### University of Richmond UR Scholarship Repository

Master's Theses Student Research

1-1-1963

# A study of in-service education practices in Virginia to stimulate professional growth and the improvement of instruction

Faye Lowry Cauley

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.richmond.edu/masters-theses

#### Recommended Citation

Cauley, Faye Lowry, "A study of in-service education practices in Virginia to stimulate professional growth and the improvement of instruction" (1963). *Master's Theses*. Paper 210.

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Research at UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master's Theses by an authorized administrator of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu.

## A STUDY OF IN-SERVICE EDUCATION PRACTICES IN VIRGINIA TO STIMULATE PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND THE IMPROVEMENT OF INSTRUCTION

A Thesis

Presented to

the Graduate Faculty of
University of Richmond

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Science in Education

by
Faye Lowry Cauley
August 1963

LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF RICHMOND
VIRGINIA

#### APPROVAL SHEET

The undersigned, appointed by the Chairman of the Department of Education, have experied this thesis by

Faye Loury Caulcy, B.S.

condidate for the degree Master of Science in Education, and hereby certify their approval of its acceptance.

Edward F. Overton, Ph.D. Professor of Education and Dean of the Summer School

University of Richmond

Franklin Ross Jones, Ed.D. Professor of Education Randolph-Macon College Visiting Lecturer

University of Richsond

Colvin Holl Phippins / Fh.D.

Professor of Education

Licestone College Visiting Lecturer

University of Richmond

W. Roland Galvin, M.A.

W. Roland Galom

Principal

Westhampton Jr. High School

Visiting Lecturer

University of Richmond

#### ACCOMIEDCEME

The writer wishes to express her appreciation to Dr. Edward F. Overton, who directed this study, and to Dr. Franklin Ross Jones for their valuable assistance.

The writer also wishes to express appreciation to Dr. Robert F. Williams, Executive Secretary of the Virginia Education Association, and Mrs. Virginia Lewis Dalton, Director of Professional Services of the Virginia Education Association, for their assistance with the questionnaire.

This study would not have been possible vithout the cooperation of the Virginia school division superintendents who responded to the In-Service Education Questionnaire.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER |  | PACE       |
|---------|--|------------|
| I.      | IMPRODUCTION                                       | 1          |
|         | The Problem  | 2          |
|         | Statement of the problem                           | 2          |
|         | Value of the study                                 | 2          |
|         | Definition of Terms Used                           | 2          |
|         | Procedures   | 2          |
|         | Mothods used in the study                          | 2          |
|         | Review of Related Literature                       | 4          |
| ZI.     | PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE DATA              | 9          |
|         | Post-School Conference                             | 9          |
|         | Pre-School Conference                              | 32         |
|         | Mid-Year Conference                                | 18         |
|         | Supervisory and Consultant Services                | ಬ          |
|         | Individual School Faculty Study Groups             | 23         |
|         | Provisions for School Visitation                   | 24         |
|         | School Board Appropriations                        | 26         |
|         | Provisions for Attendance at Professional Meetings | 27         |
|         | Workshops  | 27         |
|         | Provisions for Extension Classes                   | 28         |
|         | Use of Personnel Files                             | <b>2</b> 8 |
| III.    | SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECONNENDATIONS           | 29         |
|         | Summery  | 29         |
|         | Conclusions  | 34         |
|         | Recommendations                                    | 24         |

| CHAFTER       |                |     |   |   |                   |   |             |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |            |   | P |
|---------------|----------------|-----|---|---|-------------------|---|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|------------|---|---|
| BILL TAR APPE | . *            | * * | # | * | 2 · ·<br><b>¥</b> |   | <br>. *     | * | * | * | • | * | * | • | * | • | * | * | * | * | • | • | · * |            | * | 4 |
| APPENDIX      | · ·            |     | * | * | *                 | • |             | æ | * | * |   | ٠ |   | * | - | _ |   | _ |   | - |   | * | *   | ` <b>₩</b> | * | 4 |
| VIPA          | : <b>#</b> : ; | * * | * | ÷ | Ų.                |   | <del></del> | * |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |     |            |   |   |

#### LIST OF TABLES

| CAME |                        |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |   |   | PACE |
|------|------------------------|----|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|---|---|------|
| I.   | Post-School Conference | *  | • | ٠ | • | ٠ | ٠ | • | ٠ |   | * | ٠ | ٠ | •. | ٠ | • | 10   |
| II.  | Pra-School Conference  |    |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |    |   | - | 13   |
| III. | Nid-Year Conference .  | ø. | ٠ |   |   | ÷ | * | • | * | ٠ | * | ě | • |    | * | ٠ | 19   |

#### CHAPTER I

#### IMPRODUCTION

The constant changes in education require a teacher to increase in professional growth and to be constantly changing his methods and techniques in order to teach today's children. The Commission on Teacher Education made the following conclusion with regard to the in-service education of teachers:

If the full potentialities created by proper selection and preparation of teachers are to be realized, and if children at any given time and place are to receive the best teaching possible, it is essential that the conditions under which teaching is done should be conducive to the full realization of each teacher's existing powers. If these powers are to increase steadily—as it is both possible and desirable that they should do—the working situation should be further conducive to continuous personal and professional development. The school system is central to the in-service education of teachers, and provisions for such education are essential to a good system.

Therefore, in-service education plays an extremely important role in quality education and must constantly change in order to provide for the changing teachers.

American Council on Education, The Improvement of Teacher Education, A Final Report by the Commission on Teacher Education, (Washington, D. C.: American Council on Education, 1946) p. 173.

#### A. THE PROBLEM

Statement of the problem. It is the purpose of this study

(1) to find out what constitutes in-service education in Virginia
and (2) to determine which in-service education practices atimulate
professional growth and the improvement of instruction.

Value of the study. The value of this thesis is the presentation of a meaningful picture of Virginia's in-corvice education program so that educators gaining a partial picture of the state's program will see ways and means to bring about improvements in the field of inservice education in order to help develop quality education.

#### B. DEFINITION OF TERES USED

In-service education. In-service education is interpreted as an organized approach for the purpose of stimulating professional growth and improving instruction.

<u>Morkshop</u>. The term workshop is interpreted as an attempt to meet the needs of tenchers in a definite area.

#### C. PROCEDURES

Mothods used in the study. In order to obtain the necessary data for this study, personal letters and questionnaires\* were sent to each superintendent in the state of Virginia--117 in all. Of these 117 questionnaires mailed, 71 were completed and returned. The results of the questionnaire were tabulated, discussed and interpreted and have been used as a basis of this study. Copies of the cover letter, the questionnaire and a complete summary of the data may be found in the appendix.

\*Appendix , Page 11

The questionnaire was developed with emphasis on the post-school conference, pre-school conference, and mid-year conference. Other sections, such as the individual school faculty study groups, school visitation and observation, supervisory and consultant services, school board appropriations, provisions for attendance at professional meetings, workshops, and extension classes and use of personnel files were added as these, also, comprise a large part of the in-service education program.

The data, as presented in Chapter II, was grouped into eleven different entegories: (1) the post-school conference, (2) the pre-school conference, (3) the mid-year conference, (4) supervisory and consultant services, (5) individual school faculty atudy groups, (6) provisions for school visitation and observation, (7) school board appropriations for in-service education, (8) provisions for attendance at professional meetings, (9) workshops, (10) provisions for extension classes and (11) use of personnel files.

These eleven categories deal with the data received from the questionnaires and have been discussed and interpreted in the light of previous findings in the area of in-service education.

This study does not attempt to show all in-service education programs being carried out in Virginia; it deals only with the seventy-one systems submitting a questionnaire. The study does not include all in-service education practices, only those asked for in the questionnaire and those added by the person completing the questionnaire.

#### D. REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

A review of literature reveals that extensive research has been done in the field of in-service education. Many articles have been written on in-service education in itself, its need and the practices which make an effective in-service education program. However, most of the literature reviewed dealt with theory and very little has been written on Virginia's in-service education practices.

In an article, "Elements of Effective In-Service Education," Eelda J. Gordon defined in-service education as follows:

"Shared problem-solving process of vorking for the improvement of an educational program is in-service education."

The same author lists the characteristics of an effective in-service education program as follows:

Croates en atmosphere of warmth and acceptance

Generates feelings of acceptance and value of the individual by authority figures as well as peers

Involves entire group in self-selected aspects of courson problems Orients new members

Values differences of individuals

Shares all plans for change and new developments

Encourages interaction with other individuals and groups.3

Zelás J. Gordon, "Elements of Enfective In-Service Education," Educational Leaderphip, Hov., 1953, p. 44.

<sup>3&</sup>lt;u>mid.</u> p. 45.

The teaching profession will advance only as long as the teachers advance. Professional improvement must be done on an individual basis. However, it is the responsibility of the administrative leadership to provide a common stimulus through a well-executed demonstration lesson, panel discussion about new techniques, curriculum work, davelopment of school philosophy or any of the other activities vital to the teaching profession.

In an article, "In-Service Training Programs That Succeed,"

Clifford P. Froehlich, in observing in-service training throughout the country, drew the following conclusions about successful in-service training:

In-service training ought to meet the needs of the individuals.

In-service training which is problem-centered will get results.

In-service training may be centered on techniques, but it should not overlook the opportunities to secure more generalized understanding.

In-service training may be carried on for individual persons.

In-service training should make full use of community resources.

To have a successful in-service training program provisions must be made for putting new knowledge into practice.

In-service training does not always need to be conducted by someono.

Other means then formal classes provide in-service training.

All trainees should have an opportunity to participate in the evaluation of the in-service training.

Company, 1951), p. 297.

<sup>5</sup>Clifford P. Froehlich, "In-Service Training Programs That Succeed,"

A Journal for Modern Junior and Senior High School Faculties, 23:5,
January, 1949.

The Virginia State Department of Education has identified characteristics of some well-developed programs of in-service education now in operation:

- 1. An in-service education program is built through administrative leadership.
- 2. It encourages each teacher to have a program for self-improvement and offers opportunities for the teacher to keep up with new developments in his field.
- 3. It makes use of personnel files to assist individuals in their professional growth.
- 4. The in-service education program aids teachers in enrolling in courses, institutes, and workshops directly related to their need for improvement.
- 5. It has a cooperatively-developed plan evaluating in-service education in light of the teacher's chosen goals and to use such appraisals to improve their in-service work.
- 6. The in-service education program has a well-defined plan for the crientation of new teachers.

Another bulletin issued by the Virginia State Department of Education during the school year 1960-61 states that nearly all the school divisions held conferences and vorkshops as part of their inservice education program. Ninety-one divisions had pre-school conferences, seventy-two had mid-year conferences, and fifty-six had post-school conferences. Pifteen school systems had plans for some type of crientation program for new teachers.

The rapid increase in knowledge and research in child development, the improvement of teaching techniques, the increase in teaching materials, and the change in responsibilities involved in teaching children make it

Olivision of Tencher Education, Virginia's Reed for Tenchers-Row and in the Future, (Richmond, Virginia: Division of Toncher Education, April, 1962), p. 30.

necessary for constant study by the education profession. Therefore, school administrators are responsible for integrating a program of in-service education which will neet the needs of the teachers. Some of these needs are remaining certificates, studying for graduate degrees or advanced standing, testing and evaluating pupil and teacher programs, using research in solving instructional problems, and keeping up to date on child growth and development.

Most school systems, in order to fulfill teacher needs through in-service education, rake long-range plans including extra days in their yearly schedule and budgetary provisions for in-service education. Most systems also provide supervisory services to assist individuals and groups on various aspects of instruction.

There are faculty meetings, division-wide meetings, local education association meetings, regional meetings, national education association meetings--all of which contribute to in-service education.

In many communities, the colleges also cooperate in offering both off-campus and on-campus courses, institutes, workshops, and conferences.

In-service education has long been a part of Virginia's public education program. Teachers must continue to educate themselves in order to promote quality education for the Virginia public schools.

<sup>7</sup>virginia State Department of Education, <u>Developing Quality</u>
<u>Instruction Through In-Service Education of Teachers</u>, A pauphlet propared by Virginia State Department of Education (Richard, 1962)

"A Study of Orientation and In-Service Education Practices in 91 School Systems in the United States" was made by Melvin Tower. In this study, questionnaires were sent to all superintendents and administrators to find frequency of practices of in-service training and also the value placed on the program. 8

Tower also made "A Study of Crientation and In-Service Education Practices in the Indianapolis Public Schools." The purpose of this study was to determine to what degree the orientation and in-service education practices were meeting the needs of beginning, experienced, and inexperienced teachers. The value placed on these practices was also studied.

Rowever, these studies do not deal with in-service education practices in Virginia. Therefore, in order to find out what constitutes in-nervice education in Virginia, this study of the various school systems in Virginia was made.

Melvin M. Tower, "Orientation and In-Service Practices in 91 School Systems," <u>Educational Administration and Supervision</u>, 42: 181-90, March, 1956.

Melvin M. Tower, "A Study of Orientation and In-Service Education Practices in the Indianapolis Public Schools," Educational Administration and Supervision, 42: 219-229, April, 1956.

#### CHAPTER II

#### PRESENTATION AND ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

Chapter II consists of the presentation and analysis of the data. The eleven categories of the questionnaire will be discussed and interpreted as follows: A. Post-school Conference, B. Preschool Conference, C. Mid-year Conference, D. Supervisory and consultant services, E. Individual school faculty study groups, F. Provisions for school visitation and observations, G. School Board appropriations, H. Provisions for attendance at professional meetings, I. Workshops, J. Provisions for extension classes and K. Use of personnel files.

#### A. POST-SCHOOL CONFERENCE

The post-school conference is a part of the in-service education program in most school divisions. The data concerning allotment and disposition of time by the local school divisions are presented in Table I. Table I shows that forty-four school divisions had post-school conferences. In nine school systems, this conference is division-wide; in twenty-seven it is within the individual school. Eight divisions have a combination of both types.

The purpose of this conference was diversified to a great extent. The most frequently stated purposes were as follows:

- 1. To evaluate the success of the school year and take plans for the coming year.
- 2. To evaluate and complete records, gradus and other data.

TABLE I

POST-SCHOOL CONFERENCE

SUMMARY OF THE ALLOTMENTS BY SCHOOL DIVISIONS

| Number<br>of Days | Division<br>Wide Only | Individual<br>Wide Only | Both Division and Individual | Total |
|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------|
| Less Than One     |                       | 2                       |                              | 2     |
| One               | 3                     | 5                       |                              | 8     |
| Two               | 1                     | 5                       |                              | 6     |
| Three             | 1                     | 9                       | 6                            | 16    |
| Four              |                       | 5                       | 2                            | 7     |
| Pive              | 3                     | . 1                     |                              | 4     |
| Five to Ten       | 1                     |                         |                              | 1     |
| Totals            | 9                     | 27                      | 8                            | 44    |

- 3. To evaluate year's work and complete records
- 4. To be used as work days only

Some other responses were: to reorganize the curriculum, to make plans for the orientation program, to outline work to be done the coming year, and to create an understanding among schools.

The leadership in the planning of the post-school conference was most frequently taken by the principals, supervisory staff, superintendent and principals respectively. Less frequently the leadership of the post-school conference was taken by director of instruction, heads of departments, director of instruction with consultants from book companies and resource people from a nearby college.

Others who participated in the planning of the post-school conference were: (1) superintendent and consultants, (2) lay people, (3) supervisors, (4) mashers of the Virginia State Department of Education, (5) grade group chairsen and (6) faculties led by principals.

In twenty-six of the forty-four divisions reporting a post-school conference, plans are made for the in-service education program for the coming year at this conference.

An evaluation of the in-service education program is made by eighteen divisions. Some of the more frequently stated methods of evaluation were as follows:

- 1. Try to determine success of year through discussion
- 2. Letters of inquiry to teachers to determine value of program
- 3. Use of questionnaires to teachers
- 4. Self-evoluntion by teachers
- 5. Written evaluation with suggestions for improvement made by teachers.

#### B. THE PRE-SCHOOL CONFERENCE

The pre-school conference is held in all seventy-one of the school divisions involved in the study. Table II shows the time alloted for the pre-school conference and the allocation of time given to orientation, conferences or group meetings and departmental or grade-level meetings. The approximate time given to the pre-school conference was three days. Approximately one-half day was given to orientation at the division-wide level and within the individual achool. One day was given for conferences or group meetings division-wide while less than one day was given within the individual school. Approximately one-half day was given for departmental or grade level meetings both division-wide and within the individual school.

The leadership in the planning of the orientation program is most frequently taken by the following:

- 1. Superintendent, supervisory staff and principal
- 2. Principals
- 3. Superintendents
- 4. Superintendent and supervisors
- 5. Director of instruction
- 6. Superintendent and principals
- 7. Principals and supervisors
- 8. Local education association.

Less frequently the leadership in the planning of the orientation program is taken by:

1. Committee appointed by administrative council with various sub-committees under the direction of the school board

TABLE II

TIME ALLOTTED PRE-SCHOOL CONFERENCE

|                           | ,             | N                | umber of            | School Di                                 | lvisions             |                  |                     |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------|---|----------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Number<br>of<br>Days      | Total<br>Con- | Orlen            | tation              | Departmental or<br>Grade Level<br>Meeting |                      |                  |                     |
| Dayo                      | ferences      | Division<br>Wide | Individua<br>School | l Division<br>Wide                        | Individual<br>School | Division<br>Wide | Individua<br>School |
| lione                     |               | 13               | 19                  |   | 19                   | 29               | 24                  |
| Less<br>Thanl             | e             | 35               | 25                  | 21.                                       | 16                   | 18               | 16                  |
| 1                         | 1             | 16               | 14                  | 20  | 18                   | 13               | 18                  |
| 15                        |               |                  | 1                   | 3   | 1                    |                  |                     |
| 2                         | 15            | 1                | 14                  | 7   | 7                    | 3                | 5                   |
| 5 <del>‡</del>            | б             |                  |                     |   |                      |                  |                     |
| 3                         | 21.           |                  |                     |   | 2                    | 1.               | 2                   |
| 32                        | 5             |                  |                     |   |                      |                  |                     |
| 4                         | 9             |                  |                     |   |                      |                  |                     |
| hij.                      | i             |                  |                     |   |                      |                  |                     |
| 5                         | 14            | 1                |                     |   |                      |                  |                     |
| 7                         | 1             |                  |                     | 1   | 1                    |                  | 3                   |
| 10                        | 1             |                  |                     |   |                      |                  |                     |
| Night<br>Bession          |               |                  | 1                   | 1   |                      |                  |                     |
| lo<br>Innver              |               | 5                | 7                   | 18  | 7                    | 7                | 7                   |
| lverage<br>lo. of<br>Days |               | 0.60             | 0.54                | 1.04                                      | 0.86                 | 0,49             | 0.59                |

- 2. Elementary supervisors and visiting teachers
- 3. Assistant superintendent
- 4. Supervisory staff
- 5. Director of instruction and faculty representatives from each school
- 6. General supervisor

Others who participated in the planning of the orientation program in some divisions were the consultants, parents, teachers, resource people from the Virginia State Department of Education, guidance coordinator, grade group chairmen, local education association and officers of professional organizations, P-TA and other civic organizations.

The orientation program includes many varied activities. The most frequently stated activities were:

- 1. Program to present general routine information
- 2. Group meetings by subjects and grade level
- 3. Tour of community
- 4. Inspirational speakers
- 5. Individual school orientation
- 6. Introduction of personnel
- 7. Familiarization with school facilities and equipment Less frequent activities reported were:
- 1. Finding suitable boarding places and homes for new teachers
- 2. Visiting schools
- 3. Principals appointing teachers to help new teachers

- 4. Meetings of beginning teachers and teachers who are new to the system with supervisor
- 5. Letters of welcome
- 6. Opportunities for individual inlative and experimentation by meetings and small group discussions
- 7. Individual school faculty meetings
- 8. Greetings by key civic loaders
- 9. Local education association programs

Forty-two divisions had community participants in the orientation program. Four school divisions some times had members of the community to participate. Twenty-two did not have community participants in the crientation program. The community participated in the orientation program through supplying speakers, social events sponsored by the Chamber of Commerce, appearance of school board members at the conferences and joint meetings with civic organizations and faculty groups were held. In other divisions, ministers wrote letters to new teachers, newspapers were sent, gratis gifts of various kinds were given and the marchants welcomed the new teachers. The Health Nurse, T. B. Association and others in the committy were often participants in the conference programs explaining their services to the teachers. Luncheons were given by the Women's clubs or representatives from the P-TA and other civic organizations were invited to assist in planning and to attend a luncheon honoring new teachers. Members of certain communities also participated by serving on panel discussions or as speakers.

Teachers are acquainted with the community in some way in thirtyeight divisions while thirty-three divisions make no provision for this. Tenchers are acquainted with the community through tours, visits to industries, films about the community, and by talks given by local people. In some divisions, maps, folders of materials, letter of velcome and favors are given the teachers in order to better acquaint them with the community. It is not necessary to plan for acquaintance with the community in some school divisions because there are so few new teachers.

The types of programs or activities other than orientation included in the pre-school conference were in most instances the following:

- 1. Inspirational speakers
- 2. Conferences in individual schools planning and preparing for work
- 3. Departmental or grade group meetings
- 4. Demonstrations
- 5. Workshons
- 6. Beginning of an emphasis program
- 7. Introduction and discussion of new textbooks
- 8. Administrative program
- 9. Panel discussions
- 10. Review of handbook
- 11. Talko by book consultants

Supervisory and consultant services available to the particular school division are most frequently made known to teachers at the preschool conference and faculty meeting, bulletins, or handbooks, personal contact, and explanation at conferences, orientation of new personnel

directly through principals and supervisors, and announcements. In fewer divisions, supervisory and consultant services were made known through individual conferences, visitation to schools, letters to new teachers, through booklets and articles in the local newspaper.

All but three of the seventy-one school divisions stated that time was given to the local education association at the pre-school conference. From thirty minutes to one full day was given to the local education association with one-half day being the average amount of time given.

In most school divisions, the pre-school local education association activities were as follows:

- 1. Committee work and planning the program for year
- 2. Help plan and assist with social functions
- 3. Program with a speaker
- 4. Introduction of personnel
- 5. Assist with orientation
- 6. Report of delegates who have attended meetings
- 7. Explanation of insurance and other benefits
- 8. Elect delegates for Virginia Education Association Convention
- 9. Semi letter of welcome to new teachers
- 10. Consider entters to come before Virginia Education Association
- 11. Conduct trips about the community

In thirty-five school divisions, workshops were held during the pro-school conference. Workshops held in the thirty-five divisions

vere conducted in one of the following vays:

- Consultants conducted workshops in an introductory manner in some subject area to be followed through by teachers later.
- 2. Teachers who attended sugger vorkshops conducted limited workshops within their own schools.
- 3. The Virginia State Department of Education conducted some workshops.
- 4. Textbook consultants held some of the workshops.
- 5. In one system, the United States Office of Education conducted a workshop.
- 6. In others, workshops were conducted by the county supervisors in some subject area or methodology.
- 7. Some practical workshops were held, mostly departmental and committee work.

#### C. MID-YEAR CONFERENCE

The mid-year conference is held in fifty-six school divisions.

Table III shows that in twenty-two school systems, this conference is division-wide, in nineteen school systems, it is within the individual school and in fifteen divisions it was both types. In those systems having both types, one day is usually given to each type.

The purposes of this conference as most frequently stated were:

- 1. To evaluate first semester and plan for the remainder of the year
- 2. Professional improvement
- 3. Evaluate and complete records
- 4. Workday -- to complete records and reports
- 5. Evaluation only

TABLE III

#### MID-YEAR CONFERENCE SUMMARY OF TIME ALLOTMENTS BY SCHOOL DIVISIONS

|                | Dinpositio             | n of Time Allot           | ted by Divisions | 1      |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|--------|
| Number of Days | Division-<br>vide only | Individual<br>school only | Both types*      | Totals |
| Less than one  |                        |                           |                  |        |
| One            | 11.                    | 15                        | 3                | 59     |
| Tvo            | 8                      | 14                        | 11               | 23     |
| Three          | 2                      |                           | 1                | 3      |
| Four           |                        |                           |                  |        |
| Five           |                        |                           |                  |        |
| Five to Ten    | 1                      |                           |                  | 1      |
| Totals         | 85                     | 19                        | 15               | 56     |

\*In those divisions having both types, the days were used as follows:

- 10 allotted 1 day each to division-wide and individual school.
- 2 allotted \$ day to each type.
  1 allotted 1/2 days to the individual schooland \$ day to division-wide.
- 1 allotted lightness to each type.
- 1 allotted 2 days to individual school and 1 day to division-wide.

The type of program at the mid-year conference consists mainly of some experience for the improvement of instruction and professional growth. The most frequently listed were those of professional nature using consultants and inspirational speakers. Group conferences in subject area using specialists were also reported by many divisions. Another type listed frequently was evaluation, while others listed work programs.

The planning of the mid-year conference is most frequently done by principals, administrative and supervisory personnel, supervisors, principals and teachers, and principals and supervisors. Others who assist in the planning are the director of instruction, and various committees composed of the superintendent, supervisors, principals and teachers. Occasionally, the school board, guidance commelors and president of the local education association assist.

In twenty-one divisions, teachers are mided in the selection of summer courses, institutes, and workshops at the mid-year conference. Teachers are given aid as follows:

- Local needs are identified and institutes and workshops are planted and offered with specific purposes in mind
- 2. Through individual conferences
- 3. Suggestions are offered through study of individual needs of teachers for professional improvement and for certification purposes
- 4. The use of questionnaires, bulletins and personal contact
- 5. Announcement of available classes are made
- 6. Discussion of new plans and new needs

- 7. Extension director explains courses and brochures designating those courses available for certification
- 8. Through menorandum at faculty meetings

#### D. SUPERVISORY AND CONSULTANT SERVICES

Swervisory and consultant services are provided for in most of the local school divisions. Brief descriptions of the supervisory practices in most local school divisions are as follows:

- 1. Supervisors are on call from all schools. They often attend faculty meetings to introduce new unterials. Individual and group conferences are held.
- 2. Supervisors give priority to new teachers and weak teachers, then help others as requested and reach the remaining teachers through observation.
- 3. Many supervisors have a regular set schedule for visiting, usually once every two weeks while others conduct unscheduled visits.
- 4. In one system, the supervisors try to evaluate each teacher at the end of the first semester and have a conference following observation in which praise for work well done is given and suggestions for improvement are made. An evaluation is also made during the second semester for the superintendent so that he can make recommendations for another year.
- 5. In some systems, the supervisor visits only on request from the teacher or principal or when a special project is in operation.
- 6. In some systems, now tenchers and first grade teachers are contacted first. A box is placed at each school for requests. Some teachers ask for conferences and these are held with these who need special help.

- 7. Other supervisors work on call or need with unscheduled visits to schools or visits are planned when specific needs arise. The first part of the year is spent orienting and assisting new paraonnel. Teachers may request observation or demonstrations. Individual and group conferences are also held with the substitute teachers.
- 8. Supervisors are also responsible for planning instructional conferences, demonstrations, selecting and introducing new materials to teachers and in planning for inter-school visitation and observation.

In sixty-two local school divisions, the curriculum study guides provided by the Virginia State Department are used. Most of the systems which use the curriculum guides use all of them while others only use Science, Mathematics, History, and Health. Six divisions stated that locally prepared courses of study were used. Forty divisions use both those curriculum guides provided by the state and locally prepared courses of study. Three divisions did not ensuer this question.

Those who most frequently take part in the preparation of the course of study are: (1) teachers, principals and supervisors, (2) principals and teachers, and (3) teachers. Others who help in the preparation of these courses of study are: director of instruction, superintendent, assistant superintendent, consultants, guidance director, school board members, heads of departments and key teachers.

In some systems, these courses of study were propored during (1) professionally paid days in the summer or (2) during in-service training periods. In some divisions, this work is done during the regular school day while in others, it is done after school on teachers' and principals' own time. Some divisions work on the

course of study at night or on Saturdays.

In a few divisions, courses of study were propared for all areas.

However, the most frequently stated courses of study prepared were

Science, Social Studies and English. Others had been prepared for

Mathematics, Home Economics, Vocational Agriculture, Art, State

History and Business Education. Many divisions stated that they were

working on courses of study at the present time.

Consultant services in the local divisions consist of:

- 1. Those consultants within the local division
- 2. Those available from the Virginia State Department of Education
- 3. Those available from textbook companies
- 4. Instructors from nearby colleges
- 5. Welfore and Health Department
- 6. Local persons with special abilities
- 7. Local guidance center
- 8. Mental hygiene clinic

#### E. INDIVIDUAL SCHOOL FACULTY STUDY GROUPS

Individual school faculty study groups are a part of the in-service education program in many local school divisions. Fifty-three divisions stated that departmental or grade level study groups were conducted. In most systems, these study groups met monthly for a period of one to two hours. Other systems held them two per month, two per senester, eight to twelve times per year, four times per year or two per year with the length of each meeting usually varied. These were usually held before or after school or at night either in the local school building or in

#### individual schools

Individual school faculty professional reading groups were reported in only seven local school divisions; individual school faculty
cultural reading groups were reported in only five local school
divisions.

The most frequently stated professional activities of individual school faculty groups were:

- 1. Division and inter-school meetings
- 2. In-service study
- 3. Night classes hold for college credit
- 4. Professional faculty meetings
- 5. Workshops operated by local supervisors
- 6. Study of problem that are pertinent to objectives of the school
- 7. Study of problems of the division or ones peculiar to their own school
- 8. Attendance at regional, state, and national conferences
- 9. Local education association meetings
- 10. Grade level study groups and projects
- 11. P-IA study groups.

#### F. PROVISIONS FOR SCHOOL VISITATION AND ORDERVATION

Provisions for school visitation and observation were made in most school divisions studied. Intra-school visitation, inter-school visitation, school visitation outside the system and school visitation outside the state will be discussed.

Observation within the same school is encouraged by thirty-nine school divisions and is occasionally provided for in ten divisions.

Sixteen divisions provide for intra-school visitation as the need arises while other systems set aside from one to two days. Classes were usually taken care of by principals, substitute or other teachers.

Fifty school divisions provide for inter-school visitation and observation observation. Some divisions designate visitation and observation for new teachers only, for weak teachers, as needed or in special cases. Time given to inter-school visitation was stated as "occasionally" by eighteen divisions, one day by fifteen divisions, as needed by ten divisions, one-half day by two divisions, one to two hours by two divisions, as much as possible by two divisions, and two times per year by one division.

Only four divisions do not provide a substitute. In only one division, the substitute is not paid by the local school division. The regular teachers were paid for the full day in forty-two divisions while in five divisions, the teacher was not paid when observing in another system. Three school divisions did not enswer this question.

Twenty-nine school divisions provide for visitation outside the system, twelve occasionally provide for it and five provide for it as needed. Fifteen systems provide one day for school visitation outside the system, ten provide time as the need arises, two divisions provide one to two days, and one division specified, "no specific amount." In twenty-five systems, a substitute is provided, in three systems children stay at home on those days, and in one division, room nothers do the substituting.

Only eleven divisions provide for school visitation and observation outside the state. One division stated that "the request had not come." Two divisions provide one day for visitation outside the state and nine reported no designated amount. In seven divisions providing this type of visitation, substitutes are provided and paid by the local division.

Eleven divisions reported a plan of subbatical leave. Descriptions of the subbatical leave plans were as follows:

- 1. Leave of absence without pay granted for additional college work.
- 2. One-half salary paid by school board--number of teachers not to exceed one per cent.
- 3. A leave is granted ofter five years of teaching.
- 4. After five years' service, a teacher is eligible for substical leave for half year or full year on one-half salary.
- 5. After seven years' service, one year leave of absence is granted with full salary, for one per cent of entire staff.
- 6. One senester is granted after four years' service-substitute pay deducted from salary.
- 7. One year leave of absence is provided.
- 8. Limited plan for part compensation for approved leave of absence to study.
- 9. One teacher per year with \$500.00 stipend for edvanced study.

#### G. SCHOOL BOARD APPROPRIATIONS FOR IN-SERVICE EDUCATION

In forty-seven divisions, the school board appropriated money to be used for in-service education. Some of the most frequently stated provisions were:

- 1. For outside consultants to conduct extended study
- 2. For part or all of the cost of extension classes
- 3. For speakers and consultants for the conferences
- 4. Workshops during the surner.

Fifteen divisions provide for substitute teachers so that teachers may participate in in-service education programs during school hours. In nineteen systems, children are dismissed for a part or full day so that teachers may participate in in-service education programs. Children are dismissed from one to three hours several times a year in some divisions while other systems provide days in the calendar for in-service training and the children stay at home on these days.

#### H. PROVISIONS FOR ATTENDANCE AT PROFESSIONAL MEETINGS

Only nine divisions made some provision for the local education association to meet during school hours; two sometimes did. Time for these meetings was usually arranged by early dismissal of the students.

Sixty-eight divisions reported attendance at district, state and national meetings of professional organization. Three divisions did not answer this question. In seventeen divisions, all teachers were given opportunity to attend state, district and national meetings. In nine divisions all teachers were given opportunity to attend state meetings while in fourteen divisions, all teachers attend the district meetings. In thirty-seven divisions, delegates were selected to attend state and district meetings. In some systems, representatives also attended.

#### I. WORKSHOPS

Thirty-seven divisions held workshops during the year. These were usually held after school, in the evening, during mid-year conference or during the summer. They were held in Mathematica, Art, Reading, Georgraphy, Music or Writing. They were usually sponsored by a college or university.

#### J. PROVISIONS FOR EXTENSION CLASSES

All divisions encourage their teachers to take advanced work. All but one division had some plan for extension courses. Mest systems provide one or two extension classes per year with one system offering as many as four or five per semester. These classes were planned in cooperation with the university extension centers, branch colleges or other nearby colleges. Some courses were held within the system while others were held on campus. Summer workshops were also held in many divisions in cooperation with colleges and universities for college credit.

In only twenty divisions, there was no financial assistance for extension classes. In thirteen divisions, part of the tuition was paid by the school board while in a few divisions the total cost of the course was provided for by the school board. Some divisions train one or two teachers to act as consultants for the other teachers or send teachers for special training when needed.

#### K. USE OF PERSONNEL FILES

Most of the school divisions studied, make some provisions for the use of their personnel files. Some of the most frequently stated uses of these files were:

- 1. To advise teachers on what college courses to pursue.
- 2. To remind individuals to acquire increment credits and to renew certificates
- 3. To determine needs of tenchers in order to plan the in-service program accordingly
- 4. To make recommendations to teachers concerning courses, advanced work and certification in additional fields.

#### CHAPTER III

#### SUMMARY. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### A. SUMARY

The Virginia school divisions involved in this study provide many in-service education practices to stimulate professional growth and improvement of instruction.

All seventy-one school divisions studied reported plans for some type of conference during the year as a part of their in-service education program. Forty-four school divisions had post-school conferences, all seventy-one divisions had pre-school conferences and fifty-six divisions hald mid-year conferences. All seventy-one divisions made some provisions for the orientation of new teachers.

The post-school conference usually lasts from one to four days. The purpose of the post-school conference was generally (1) to complete records, reports and other data and (2) to evaluate and summarize the success of the year's activities and to make preliminary plans for the coming year. The leadership in the planning of the post-school conference is usually taken by principals, teachers, and supervisors.

An evaluation of the in-service training program is made through (1) vorkshops, (2) discussions, (3) letters of inquiry, (4) question-naires, (5) self-evaluation and (6) teacher suggestions. Flans are also made for the in-service education program for the coming year.

The pre-school conference was generally held for two or three days. The purpose of the pre-school conference was: (1) for crientation of the new personnel, (2) conferences and (3)departmental and grade level meetings. The leadership in the planning of this conference was

most frequently taken by the superintendent, principals, and supervisory staff.

The orientation program included many activities such as socials, inmiliarization with school and division-wide policies and with the community.

Some of the activities for professional improvement at the preschool conference were (1) inspirational speakers, (2) departmental or grade group meetings, (3) demonstrations, (4) workshops, (5) individual classroom work and (6) administrative programs.

All but three of the seventy-one divisions provide time at the pre-school conference for the local education association to meet. Some of the activities of the local education association included socials, business meetings, professional meetings and planning meetings.

Teachers are acquainted with the community in thirty-eight school divisions while thirty-three divisions cake no provisions for this. Acquaintance with the community is made through tours, visits to industries, luncheous and teas, films, and talks by the local people.

In forty-two divisions, members of the community were asked to participate in the orientation program. Local speakers were used, community-sponsored social events were held, appearance of school board members at conferences and through the explanation of community services.

In thirty-five school divisions, institutes or workshops were held during the pre-school conferences. These were conducted by subject specialists, textbook consultants, Virginia State Department of Education, and by teachers who had attended number workshops.

The mid-year conference is usually planned for activities which will promote the improvement of instruction. Some of these include:

- 1. Evaluating and planning for the reminder of year
- 2. In-service training meetings
- 3. Vee of speakers and consultants
- 4. Study of new instructional methods
- 5. Workshops
- 6. Work on curriculum and improvement.

Teachers are given aid in the selection of summer courses, institutes, and workshops at the mid-year conference through (1) individual conferences, (2) announcements, bulletins, and questionnaires, (3) explanation of courses by extension director and accorands at faculty pactings.

Supervisory and consultant services are available in all schools; in some schools they are somewhat limited. Emphasis in supervision seems to be primarily for new teachers and inexperienced teachers.

Many local school divisions used the curriculum guides supplied by the state. Six replied that locally prepared curriculum guides were used. Forty divisions use both curriculum guides provided by the state and locally prepared courses of study.

Consultant services in the state of Virginia consist of those consultants within the local school divisions, consultants from the Virginia Department of Education, textbook consultants, college instructors, and local qualified consultants.

Individual school faculty study groups are a part of the in-service education program in fifty-two divisions. Fifty-three divisions participate in departmental and grade level study groups. Only seven

divisions have professional reading groups and only five divisions have cultural reading groups. Other professional activities included:

- 1. In-service study
- 2. Night classes
- 3. Professional faculty meetings
- 4. Workshops
- 5. Study of problems pertinent to the improvement of instruction
- 6. Local education meetings
- 7. P-TA study groups

Intro-school visitation and observation are encouraged by thirty-nine school divisions and are occasionally provided for by ten divisions. Sixteen divisions provide time for intra-school visitation as the need arises. Fifty school divisions plan for inter-school visitation and observation. Thirty-two divisions gravide for school visitation and observation outside the system.

Substitutes are provided for in all but four divisions having school visitation and observation both within the system and outside the system. In five divisions, the teacher was not paid while observing outside the system. In twenty-nine divisions, substitutes are provided for out-of-state visitation.

Plans for sabbatical leave were reported in eleven local school divisions. Plans were being developed in several other local divisions. Most of the plans listed provided for either one-half year or one year leave of absence with full salary.

In forty-seven local school divisions, the school board approprinted money for the in-service education program. These appropriations covered extension classes, consultant services, speakers and workshops and, in some cases, substitute teachers so that regular teachers could participate in an in-service education program.

Only nine divisions made some provisions for the local education association to meet during school hours.

Sixty-eight divisions reported attendance at district, state, and national meetings. In seventeen divisions, all teachers were given the opportunity to attend district, state, and national meetings of professional organisations.

Workshops were held in thirty-seven local school divisions while eighteen made no other provisions for workshops other than those held during the conferences. Sixteen divisions did not ensuer this question.

All local school divisions encourage teachers to take advanced work. All but one division made some plan for extension courses. Most local school divisions plan at least one extension class per year while one system offers as many as four or five a semester. In twenty divisions, there was no financial assistance for extension classes. In thirteen divisions, part of the tuition was paid; in other systems, the total cost of the class was borne by the school boards.

The personnel files were used in all systems studied to:

- 1. Advise teachers what college courses to pursue
- 2. Remind individuals to acquire increment credits and to renew certificates
- 3. To determine needs of teachers in order to plen the inservice program accordingly
- 4. To make recommendations to teachers concerning courses, advanced work and certification in additional fields

#### B. CONCLUSIONS

From a study of the results obtained in this investigation, the following conclusions have been reached concerning the in-service education practices in Virginia.

- 1. All school divisions involved in the study: (a) have school conferences as a major part of the in-service education program, (b) have some type of orientation program, (c) have some supervisory and consultant services available within the division, and (d) some have locally prepared courses of study.
- 2. Provisions for school visitation and observation are more for "the new teacher" or "special cases" than for all teachers involved in the system.
- 3. A limited amount of money is appropriated by the school board for in-service education practices.
- 4. All tenchers do not have the opportunity to attend district, state and national meetings of professional organizations.
  - 5. Extension classes and workshops are held in many divisions.
  - 6. Many divisions make use of the personnel files.

### C. RECONSTRADATIONS

The following recommendations have been made concerning the in-service education practices in Virginia:

1. The post-school conference should be held for the purpose of a complete evaluation of the year's program; from this evaluation, the in-service education program for the coming year should evolve.

- 2. As a means of improving instruction, school visitation and observation should be planned for all tenchers.
- 3. A sound plan for nabbatical leave would provide an incentive for teachers to take advanced work.

BIHLICGRAPHY

## A. Books

- American Council on Education. The Improvement of Tencher Mucestion.

  A Final Report by the Councilian on Vencion Education.

  Washington, D. C.: American Council on Education. 1946.
- Millermay, Chester T. Educational Supervision. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Conguny, 1971.

# B. PURLICATIONS OF THE CONTROLS.

- Virginio State Department of Minertion. Developing Conlity Instruction Through In-Service Minertion of Teochers. Michaels Virginia State Department of Minertion, 1982.
- Virginia April, 1962.

### C. PRRIEDICALS

- Blowers, "In-Cervice Education for an Economic Erg." Journal of Teacher Education. September, 1958. pp. 71-81.
- Cox, George and Taylor, Kenneth. "A Democratic Program of Professional Development and In-Service Training." <u>Bulletin Georgiany Echnol</u> Principal. Harch 1958. pp.31-38.
- Froshlich, Clifford F. "In-Service Training Programs That Succeed."

  A Journal for Modern Junior and Senior Mich School Enculties.

  January, 1949. pp. 5-0
- Gegn, Peter. "Improving In-Service Courses Turough Cooperative Problem Gathering." Riberation Administration and Supervision. Nay, 1959. pp. 115-177.
- Gordon, Rolda J. "Elements of Effoctive In-Service Education."

  Educational Londorphia. Rovember, 1953. pp.44-45.
- Herrick, V. E. "Approaches to Helping Instructional Practices."

  <u>School Review</u>. December, 1954. pp. 4043.
- Lubero, Theddeus J. "Now Can the Principal Promote Professional Growth in the Staff?" Bulletin Secondary School Principal. April, 1958.
- Mercan, C. E. "In-Service Education Through Decomposition Teaching."

  Journal of Teacher Education, January, 1961. pp. 56-58.

- Taylor, B. L. "Professional Growth-An Aim of In-Service Education."

  <u>Educational Administration and Supervision</u>. November, 1958.

  pp. 69-93
- Tower, Helvin. "A Study of Orientation and In-Service Education Practices in the Indianapolia Public Schools." Educational Administration and Supervision. April, 1956. pp. 219-229.
- Tower, Melvin. "Orientation and In-Service Education Practices in 91 School Systems in the United States." Educational Administration and Supervision. November, 1953. pp. 181-190.

APPENDIX

3 Carolya Drive Newport News, Virginia Nny 1, 1963

Mr. E. E. Will, Superintendent Brunswick County Public Schools Lawrenceville, Virginia

Dear Mr. Will:

In partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree Master of Science in Education at the University of Richmond, I am writing a thesis on "A Survey of In-Service Education Practices in Virginia to Stimulate Professional Growth and Improvement of Instruction." This work is being done under the direction of Dr. Edward F. Overton, Chairman of the Department of Education at the University of Richmond.

In order to develop the proper statistics, I need the information listed on the questionnaire enclosed. I shall appreciate it very much if you will complete the questionnaire and return it to me within a week. It would also be helpful if you include with your questionnaire a copy of your handbook for teachers and any mineographed copies of the programs or proceedings of the post-session, pre-school, or mid-year conferences.

If you would like to have a copy of the surmary of my study, please check the blank at the end of the questionnaire.

Thank you very much for your assistance.

Sincorely yours,

Faye Larry Cauley (Mrs. Lanier S. Cauley)

# B. QUESTIONIAIRE

Name of School System

|     | Name of person filling in the questionnaire Position   |
|-----|--|
| spa | In completing the following questionnire, if a section does not ly to your system, please write "N/A" in the first blank. If more ce is needed to complete your answers, please use the back of the e. |
|     | A. POST-SCHOOL CONFERENCE  |
| 1.  | Do you have a post-school conference? Yes No   |
| 2.  | How many days do you allow for this conference?  |
| 3.  | Is this conference (a) division-wide? (b) within the individual school? or (c) both?   |
| 4.  | If this conference is both division-wide and within the individual school, how much time is given to (a) division-wide?  and (b) within each individual school?  |
| 5.  | What is the primary purpose of this conference?  |
| 6.  | Who takes the leadership in the planning of this conference?   |
| 7*  | Who else participates in the planning of this conference?  |
| 8.  | At this conference, do you make plans for the in-service training program for the coming year?   |
|     | Are the days which make up the post-school conference paid professional lays?  |
| 10. | Do you make an evaluation of the in-service training program at this conference? If so, please describe  |
|     |  |
|     | D. PRE-SCHOOL CONFERENCE   |
| 1.  | Do you have a pre-school conference? Yes No  |
| 2.  | How many days are allowed for this conference  |

| 3.  | Of the total time allowed for the pre-school conference, what part of that time is allowed for each of the following? |
|-----|---|
|     | Division-wide Individual School   |
| •   | Orientation Conference or group meeting Departmental or grade level meetings  |
| 4.  | Who takes the leadership in the planning of the orientation program?  |
|     |   |
| 5•  | Who else participates in the planning?  |
| 6.  | Please list activities included in the orientation program?   |
|     |   |
| 7.  | What type of program or activities other than orientation do you pla<br>for this conference? Please list:             |
|     |   |
| 8.  | Please explain how the supervisory and consultant services are made known to the teachers?                            |
| 26. |   |
| 9.  | How much time is given to local education association activities?   |
|     |   |
| 10. | Please list pre-school local education association activities:  |
| 4   |   |
| 12. | Are teachers acquainted with the community in any way during this conference? If so, please describe the activities.  |
|     |   |
|     |   |
| 12. | Do representatives of the community participate in the orientation program? If so, how?                               |
|     |   |
|     |   |
| 13. | Are institutes or workshops held during pre-school conference?  If so, please list and describe.                      |
| •   |   |
|     |   |

| 14. | Are home visits encouraged? If so, is time set aside to do this? If time is set aside for this purpose, when?   |
|-----|---|
|     | C. HID-YEAR CONSTRUMCE  |
| 1.  | Do you have smid-year conference? Yes No  |
| 2.  | How many days are given to the mid-year conference?   |
| 3.  | Is this conference (a) division-wide? (b) within each individual school? (c) both?  |
| 4.  | If this conference is both division-wide and within each individual school, how much time is given to each?  (a) Division-wide(b) Within each school  |
| 5.  | What is the purpose of your mid-year conference?  |
|     |   |
| 6.  | What type of program do you plan? Places describe.  |
|     |   |
| 7.  | Who plans the program?  |
|     |   |
| 8,  | At this conference, are teachers aided in the selection of summer courses, institutes and vorkshops directly related to their need for improvement? How?  |
|     |   |
|     | D. SUPERVISORY AND CONSULTANT SERVICES  |
| 1.  | Please check course of study which you follow?  (a) Course of study provided by the state  (b) Course of study prepared by your local school division  (c) If you have local school division courses of study, please list:  (d) Who has a part in the preparation of your division or individual school course of study? |
|     | (e) If you have locally prepared courses of study, when was time provided for their preparation?  |

| for visiting the school, belp given now teachers, help given experienced teachers, conferences, etc.   |
|--|
| Please list those who have a part in the preparation of your han book unless this information is contained in the handbook:  |
| Please list the consultant services provided by your division:   |
| If you have checked question 1.(a), please list the grade and his school areas provided by the state which you use:  |
| E. PROVISION FOR SCHOOL VISITATION AND OPSERVATION  Do you encourage observation of other classes within the same school?  If so, how much time is given to this practice?  Who takes care of the class of the teach |
| who is visiting enother class?  Do you plan for inter-school visitation?  (a) If so, how such time is allowed each teacher for this purpose  |
| (b) Are substitutes provided?  |
| (c) If so, by whom are they paid?  |
| (c) If so, by whom are they peid?  When a teacher observes, is he also paid for the day?  Is he paid in full?  Or, is he paid only what remains as the substitute is paid?   |

| 5. | Do you plan for school visitation outside the state?  (a) If so, how much time is allowed each teacher for this purpose?   |
|----|--|
|    | (b) Are substitutes provided? (c) If so, by whom are they paid?  |
| 6. | Are the days allowed for school visitation cumulative?   |
| 7. | Do you have any plan for sabbatical leave? If so, please describe.   |
|    |  |
|    | F. INDIVIDUAL SCHOOL FACULTY STUDY GROUPS  |
| 2. | Does each school have departmental or grade level study groups during the year?  |
| 2. | How often are these meetings held? How long are they?  |
| 3. | When (hour) and where are these meetings held?   |
| 4. | Do the individual school faculty groups have professional reading groups? If so, how are they conducted?   |
|    | Do the individual school faculty groups have cultural reading groups? If so, how are they conducted?   |
| 6. | Please list other professional activities of individual school faculty groups:   |
|    |  |
| 7. | Does each school have a professional library?  |
|    | G. MISCELLANEOUS   |
| 1. | Does the school board budget contain appropriations for the In-<br>Service Training Program? Please explain or state<br>provisions.  |
| 2. | Are substitute teachers provided at school board expense so that teachers may have In-Service Training Programs during school hours?  If so, how much time is given to such a program? |

| 3*  | Are children ever dismissed for a day or a portion of the day in order to carry out some part of the In-Service Training Brogram?  Please explain. |
|-----|--|
|     |  |
| 4.  | Is time provided for the local education association to meet during school hours? How is this arranged?  |
| 5.  | ,  |
| 6.  | Do you hold any type of workshop or institute during the year?  If so, please describe.  |
| 7.  | Do you have any type of retreat? If so, please describe.   |
| 8.  | Do you encourage your teachers to take advanced work?  |
| 9.  | What provisions does your system make for extension classes?   |
| 10. | Does your system offer any financial assistance? Please explain necessary basis for grants or awards and amounts.                                  |
| 11. | What use is made of the personnel files to assist individuals in professional growth?  |
| 12. | Would you like a copy of the summary of this questionnaire?  |
|     | Yes No   |

## APPEIDIX C

# SUMMARY OF DATA

| Δ:  | DOCTI- | SCHOOT. | CONFERENCE |
|-----|--------|---------|------------|
| 212 |        |         |            |

1. Summary of time allotments by school divisions is the same as found on Table I in the text of Chapter II.

# 2. Purpose of Post-School Conference

|    | Purpose No. D:   | visions       |
|----|--|---------------|
|    | To evaluate past year and plan for coming year   | 10            |
|    | To complete records and make plans for coming year   | 6             |
|    | To evaluate year's work and complete records   | 7             |
|    | Work day onlyto complete records   | 7             |
| æ  | Evaluation and recognition of work accomplished  | 14            |
|    | To work on curriculum improvement  | 3             |
|    | To create an understanding between schools   | 1             |
|    | To outline work to be done coming year   | 1             |
|    | To plan for orientation  | 1             |
|    | No anaverage and a second seco | 3             |
| 3* | Leadership in the planning of this conference  |               |
|    | Leadership taken by:   | <u>loions</u> |
|    | Principals   | 17            |
|    | Supervisory staff and principals   | 5             |
|    | Superintendent and principals  | 4             |
|    | Superintendent   | 2             |
|    | Superintendent, supervisors and principals   | 2             |
|    | Director of instruction  | 2             |

| 48       |   |    |
|----------|---|----|
| 2        | Principals and selected teachers                                      |    |
| 1        | Superintendent and supervisor   |    |
| 1.       | Principal and director of instruction                                 |    |
| 1        | Supervisor, principal and teacher                                     |    |
| 1        | Principal, superintendent, and director of instruction                |    |
| 1        | Superintendent and director of instruction                            |    |
| 1        | Heads of departments, supervisors, principals and teachers.           |    |
| 1        | Supervisor, principals, special committees                            |    |
|          | Others who participated in the planning of the post-school conference | 4, |
| <u> </u> | No. Divisi  |    |
| 13       | No ong  |    |
| 6        | Teachers  |    |
| 3        | Superintendent  |    |
| 3        | Superintendent and supervisors  |    |
| 2        | Supervisor and principals   |    |
| 1        | Superintendent, supervisor and teachers                               |    |
| 1        | Principals  |    |
| 1        | Supervisors   |    |
| 1        | Supervisors, consultants and special teachers                         |    |
| 1        | Teachers and principals   |    |
| 1        | Supervisors, guidance personnel and superintendent                    |    |
| 1        | Director of instruction and supervisor                                |    |
| 1        | Superintendent, principals and teachers                               |    |
| 1        | Occasionally someone from the Virginia State Department of Education  |    |
| 1        | Superintendent and lay people   |    |
| 1        | Textbook consultants and resource people from nearby colleges         |    |

|    | 2•   | Pa   | ma for in-service                      | a righting bros | rum for the co   | ming year:    |
|----|------|------|--|-----------------|--|---------------|
|    |      |      | Yes_26_                                | No 13           | No Answer  |               |
|    | 6.   | Pa:  | ld professional de                     | Ae:             |  |               |
|    |      |      | Yes 36                                 | No2             | No Annwer  | <u>.5</u>     |
|    | 7.   | Eve  | iluation of in-ser                     | vice training   | program at thi   | s conference: |
|    |      |      | Yes <u>18</u>                          | No_22_          | No Answer  | 3             |
|    |      | He   | chods of evaluation                    | n reported:     |  | No.Divisions  |
|    |      | Tr   | to determine suc                       | cess of year t  | hrough discuss   | ions7         |
|    |      | Se.  | f-eveluation by t                      | eschors         | ********   | 1             |
|    |      |      | tten evaluation w<br>ndividual teacher |                 |  |               |
|    |      |      | ter of inquiry to                      |                 |  |               |
|    |      | Use  | of questionnaire                       | to teachers     | ********   | ******* 1.    |
|    |      | No   | answer                                 | ****            | *****  | 7.            |
| В. | PRE- | SCHO | OL CONFERENCE                          |                 |  |               |
|    |      | 1.   | Surmary of time<br>same as found in    |                 | school divisi  | ons is the    |
|    |      | 2.   | Leadership in th                       | e planning of   | the orientation  | n program     |
|    |      |      | Leadership token                       |                 | and the second s | No. Divisions |
|    |      |      | Superintendent,                        | supervisory st  | off and princi   | pals 12       |
|    |      |      | Principals                             |                 |  |               |
|    |      |      | Superintendent                         | *********       |  | ****** 7      |
|    |      |      | Superintendent a                       | ed supervisors  | *********  |               |
|    |      |      | Director of insta                      | ruction         | *******  | 5             |
|    |      |      | Superintendent or                      | od principals.  | ***  | ***** 5       |
|    |      |      | Principals and s                       | pervisors       | *******  |               |
|    |      |      | Local education a                      | esociation      | *******  | ****** 4      |
|    |      |      | Department of ins                      | 7               |  |               |

|   | 2  |
|---|----|
| General supervisor  | 2  |
| Director of instruction and principals  | 2  |
| Director of instruction, supervisors and principals   | 2  |
| Committee with various sub-committees under direction of school board   | 3. |
| School board office   | 1  |
| Administrative and supervisory staff with committee   | 1  |
| Director of instruction, supervisors, principals and teachers   | l, |
| Superintendent, principals and teachers   | 1  |
|   | L  |
|   | 1  |
| Supervisory staff   | 1  |
| Director of instruction and faculty representative from each school   | L  |
| Others who participated in the planning of the Conference   |    |
| Teachers  | 7  |
| Ho one and an analysis and an | 7  |
| Supervisors   | ž  |
| Supervisory staff and principals 3  | Ì  |
| Supervisors, cortain chairmen and teachers of departments and principals  |    |
| Superintendent, principals and teachers 2   | 2  |
| Superintendent  | 2  |
| Principals and superintendent   | ŝ  |

| Supervisors, teachers, resource people from State Department of Education and local education |   |
|---|---|
| association   | 5 |
| Local education association   | 2 |
| Department of personnel and instruction   | 1 |
| Special supervisors plan for work with special teachers                                       | 1 |
| Consultants   | 1 |
| Parontainmentenantenantenantenantenantenantenant  | 1 |
| Pupil ideas are used  | 1 |
| Principals  | 1 |
| Principals and guidance personnel   | 1 |
| Resource people from State Department of Education  | 1 |
| Supervisors and principals  | 1 |
| Director of instruction and director of personnel   | 1 |
| Superintendent and administration   | 1 |
| Assistant superintendent and principals   | 1 |
| Guidance coordinator  | 1 |
| Principals and grade group chairmen   | 1 |
| Superintendent and local education association  | 1 |
| Group leaders and invited guests  | 1 |
| Principals, supervisors and director of instruction   | I |
| Administrative staff  | 1 |
| All professional people   | 1 |
| Officers of professional organization, grade department chairmen, P-TA, and community groups  | 1 |
| Director of Instruction   | 1 |
| No ono  | 1 |

| 3∗ | Activities included in the orientation program   | No Divisions                          |
|----|--|---------------------------------------|
|    | Program to give out general routine information concerning school board policies, school policies, record keeping, etc |                                       |
|    | Group meetings by subjects and grade level   | • <b>5</b> , .                        |
|    | Tour of community  |                                       |
|    | Impirational speaker   |                                       |
|    | Individual school crientation  |                                       |
|    | Introduction of personnel  | • 3                                   |
|    | Familiarization with buildings and facilities  |                                       |
|    | Lunchoon   | 3                                     |
|    | Make services of the system known  | . a                                   |
|    | Local education program  | 2                                     |
|    | Suitable boarding places and homes are found for new teachers  | ·                                     |
|    | Welcome by mayor, schools and association  | <b>1</b>                              |
|    | Special courtesies at beginning of school<br>flowers on new teachers' desks', Women's Club<br>luncheon                 | <b> 1</b> .                           |
|    | Social by local education association  |                                       |
|    | Program within the school arranged by superinten-  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
|    | Picniconsessossossossossossossossossossossos   | <b>1</b>                              |
|    | Ten for one hour at end of first day   | 1                                     |
|    | Elementary supervisor has meeting with new teachers  | e se gradina                          |
|    | Opportunity for individual initiative and experimentation by meetings, small group discussions.                        |                                       |
|    | Welcome by school board chairman and greetings by key civic leaders  |                                       |

|    | Talks by Virginia State Department personnel and textbook consultants  | 1             |
|----|--|---------------|
|    | Chamber of Cornerce dinner for new teachers  | 1             |
|    | Review of suppor extivities  | 1             |
|    | Plans for the year   | 1.            |
|    | Luncheon given by school board   | I             |
|    | Visit to county library and film library   | 1             |
|    | Supervisors, school board members and officers of local education association have luncheon  | 1             |
| X  | All constructive measures available  | 1             |
|    | Meeting of new teachers with supervisors in a group and individually   | 1             |
|    | Visit to school board office, schools  | 1.            |
|    | Send letters of velcome  | 1             |
|    |  |               |
| 4. | Participation of community in orientation:  Yes 42 No 24 No Answer 3   |               |
| 4. |  |               |
| 4. | Yes 42 No 24 No Answer 3  Sometimes 4  Teachers are acquainted with the community in the following ways:   |               |
| 4. | Yes 42 No 24 No Answer 3  Sometimes 4  Teachers are acquainted with the community in the following ways:  No. Divi   | oions         |
| 4. | Yes 42 No 24 No Answer 3  Sometimes 4  Teachers are acquainted with the community in the following ways:   |               |
| 4. | Yes 42 No 24 No Answer 3  Sometimes 4  Teachers are acquainted with the community in the following ways:  No. Divi  Luncheon, picnics, or receptions by Women's Clubs, Chamber of Commerce, P-TA, or other civic organizations.  Representatives of community serve as speakers, on panels and assist with socials.  |               |
| 4. | Yes 42 No 24 No Answer 3  Sometimes 4  Teachers are acquainted with the community in the following ways:  No. Divi  Lumcheon, picnics, or receptions by Women's Clubs, Chamber of Commerce, P-TA, or other civic organizations.  Representatives of community serve as speakers, on  | 13            |
| 4. | Yes 42 No 24 No Answer 3  Sometimes 4  Teachers are acquainted with the community in the following ways:  No. Divi  Lumcheon, picnics, or receptions by Women's Clubs, Chamber of Commerce, P-TA, or other civic organizations.  Representatives of community serve as speakers, on panels and assist with socials.  | 13<br>18<br>4 |
| 4. | Sometimes 4  Teachers are acquainted with the community in the following ways:  No. Divince Di | 13<br>18<br>4 |

|    | Type of program or activities other than orientation                                    |           |
|----|---|-----------|
|    | Activities reported: No.  | Divisions |
|    | Inspirational speaker   | 11.       |
|    | Departmental and grade level meetings   | 7         |
|    | Introduction and discussion of new textbooks  | 5         |
|    | Conferences in individual schools planning and pre-<br>paring for year's work           | 7         |
|    | Workshops   | 3         |
|    | Luncheon or social  | 2         |
|    | Some emphasis program for the year started  | 2         |
|    | Administrative  | 2         |
|    | Panel discussions   | 2         |
|    | Consultant aid for teachers   | 1         |
|    | Review of handbook  | 1,        |
|    | Talks by superintendent, director of instruction and others outlining general sims      | 1         |
|    | Leadership conferences for principals and supervisors.                                  | <b>1</b>  |
|    | In-service training in particular areas and specific directions                         | 1         |
| Š. | Supervisory and consultative services are made known to teachers through the following: |           |
| 1- | Pre-school conferences and faculty meetings   | 26        |
|    | Bulletins or handbooks  | 17        |
| ,  | Letter to new teachers  | 3         |
| ,  | Personal contact  | б         |
|    | Orientation of now personnel  | 3         |
|    | Announcements   | 4         |
|    | Each supervisor and consultant meets with new teachers twice a year and as a group      | 2         |
|    | Through grade level and subject area discussion   | 1.        |
|    | managed and manufacture   | \$        |

|    | Visitations to schools  | 1    |
|----|---|------|
|    | Directly from main office   | 1    |
|    | No diswer   | 4    |
| 7. | Time given to local education association at the pre-school conference: |      |
|    | Yeo Gt No 7   |      |
|    | Time given was as follows: One day 6                                    |      |
|    | Less than one hour 2 As requested 2                                     |      |
|    | One to two hours 35 Not any 7   |      |
|    | One-half day 36 No answer 3   |      |
| 8. | Pre-school education association activities:                            |      |
|    | Activities No. Divis  | long |
|    | Check on committee work and program for the year                        | 50   |
|    | Help plan and assist with social functions                              | 11   |
|    | Program with a speaker  | 7    |
|    | Introduction of personnel   | L.   |
|    | Assist with orientation   | 2    |
|    | Report of delegates who have attended meetings                          | 3    |
|    | Explanation of insurance and other benefits                             | 3    |
|    | Election of delegates to Virginia Education Association                 | 3    |
|    | Letter of volcome to new teachers                                       | 2    |
|    | Consider matters to come before Virginia Education Association          | 5    |
|    | Trips   | 1    |
|    | Discuss budget  | 1    |
|    | Executive meeting to organize committee with the community.             | 1    |

| 7.  | T.C.C | while no provisions are made for this in 33 divisions.   |   |
|-----|-------|--|---|
|     | Tec   | ochers are acquainted with the community in the following we   | W |
|     | Tot   | r of the community with visits to its industries   | 4 |
|     |       | equet, luncheon, picnics, teas, and receptions are given by  | 9 |
|     | P-1   | A leaders and other community people invited in to acquaint teachers with aspects of locality                                  | 7 |
|     | Fol   | der of materials given   | 2 |
|     | No    | o are given  | 1 |
|     |       | dentation peetings for new touchersprincipals explain  | 3 |
| 1   | F11   | in on comunity to shown  | 1 |
|     |       | arch information and living arrangements information   | 2 |
|     | Let   | ters of velcome written before teachers arrive   | 1 |
|     | Dia   | tribution of favors  | 1 |
| 10. | Wor   | kshops held during the pre-school conference   |   |
|     |       | Yes 35 No 34 No enswer 2   |   |
|     | Des   | criptions of the workshops were as follows:  |   |
|     | 1.    | Consultants conducted them in an introductory namer in some subject area to be followed through by teachers later              | * |
|     | 2.    | Teachers who attended summer workshops conducted limited workshops within their own schools.                                   |   |
|     | 3*    | The Virginia State Department of Education conducted some workshops. These were concerned with English and physical education. |   |
|     | 4.    | Textbook consultants held some of the workshops.   |   |
|     | 5.    | In one system, the United States Office of Hiucation conducted a workshop.   |   |

- 6. In others, workshops were conducted by the county supervisors in some subject area or methodology.
- 7. Some practical workshops were held, mostly departmental and committee work.

Workshops have been conducted recently in science, math, art music, reading, prithmetic, physical education, sudio-visuals and in curriculum development.

## C. MID-YEAR COMMERCIAL

1. Summary of time allotments by school divisions is the same as found on Table III.

# 2. Purpose of Mid-Year Conference

|    | Purpose as stated on questionsaire                       | No.Divi       | Blons        |
|----|--|---------------|--------------|
|    | Evaluate first semester and plan for second              | *****         | 16           |
|    | Professional improvement                                 | *****         | 16           |
|    | Evaluate and complete records                            | *****         | 6            |
|    | Work day to complete records and reports                 | *****         | 5            |
|    | Evaluation only  | *****         | 5            |
|    | Professional meetings and work day                       | *****         | 2            |
|    | To present new materials and methodology                 | • • • • • • • | 2            |
|    | Acquaint parents with work of children                   | *****         | 1            |
|    | Complete records, evaluate and plan                      | ******        | 1.           |
|    | Complete records and hold parent conferences             | ******        | 1            |
| 3. | Type of Program  |               |              |
|    | Types as listed on questionnaire                         | No. Divi      | <u>sions</u> |
|    | Professional using consultants and inspirationa speakers |               | 8            |
|    | Group conferences in subject area using special          |               | 13           |
|    | Evoluation   | *****         | 8            |
|    | Varies according to needs of teachers                    | ******        | 4            |

|    | Mark Brottsmeseseseseseseseseseseseseseseseses   | 3      |
|----|--|--------|
|    | Program planned by principals in individual school   | · 2    |
|    | Workshopeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee   | 1      |
|    | No programmente de la constant de la | -27    |
| 4. | Planning of the program is done by: No. Div  | icions |
|    | Principals   | 7      |
|    | Administrative and supervisory personnel   | 8      |
|    | Supervisor, principals and teachers  | - 5    |
|    | Principals and supervisors   | . 4    |
|    | Superintendent   | - 2    |
|    | Superintendent, supervisory staff, principals and teachers   | 2      |
|    | Superintendent and supervisor  | ·2     |
|    | Director of instruction  | · 3    |
|    | Director of instruction, principal and teachers  | 2      |
|    | Supervisory staff, principals and teachers   | 1      |
|    | Superintendent, supervisory staff with teacher counsel.  | 1      |
|    | Superintendent, supervisors, principals, and president of local education association  | . 1    |
|    | School board staff, principals and teachers  | 1      |
|    | Superintendent and principals  | 1      |
|    | Principals and guidance counselor  | 1      |
|    | Director of instruction and principals   | 1      |
|    | Director of instruction, supervisor and principals   | 3      |
|    | Director of instruction, supervisor, principals and teachers   | 1      |
| •  | The senioristan  | 11     |

5. Teachers are mided in the selection of summer courses, institutes and workshops directly related to their need for improvement as follows:

Local needs are identified and institutes and workshops are planned and offered with specific purposes in mind.

Individual conferences are held.

Suggestions are offered through study of individual needs of teachers for professional improvement and for certification purposes.

The use of questionnaires, bulletins and personal contact are used to holp teachers select courses.

Announcement of available classes are cade.

Discussion of new plans and new needs bring about participation in courses, institutes and workshops.

Extension director explains courses and brochures designating those courses available for certification.

Through memoranda of faculty meetings teachers learn of courses available.

### D. SUPERVISORY AND CONSULTANT SERVICES

- 1. Description of plan of supervision as stated on the questionnaire:
  - a. Supervisors are on call from all schools. They often attend faculty meetings to introduce new materials. Individual and group conferences are hold.
  - b. Supervisors give priority to new teachers and weak teachers, then help others as requested and reach the remaining teachers through observation.
  - c. Many supervisors have a regular set schedule for visiting, usually once every two weeks while others conduct unscheduled visits.
  - d. In one system, the supervisors try to evaluate each teacher at the end of the first semester and have a conference following

observation in which praise for work well done is given and suggestions for improvement are made. An evaluation is also made during the second semester for the superintendent so that he can make recommendations for another year.

- c. In some systems, the supervisor visits only on request from the teacher or principal or when a special project is in operation.
- f. In some systems, now teachers and first grade teachers are contacted first. A box is placed at each school for requests. Some teachers ask for conferences and these are held with those who need special help.
- g. Other supervisors work on call or need with unscheduled visits to schools or planned when specific needs arise. The first part of the year is spent orienting and assisting new personnel. Teachers may request observation or demonstrations. Individual and group conferences are also hold with the substitute teachers.
- h. Supervisors are also responsible for planning instructional conferences, demonstrations, selecting and introducing new materials to teachers and in planning for inter-school visitation and observation.
- i. Faculty meetings and education meetings monthly in each school with  $\mathbf{l}_2^4$  hours discussion of new developments in education.
- j. Director of instruction and elementary supervisor and non-teaching principal give priority to new teachers and weak teachers, then to others as requested, then remainder through observation.

- k. Each elementary supervisor works with 90 teachers visiting on regular schedule and on call. Special meeting and conferences are held with new teachers. These meetings are held each menth for a period of two hours.
- 1. The supervisors plan instructional conferences and work study groups.
- m. Supervisors are responsible for selection of materials for teaching, demonstration teaching, planning inter-visitation and observation.
- n. Supervisors visit all teachers in grades 1-4, the director of instruction visits 5-12. Individual teacher conferences ere held. Seminars on voluntary basis are beld at night.
- o. In some divisions, the principal is mainly responsible for supervision.
- p. Supervision from the central office is relatively new in one system. The visitation of classrooms is mostly by invitation from principal or teacher.
- 2. Preparation of the bandbook was done by the following:
  - 11 Supervisory staff, principals Superintendent..... 11 Principal Administrative staff and a committee from local educa-12 Supervisor tion association ..... 2 Teechers ...... 7 6 Surerintendent and staff Director of instruction. 5 Instruction staff..... 1 Local education eseccio-2 Committee on principals and Sichereneeseeseeseesee
- 3. Consultant services as listed on the questionnaire were:
  Director of instruction

Superintendent

Specialists from book company

Those available from the Virginia State Department of Education Elementary Supervisor and music consultant from elementary Grades State Department of Education

College instructors

Local people

Officers of local education association

Science coordinator, physical education

Moth and science

Welfare and health departments

Guidance, reading and speech, special education

Local guidance center

Audio-visual, distributive education, industrial education special

Education, speech, special reading, home economics

# 4. Course of study used:

Course of study followed by local school divisions:

Course of study provided by the state ..... 22

Course of study prepared by local school division.... 6

Pothereinereneraloropassissereneralization (40

Most of those who use the state curriculum guides use all of them while others use only science, math, history, and health.

# 5. Locally prepared courses of study have been made in the following:

8th and 9th grade science

Social studies in 3rd grade

Social Studies 8-12

Science 7, 8, and 9

Tentative curriculum muide English and social studies All subjects 1-12 Physical education English, social studies, math and science General science, hors economics, vocational agriculture Local history and geography Language arts, bealth and physical education Elementary language arts Industrial arts, agriculture, art, science English, science, physical education Curriculum for all grades and most subject areas State history, Science K-12 Elecentary grades and English Elementary curriculum guide

Secondary program

All areas

Social studies, business education, English and math

6. These who have a part in the preparation of the division or individual school course of study vers:

| No. D   | iviolono |
|---|----------|
| Supervisors, principals, and teachers             | 26       |
| Principals and teachers                           | 7        |
| The entire personnel                              | 7        |
| Teachers  | 4        |
| Superintendent, supervisory stoff, and principals | 1        |
| Director of instruction and teachers              | 1.       |

|    |       | Erementary amoralson and teachers of elementary achool                                  | 1  |
|----|-------|---|----|
|    |       | Principal, director of instruction, teachers  | 1  |
|    |       | Key teachers and supervisors  | 1  |
|    |       | Heads of department with key teachers   | 1  |
|    |       | Director of instruction, principals and teachers  | 2  |
|    |       | Teachers, principals, director of instruction   | 1  |
|    |       | Supervisors and committee   | 1  |
|    |       | School board members  | 3  |
|    | 7.    | The course of study premared at the local school level was rade at the following times: |    |
|    |       | Summerprofessionally paid days  | 15 |
|    |       | During in-corvice training period   | 7  |
|    |       | After schoolssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssssss  | 8  |
|    |       | During regular school day   | 7  |
|    |       | Principals and teachers own time  | 1  |
|    |       | At nightagassassassassassassassassassassassassas  | 2  |
|    |       | Saturdaya   | 2  |
| e. | PROVI | SIONS FOR SCHOOL VIBITATION AND OBSERVATION   |    |
|    | 1. 1  | ntra-school observation:  |    |
|    |       | Yes 39 No 22 Occasionally 10  |    |
|    |       | Time given:   |    |
|    |       | As need srices  | 16 |
|    |       | One day   | 11 |
|    |       | One to two days   | 5  |
|    |       | Two days  | 2  |
|    |       | Twice per year  | 2  |
|    |       | Not enough  | 2  |

| 3.  | School visitation outside system:  |
|-----|--|
|     | Yes 29 No 15 As needed 5   |
|     | Occasionally 12 No ensurer 10  |
|     | Time elimed:   |
|     | One day  |
|     | As need original section of the section of the section from the section of the se |
|     | One to two days 2  |
|     | No specific amount   |
|     | No answersessessessessessessessessessessessesse  |
|     | Substitute provided:   |
|     | Yes  |
|     | Children stay at home  |
|     | Room mothers substitute  |
| ly. | School visitation outside state:   |
|     | Xes  |
|     | Mo   |
|     | Not planned or encouraged  |
|     | Request has not companies.   |
|     | Time allowed:  |
|     | No designated emount   |
|     | ONE CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY |
|     | Substitute provided:   |
|     | Yes 7 No 4 Poid by school board 1  |
|     | School visitation days are cumulative in only three divisions.   |
| 5.  | Plans for subbuticul leave:  |
|     | Yes 11 No 52 No engaer 8   |
|     | Descriptions of the subbatical leave were as follows:  |
|     | Leave of absence without pay granted for additional college work   |

One-balf salary paid by school board--number of teachers not to exceed one per cent.

A leave is granted after five years of toaching.

After five years' service, a teacher is eligible for subbatical leave for balf year or full year on one-half salary.

After soven years' service, one year leave of obsence granted with one year full salary. One per cent of entire staff eligible for one year.

One year leave of absence is provided.

Limited plan for part componention for approved absence to study.

One teacher per year with \$500.00 stipend for advanced study.

## F. INDIVIDUAL SCHOOL FACILITY STUDY GROUPS

1. Departmental or grade level study groups during the year:

|     | Yes_53_            | No. 13                                | No answer_5_              |          |
|-----|--------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| 1 1 | Frequency of neet  | ing:                                  |                           |          |
|     | Monthly            | . 32                                  | 2 per year                | 2        |
|     | Four per year      | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Woodly                    | 3        |
|     | Two per month      | 6                                     | Two per semester          | 1        |
| •   | 8 to 12 per year.  | 1                                     | Ho answer                 | 6        |
|     | Length of meeting  |                                       |                           |          |
|     | Varies             | 14                                    | 30 to 40 minutes          | 3        |
| ,   | one to two hours.  | 31                                    | ווס בתבייסר               | 5        |
|     | When and where he  | ld:                                   |                           |          |
|     | After school       | 31                                    | Before and after school   | 15       |
|     | Night              | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | No onswer                 | ••• I    |
|     | Usually meet in so | hools or L                            | ocal buildings.           |          |
| 2.  | Individual school  | L <u>Coculty y</u>                    | rofessional reading grown | <u> </u> |
| •   | Yes I              | No_46                                 | No answer 18              |          |
|     |                    |                                       |                           |          |

3. Individual school faculty group cultural reading groups:
Yes 5 No 48 No ensuer 18

# 4. Other professional activities of individual school faculty groups:

Inter-school meeting and division meeting

In-porvice study

Hight classes held for college credit at one of schools each acmoster

Study of problems that are partiment to objectives of school

In-corvice meetings, guidance meetings

Regular faculty group meeting where county-vide problems or one peculiar to can school are studied

Somil discussion groups

Faculty meetings

Professional faculty meetings

Grade level study groups and projects, P-TA study groups

Extension college courses

Study groups led mostly by teachers

Regional and state conferences in subject natter area.

Attendance at state conference

Education association meetings, conferences by Virginia Education Association Virginia State Department of Education

Working on curriculum-coking course and unit outlines

Departmental meeting in high schools, faculty meetings for professional study

Each school has at least one school faculty meeting at which time matters concerning operation and instruction are discussed.

Local supervisors operate verishers for all teachers during year

Making studies of certain problems-planning a program of reading

| G. | SCHOOL | BOARD | APPROFRIATIONS | FOR | IN-SERVICE | FIREMATTON |
|----|--------|-------|----------------|-----|------------|------------|
|----|--------|-------|----------------|-----|------------|------------|

| 1.      | Appropriat                        | ions ar            | e modes |
|---------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| April 1 | A Marie Andrew Andrews House Inc. | many and the print |         |

|    | Teo 41 NO TA NO BIRAGE 4   |    |
|----|--|----|
|    | Some of the provisions made by the school board are:   |    |
|    | Instructional costs  | 4  |
|    | No definite amount   | 1  |
|    | Outside speakers, consultants or specialists to conduct extended study groups or one or two-day sossions   | 16 |
|    | Money is requested and appropriated upon request and pre-<br>sentation of plans  | 1  |
|    | Teachers who attend summer workshops are paid  | 1  |
|    | Two or three summer workshops—all cost borne by school board   | 3  |
|    | Experimentation, some conferences attendance expense   | 1  |
|    | State planone extension class per year   | 1  |
|    | Extension classespart or all expenses provided   | 12 |
|    | Materials for instruction  | 1  |
|    | \$3,000.00 provided for in-service training program  | 1  |
| 2. | Provisions of substitute teachers at school board expense<br>so that teachers may have in-service training programs<br>during school hours were reported as follows: |    |
|    | Yes 15 No 45 No answer 8   |    |
| 3. | Dismissal of children part or all day to carry out in-service training program:  | 90 |
|    | Yes 19 No 45 No enswer 7   |    |
|    | Explanations of dismissals as given on questionnaire:  |    |
|    | Schools are dismissed at noon three times per year for in-service meetings.  |    |
|    | Children are dismissed between semesters.  |    |

|    | in order to bring teachers together for special meetings  |
|----|---|
|    | Children are dismissed some whole days, some days one hour early.                                       |
|    | School is dismissed early to have textbook consultants talk.  |
|    | Elementary, junior and senior high schools each have one day in school calendarusually in subject area. |
|    | Children may be dismissed one hour early once or twice per year.  |
|    | Children are dismissed two days for in-service program.   |
|    | Days are set aside in the colomier for this.  |
|    | If in-service education program is county-vide, the children are dismissed.                             |
|    | Children are dismissed two hours early first Wednesday in each south.                                   |
|    | Children are dismissed at noon one day,   |
|    | Children are dismissed early several times a year.  |
|    | Children are dismissed early two days each session.   |
| 4. | Provisions for local education association to meet during the School hours:                             |
|    | Yes 9 No 56 Sometimes 2 No answer 4   |
|    | Arrangasento:   |
|    | Early dismissals 5 Two per year 1   |
|    | Night session 1 Arranged by superintendent 1  |
| 5* | Attendance at district, state and national meetings:  |
|    | Yes 68 No mover 3   |
|    | Opportunities for attending district, state and national conferences were as follows:                   |
|    | All are given opportunity   |
|    | All are given opportunity to attend state meetings 14   |
|    | Delegates are selected for state meetings   |
|    | Voluntary all attend district, less state, less national  |

| 6. | Workshops or institutes held during the school year:                         |
|----|--|
|    | Yes 37 No 18 No enswer 16  |
|    | Descriptions of the workshops were as follows:                               |
|    | They are usually after school or in the evening.                             |
|    | They are held whenever justified in the subject area.                        |
|    | Workshops are held in subject area each summer.                              |
|    | Workshops grow out of the various interest groups.                           |
|    | Workshops are held in the summer.  |
|    | Workshops are held in subject area, administrative and training.             |
|    | Two or three institutes are hold as occasion demands.                        |
|    | Frincipals' workshop is held during the year                                 |
|    | The workshops held were in math, art, reading, geography, music and writing. |
| 7. | Fifty-nine encouraged advanced work.   |
| 8. | Provisions for extension classes as reported by the various divisions:       |
|    | Some extension classes held in area each year 19                             |
|    | University of Virginia extension division classes 9                          |
|    | Arrangements are made with local education institution 6                     |
|    | Two or three classes a year that are supported by state funda                |
|    | Arranged at request of sufficient number of teachers 8                       |
|    | In-service training classes are arranged 2                                   |
|    | Many organised locallypart tuition poid 4                                    |
|    | Two provided each year under scholarship plan 1                              |
| 9. | Use of personnel files:  |
|    | To advise teachers on what college courses to pursus 9                       |
|    | Individuals are reminded to acquire increment college                        |

| Evaluation of preparation often leads to selection of in-service growth activities                         | 1 |
|--|---|
| Guidance by superintendent   | 3 |
| Recommendations are ande to teachers concerning courses, advanced work, certification in additional fields | 1 |
| Record of teachers' participation in activities kept   | 3 |
| Recommendations are made to teachers to take courses in accordance with indicated needs                    | 3 |
| Used as a record to keep tab on progress and certifica-  | 1 |
| Used to see that those who are taking course work receive proper credit                                    | 1 |
| Try to discover weakness and suggest further study   | 1 |

Faye Lowry Cauley, daughter of Mr. and Mrs. William McKinley Lowry of Hot Springs, Virginia, was born October 6, 1934. She received her diploma from Valley High School in Bath County, Virginia, in June, 1953. The following fall she entered Montreat College and received the Bachelor of Science degree in June, 1957.

After graduation from Montreat College, she taught the fifth grade for two years at Varina School, Henrico County, Virginia. She began graduate work at the University of Richmond in June, 1958.

She taught school in Alleghamy County from 1959 through 1961. In Alleghamy County she taught a fifth and sixth grade combination one year and a fifth grade section one year.

Following her marriage in August, 1961, to Lanier Stewart Cauley of Hot Springs, Virginia, she moved to Blacksburg, Virginia, where she taught the first grade at Belview Elementary School, Montgomery County, Virginia.

She has one son, Lonier Stewart Cauley, Jr.