates for infill development that can preclude the need to build at a greenfields location (and thereby avoid the perpetuation of suburban and exurban sprawl), "[r]euse of urban space . . . is seen almost reflexively as smart growth." But one should be careful to avoid viewing all brownfields revitalization as consistent with smart growth, because most brownfield sites are developed on a parcel-by-parcel basis, under the control of site developers—not as part of a plan for sustainability. Under these conditions, "there is no guarantee that the growth it promises to provide is 'smart." ²⁴

Brownfields and Sustainable Development

Three conditions must be satisfied for brownfields remediation and reuse programs to achieve sustainable development:

- (1) Effective public involvement in brownfields remediation and reuse decisions. As Agenda 21 of the U.N. Conference on Environment and Development notes, "citizens must be involved in major environmental decisions and receive timely and coherent information to enable them to take part in relevant decisions." To accomplish this in the brownfields revitalization context, an effective public participation system is needed to provide for input by the affected community throughout the process, from project selection to remediation and completion of the project." One report argues, "Involve Citizens From the Start-Community involvement and consensus is one of the most important ingredients for a successful brownfield project."25 At the federal level, EPA's Sustainable Brownfields Model Framework calls for brownfields revitalization to take place as a "conscious, intended collaboration between private sector organizations, public agencies, and the community as a whole." State VCPs rarely require such collaboration, however, and only those developers savvy enough to form partnerships with affected communities typically seek local input.
- (2) Integrated decisionmaking procedures in state VCPs. Agenda 21 calls for "the progressive integration of social, economic, and environmental issues" in governmental decisionmaking.²⁷ In any brownfields remediation and reuse project, there are many important points where consideration of a broad range of factors is necessary.

First, at tare being velopme munities sider the happen to initiative ties."²⁸ Staking puthat the

In pra develop responsi rely on s the EPA local go control Unfor

velopme making. VCPs, a show th to an ov remedia place. P have lar opers th tors lice

little "si range o have be more sh remedia munity. grams t ban red serving cal tax

(3) M

wth initiatives. However, both share comic growth, creating jobs, and cre-

good candidates for infill developo build at a greenfields location (and of suburban and exurban sprawl), is seen almost reflexively as smart eful to avoid viewing all brownfields with smart growth, because most on a parcel-by-parcel basis, under the is part of a plan for sustainability. Unguarantee that the growth it promises

Development

sfied for brownfields remediation and ainable development:

ent in brownfields remediation and rethe U.N. Conference on Environment ns must be involved in major environmely and coherent information to ent decisions." To accomplish this in the ext, an effective public participation or input by the affected community project selection to remediation and report argues, "Involve Citizens From ment and consensus is one of the most ccessful brownfield project."25 At the Brownfields Model Framework calls o take place as a "conscious, intended sector organizations, public agencies, ²²⁶ State VCPs rarely require such colhose developers savvy enough to form amunities typically seek local input. ng procedures in state VCPs. Agenda egration of social, economic, and envinmental decisionmaking.27 In any euse project, there are many important a broad range of factors is necessary. First, at the stage where the merits of a proposed revitalization project are being assessed, the project should fit within an overall plan of development for the affected community. One report observes, "Communities will succeed in brownfields revitalization when they consider these properties as community and economic opportunities that happen to have an environmental challenge, and connect brownfields initiatives to their broader community vision and revitalization priorities." Second, once a project has been selected and remediation is taking place, the state should exercise vigorous oversight to ensure that the cleanup is sufficient.

In practice, much of the decisionmaking related to brownfields redevelopment takes place at the state and local levels. The states bear responsibility for administering cleanups in VCPs, and developers rely on state releases from liability after the 2002 federal law limited the EPA's ability to reopen a cleanup conducted in a VCP. ²⁹ Of course, local governments are involved because they exercise their traditional control powers over land use decisions.

Unfortunately, most state and local approaches to brownfields redevelopment continue to fall short of the ideal of integrated decision-making. The parcel-by-parcel approach continues to dominate in state VCPs, and states do not typically require brownfields developers to show that their proposed reuse of the property bears any relationship to an overall vision for the community, nor do states evaluate this after remediation work has been done and the new uses of the sites are in place. Project selection continues to be left to developers, and states have largely delegated administration of the cleanup phase to developers themselves (or, in an increasing trend, to independent contractors licensed by the states).

(3) Measurable outcomes for sustainability. To date, there has been little "systematic, careful documentation of actual practice at a wide range of [brownfield] sites." Because a large number of projects have been processed through state brownfields programs and VCPs, more should and indeed could be done to assess whether brownfields remediation and reuse has truly been beneficial to the affected community. States should assess the success of their brownfields programs using concrete metrics that reflect the broad scope of their urban redevelopment goals, which requires them to go far beyond observing simply whether a project has created jobs or increased the local tax base.

If brownfields revitalization is indeed to be considered as part of smart growth strategies, it is necessary that program effectiveness be evaluated in an appropriate context. One commentator calls the relative lack of data on whether brownfields reuse is providing the claimed benefits a "lost opportunity . . . to empirically test different approaches to real property remediation." In-depth analysis might suggest in a given state (or for a given type of project) that voluntary cleanup programs have spurred economic redevelopment appropriate for a community. Or it might not, and for this reason, "state regulators may be consequently reluctant to perform this searching analysis." 33

Thus, while much progress has been made toward sustainable reuse of brownfields, considerable work still needs to be done.

Recommendations

Three conditions for sustainability were listed in the chapter's introduction:

- Effective public involvement in brownfields remediation and reuse decisions;
- Integrated decisionmaking procedures in state voluntary cleanup programs (VCPs); and
- Measurable outcomes for sustainability embodied in program designs.

The following four recommendations are designed to meet those requirements.

1. Increase the use of areawide brownfields initiatives. States should do more to integrate brownfields remediation and reuse with their existing programs for promoting economic development. One promising way in which this is taking place—in states such as New Jersey and New York—is the establishment of areawide brownfields initiatives, in which state regulators attempt to address multiple brownfields in the same community. A prominent feature of these initiatives is early and extensive involvement by citizen steering committees. These programs can provide for more enhanced public participation and a wider focus on community redevelopment than the narrow, parcel-by-parcel approach. This recommendation would enhance public participation as well as integrated decisionmaking by coordinating remediation and economic development.

In New Jersey's Brownfields Development Area (BDA) initiative, for example, the state's Department of Environmental Protection

(DEP) "w brownfield these proper tant comments that" by law it g stantial per tential to a sites, rather

A recent "[i]n contr the [New J physical, I The broading sustair lar initiatir

difficult to have on co accounting of cases ha looking lo see whether of years."

In partice two distiner public hear whether the have present sites into the one might lightly convironment problem herors should brownfiel.

Second whether b is indeed to be considered as part of ecessary that program effectiveness be ntext. One commentator calls the relationary commentator calls the relation brownfields reuse is providing the tunity . . . to empirically test different mediation." In-depth analysis might a given type of project) that voluntary leconomic redevelopment appropriate ot, and for this reason, "state regulators to perform this searching analysis." as been made toward sustainable reuse work still needs to be done.

inability were listed in the chapter's

ement in brownfields remediation

ing procedures in state voluntary s); and

or sustainability embodied in pro-

nendations are designed to meet those

cawide brownfields initiatives. States cownfields remediation and reuse with omoting economic development. One is taking place—in states such as New establishment of areawide brownfields gulators attempt to address multiple munity. A prominent feature of these involvement by citizen steering composite for more enhanced public particommunity redevelopment than the narcell as integrated decisionmaking by co-conomic development.

ls Development Area (BDA) initiative, artment of Environmental Protection

(DEP) "works with selected communities affected by multiple brownfields to design and implement remediation and reuse plans for these properties simultaneously." A recent article by a former assistant commissioner of DEP responsible for developing the initiative notes that "the BDA Initiative guarantees local involvement" because by law it gives "the reuse preferences of the steering committee substantial persuasive force." He also notes that the initiative has the potential to address contamination that has migrated across multiple sites, rather than just that which is present at an individual site. "The steering committee is the steering committee and the potential to address contamination that has migrated across multiple sites, rather than just that which is present at an individual site."

Arecent report by the Lincoln Institute of Land Policy observes that "[i]n contrast to site-specific remediation, the areawide approach of the [New Jersey] BDA provides a framework that addresses the larger physical, political and social contexts of an affected community."³⁸ The broader approach makes it a much more potent vehicle for achieving sustainable development than the parcel-specific approach. Similar initiatives should be considered by more states.

2. Develop measures to assess progress toward sustainability. It is difficult to get a handle on the overall impact that brownfields projects have on communities because doing so requires, "among other things, accounting for the wide variety in state program features, the numbers of cases handled, and the types and numbers of results. It also requires looking longitudinally at a statistically significant sample of sites to see whether environmental problems develop or persist after a period of years." For true sustainable development, however, this sort of long-term analysis is exactly what is required.

In particular, states should develop evaluation methods that address two distinct sets of issues. First is whether the environmental risks to public health and welfare have truly been lessened or eliminated, or whether the original problems would recur in the future, after sites have presumably been remediated in state VCPs. Many states allow sites into their brownfields programs that are more contaminated than one might expect given the model of a brownfield site as one that is lightly contaminated and not currently the target of state or federal environmental enforcement. Thus, it should not be assumed that the problem has simply vanished, but instead state environmental regulators should have safeguards in place for long-term monitoring of brownfield sites that have been processed through their programs.

Second, the states should conduct "a more thorough analysis of whether brownfields developers... are consistently providing prom-

ised economic benefits in return for involvement with and remediation of their sites." Such an analysis requires more than simple repetition of developers' promises that jobs and tax revenues will flow from particular projects. One broad effort to assess whether a goal of "returning formerly contaminated sites to long-term, sustainable, and productive use" is being met was a multi-program, multi-factor analysis by EPA's Region 3 conducted in 2006. 42 Regional EPA staff, working with a number of stakeholders, sought to develop quantifiable data on land uses occurring on cleanup sites to establish baseline information" that would go beyond anecdotal data to assess "[t]ypes of uses and reuses occurring," the "[r]elationship between the cleanup status of sites and reuse," "[1]ocal economic, social, or ecological benefits from reuse on cleanup sites," and "[c]hallenges in collecting this kind of information prior to developing and promoting broader national measures for land revitalization goals."43 Analytical rigor on this model should become more widespread in brownfields programs.

3. Promote "green building" practices in site reuse. Development at an infill site often involves a complete overhaul of existing infrastructure, so it is an ideal time to employ the increasing array of building design and construction techniques that enhance environmental performance of new buildings. EPA notes on its sustainability website that "[g]reen or sustainable building is the practice of creating healthier and more resource-efficient models of construction, renovation, operation, maintenance, and demolition." "Green" buildings incorporate energy and environmentally desirable techniques, from energy conservation to the use of healthy building materials and waste reduction strategies. This recommendation, of course, directly addresses a project's environmental performance.

The NALGEP/NEMW report states that sustainable brownfield reuse involves "[p]romot[ing] environmentally responsible reuse via green building, low impact development practices, smart growth strategies, preservation of parks and open space, transit-oriented development, and pollution prevention." One outstanding example of how this can work in practice is the Chicago Center for Green Technology, a brownfield redevelopment in Chicago whose building qualified for the U.S. Green Building Council's Leadership in Energy and Environmental Development (LEED) platinum rating, the culmination of a rigorous evaluation of green building and design techniques used in the Center's construction. EPA has several initiatives that link green buildings and brownfields revitalization. Its Green Build-

ings on B jects, and as those us sustainabl contamina ronment w opers show

4. Deve of state V

The NA

Despit grams grams for vol cleanu from s brown tamina

tion, is

Arecen VCP report cleanups a the report are inaded worrisom lators to a ities, as sh Jersey are ers, they a done prop dresses al

> The st brownfiel ate indep ments.⁵³ I term proje policies. a and envir

turn for involvement with and remean analysis requires more than simple ses that jobs and tax revenues will flow proad effort to assess whether a goal of ted sites to long-term, sustainable, and as a multi-program, multi-factor analyed in 2006. 42 Regional EPA staff, workers, sought to develop quantifiable data nup sites to establish baseline informaecdotal data to assess "[t]ypes of uses elationship between the cleanup status conomic, social, or ecological benefits nd "[c]hallenges in collecting this kind ping and promoting broader national on goals."43 Analytical rigor on this videspread in brownfields programs.

"practices in site reuse. Development a complete overhaul of existing infrato employ the increasing array of build-echniques that enhance environmental EPA notes on its sustainability website alding is the practice of creating health-nat models of construction, renovation, remolition." "Green" buildings incortally desirable techniques, from energy thy building materials and waste reducted attomption, of course, directly addresses a rmance.

rt states that sustainable brownfield reenvironmentally responsible reuse via development practices, smart growth as and open space, transit-oriented deention."⁴⁵ One outstanding example of is the Chicago Center for Green Techbrent in Chicago whose building qualiing Council's Leadership in Energy and (LEED) platinum rating, the culminaf green building and design techniques cion. ⁴⁶ EPA has several initiatives that infields revitalization. Its Green Buildings on Brownfields Initiative has sponsored a number of pilot projects, and its ER3 Initiative helps developers identify techniques such as those used at the Chicago site. As EPA notes, "[b]y incorporating sustainable practices and principles into their projects, developers of contaminated sites can minimize the impact of the project on the environment without sacrificing profitability." More brownfields developers should take advantage of these opportunities.

4. Develop "second generation" policies to improve performance of state VCPs.

The NALGEP/NEMW report states:

Despite the tremendous progress of state voluntary cleanup programs, there are opportunities to improve state brownfields programs by: (1) providing sufficient staff to ensure timely approvals for voluntary cleanups; (2) increasing funding for site assessment, cleanup, and predevelopment costs; (3) better leveraging funding from state underground storage tank programs with other sources of brownfields funding, to promote the cleanup and reuse of sites contaminated with petroleum; and (4) obtaining greater involvement in brownfields projects from state economic development, transportation, infrastructure, land use and housing agencies.

A recent article on the performance of New Jersey's large and active VCP reported a number of shortcomings, including a slow pace of cleanups and suboptimal oversight of contaminated sites. ⁵⁰ In part, as the report above notes, this stems from funding and staffing levels that are inadequate to process sites efficiently through the program. ⁵¹ A worrisome development in New Jersey is the resistance by state regulators to assuming even minor increases in their oversight responsibilities, as shown in their recent VCP regulations. ⁵² If states such as New Jersey are to exercise vigorous oversight over brownfields developers, they must take a more active role in ensuring that cleanups are done properly and in a timely way. This recommendation directly addresses all three conditions for sustainability.

The states are missing another opportunity to improve their brownfields programs because at present these programs tend to operate independently of their counterpart agencies in state governments.⁵³ This does not allow for the sort of searching analysis of long-term project benefits that should be a central feature of brownfields policies. A specific instance in which state economic development and environmental regulators could cooperate would be an ongoing

determination of whether the sites that have been processed through brownfields programs and VCPs match those that fit state and local development criteria.54

Conclusion

Simply stating that brownfields remediation constitutes sustainable development or is consistent with smart growth principles may not make sense in the context of a given project or as part of an urban development strategy for an entire community. Unfortunately, state regulators continue to follow a developer-centered approach that puts control of site decisions in the hands of developers and is loath to undo the advantages conferred on developers for coming voluntarily to the states. This is a major trend that should be reversed, with a second generation of brownfields policies adopting the recommendations set forth above, 55 if the programs are to attain the goals of sustainable development.

BROWN.

IN

TIC

3. 42

4. Se 5. Ui

FE) Rı usi vis

U

7. Se

8. *Se* 20 snSī

(2 br

10. U

11. Se

12. Se 13. 42

14. Id

15. U

16. Jo TA 26

17. U

18. Se m ΟĘ ites that have been processed through Ps match those that fit state and local

elds remediation constitutes sustainent with smart growth principles may fa given project or as part of an urbantire community. Unfortunately, state a developer-centered approach that the hands of developers and is loath red on developers for coming volunior trend that should be reversed, with elds policies adopting the recommence programs are to attain the goals of

ENDNOTES

- 1. Kris Wernstedt et al., Resources for the Future, The Brownfields Phenomenon: Much Ado About Something or the Timing of the Shrewd? 4 (Nov. 2004) [hereinafter Brownfields Phenomenon] (mentioning such factors as "the expectations and behavior of public and private parties involved in the development, environmental, and financial risks; the importance of subsidies; and the investment climate of host communities" as important in brownfields revitalization decisions), available at www.rff.org/Documents/RFF-DP-04-46.pdf.
- 2. NAT'L ASS'N OF LOCAL GOV'T PROFESSIONALS & NORTHEAST-MIDWEST INST., UNLOCKING BROWNFIELDS: KEYS TO COMMUNITY REVITALIZATION 3 (2004) [hereinafter Unlocking Brownfields].
- 3. 42 U.S.C. §9601(39)(A) (2002).
- 4. See generally UNLOCKING BROWNFIELDS, supra note 2, at 2.
- 5. UNLOCKING BROWNFIELDS, supra note 2, at 3; see generally U.S. Conference of Mayors, Recycling America's Land: A National Report on Brownfields Redevelopment (2006), available at http://usmayors.org/74thAnnualMeeting/brownfieldsreport_060506.pdf (last visited Apr. 26, 2007) (discussing brownfields challenges).
- 6. Joel B. Eisen, Brownfields at 20: A Critical Reevaluation, 34 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 101, 101 (2007) [hereinafter Eisen, Brownfields at 20].
- 7. See generally id.
- 8. See Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002, 42 U.S.C. §§9604-9605, 9607, 9622, 9628 (2002). EPA provides a snapshot of each state's VCP and brownfields programs in U.S. EPA, STATE BROWNFIELDS AND VOLUNTARY RESPONSE PROGRAMS: AN UPDATE FROM THE STATES, available at epa.gov/brownfields/pubs/st_res_prog_report.htm (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- See Standards and Practices for All Appropriate Inquiries, 40 C.F.R. §312 (2005). EPA's All Appropriate Inquiries page is located at www.epa.gov/brownfields/regneg.htm.
- 10. U.S. EPA, Brownfields Federal Programs Guide 27 (2005).
- 11. See generally U.S. EPA, Office of Brownfields Cleanup and Redevelopment website, www.epa.gov/brownfields/ (last visited Apr. 19, 2007).
- 12. See generally id.
- 13. 42 U.S.C. §9604(k).
- 14. Id.
- 15. Unlocking Brownfields, supra note 2, at 7.
- 16. Joel B. Eisen, Brownfields Development, in STUMBLING TOWARD SUSTAINABILITY 465 (John C. Dernbach, ed. 2002) [hereinafter Eisen, STS 2002 Brownfields Chapter]
- 17. UNLOCKING BROWNFIELDS, supra note 2, at 2.
- 18. See consultants' 2005 report prepared for the City of Chicago Department of Environment discussing the Chicago Center for Green Technology built on a former brownfield site, available at http://www.epa.gov/

- smartgrowth/pdf/Chicago%20SG%20Brownfields%20Project%20Final. pdf (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- 19. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6, at 111.
- 20. See generally U.S. EPA's Smart Growth website, www.epa.gov/smart growth/about_sg.htm (last visited June 1, 2007); Smart Growth Online, www.smartgrowth.org/ (last visited June 1, 2007).
- 21. See U.S. EPA's Smart Growth website, Smart Growth in Brownfield Communities Web page, available at http://www.epa.gov/piedpage/brownfields.htm (last visited Mar. 31, 2007).
- 22. See, e.g., CHICAGO DEP'T OF ENV'T, supra note 18, at 2.
- 23. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6, at 129. For an international perspective, see J.W. Dorsey, Brownfields and Greenfields: The Intersection of Sustainable Development and Environmental Stewardship, 5 ENVIL. PRACTICE 69-76 (2003), abstract available at http://journals.cambridge.org/action/displayAbstract?fromPage=online&aid=332495# (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- 24. Id.
- 25. UNLOCKING BROWNFIELDS, supra note 2, at 6.
- 26. Eisen, STS 2002 Brownfields Chapter, supra note 16, at 464 (quoting U.S. EPA, Sustainable Brownfields Model Framework 3 (1999)).
- 27. Eisen, STS 2002 Brownfields Chapter, supra note 16, at 462.
- 28. UNLOCKING BROWNFIELDS, supra note 2, at 6.
- 29. Small Business Liability Relief and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 2002, 42 U.S.C. §9601(41) (2002).
- 30. Brownfields Phenomenon, supra note 1, at 1.
- 31. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6, at 102.
- 32. Id. at 102 n.7 (quoting David A. Dana, State Brownfields Programs as Laboratories of Democracy?, 14 N.Y.U. ENVIL. L.J. 86, 86 (2005)); see also Brownfields Phenomenon, supra note 1, at 4 (noting that "[t]he empirical literature on brownfields—a topic that cuts across many disciplines and scales and is open to a wide range of methodological approaches—remains undeveloped relative to its potential").
- 33. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6, at 131.
- 34. For descriptions of New York's program, see NY-Brownfields.com, www.ny-brownfields.com/index.htm (last visited Apr. 26, 2007); Sustainable Long Island, Brownfields to Greenfield\$: A Manual on Brownfields Redevelopment, available at www.sustainableli.org/documents/BrownfieldstoGreenfields-Final.pdf (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- 35. N.J. Dep't of Envtl. Prot., Brief Synopsis of NJDEP's Brownfields Development Area Initiative, *available at* http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/brownfields/bda/bda_synopsis.htm (last visited Mar. 31, 2007).
- 36. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6, at 132-33 (quoting D. Evan van Hook et al., The Challenge of Brownfield Clusters: Implementing a Multi-Site Approach for Brownfield Remediation and Reuse, 12 N.Y.U. Envil. L.J. 111 (2003)).

- 37. Eise
- 38. KRIS ARE LAN linco Apr.
- 39. Eiset
- 40. *Id.* at
- 41. *Id.* at
- 42. U.S. Reus www (last
- 43. *Id*.
- 44. See (green
- 45. Unia Sust availa pdf (1
- 46. Unla
- 47. U.S. (ER3) ited A availe gb (la
- 48. ER3
- 49. Unl
- 50. See g
- 51. *Id*.
- 52. *Id*.
- 53. Unlc
 - 54. See, e. Data, 2007) growt
 - 55. Eisen,

SG%20Brownfields%20Project%20Final.).

a note 6, at 111.

art Growth website, www.epa.gov/smart ted June 1, 2007); Smart Growth Online, sited June 1, 2007).

th website, Smart Growth in Brownfield ilable at http://www.epa.gov/piedpage/ Mar. 31, 2007).

Env'r, *supra* note 18, at 2.

note 6, at 129. For an international perspecields and Greenfields: The Intersection of d Environmental Stewardship, 5 EnvTL. act available at http://journals.cambridge. mPage=online&aid=332495# (last visited

upra note 2, at 6.

hapter, supra note 16, at 464 (quoting U.S. ELDS MODEL FRAMEWORK 3 (1999)).

Chapter, supra note 16, at 462.

ipra note 2, at 6.

ef and Brownfields Revitalization Act of 002).

supra note 1, at 1.

a note 6, at 102.

Dana, State Brownfields Programs as Lab-Y.U. ENVIL. L.J. 86, 86 (2005)); see also supra note 1, at 4 (noting that "[t]he empiritopic that cuts across many disciplines and se of methodological approaches—remains ential").

note 6, at 131.

k's program, see NY-Brownfields.com, k.htm (last visited Apr. 26, 2007); Suswnfields to Greenfield\$: A Manual MENT, available at www.sustainableli.org/onfields-Final.pdf (last visited Apr. 26,

of Synopsis of NJDEP's Brownfields Deilable at http://www.state.nj.us/dep/srp/ htm (last visited Mar. 31, 2007).

note 6, at 132-33 (quoting D. Evan van rownfield Clusters: Implementing a Multiemediation and Reuse, 12 N.Y.U. Envtl.

- 37. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6, at 133.
- 38. Kris Wernstedt & Jennifer Hanson, Lincoln Inst. of Land Pol'y, Areawide Brownfield Regeneration Through Business-Based Land Trusts and Progressive Finance 7 (2006), available at www. lincolninst.edu/pubs/dl/1096_Wernstedt_complete_web.pdf (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- 39. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 5, at 102.
- 40. Id. at 115.
- 41. Id. at 131.
- 42. U.S. EPA, REGION 3, HAZARDOUS WASTE CLEANUP SITES LAND USE & REUSE ASSESSMENT (2006) [hereinafter ER3 Interactive], available at www.cpa.gov/region03/revitalization/R3_land_use_final/full_report.pdf (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- 43. Id.
- 44. See U.S. EPA, Green Buildings Web page, http://www.epa.gov/opptintr/greenbuilding (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- 45. Unlocking Brownfields, supra note 2, at 10. See generally U.S. EPA, Sustainable Reuse of Brownfields: Resources for Communities, available at http://www.epa.gov/brownfields/policy/BF_Sustain_Trifold.pdf (last visited Apr. 26, 2007) (discussing "green" building practices).
- 46. Unlocking Brownfields, supra note 2, at 110.
- 47. U.S. EPA, Environmentally Responsible Redevelopment and Reuse (ER3), http://www.epa.gov/compliance/cleanup/redevelop/er3/ (last visited Apr. 26, 2007); U.S. EPA, Green Buildings on Brownfields Initiative, available at http://www.epa.gov/swerosps/bf/policy/initiatives_eo.htm# gb (last visited Apr. 26, 2007).
- 48. ER3 INITIATIVE, supra note 42.
- 49. Unlocking Brownfields, supra note 2, at 9-10.
- 50. See generally Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6.
- 51. *Id*.
- 52. Id.
- 53. UNLOCKING BROWNFIELDS, supra note 2, at 8-9.
- 54. See, e.g., N.J. Dep't of Comm. Aff, Office of Smart Growth, Maps and GIS Data, www.state.nj.us/dca/osg/resources/maps.shtml (last visited Mar. 31, 2007) (collection of GIS data showing how sites fit within state smart growth plans).
- 55. Eisen, Brownfields at 20, supra note 6, at 134.