

2012

# CBe<sub>5</sub>E– (E = Al, Ga, In, Tl): planar pentacoordinate carbon in heptaatomic clusters

Abril C. Castro

Gerardo Martinez-Guajardo

Thomas Johnson

Jesus M. Ugalde

Yan-Bo Wu

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.richmond.edu/chemistry-faculty-publications>

 Part of the [Other Chemistry Commons](#)

## Recommended Citation

Castro, Abril C., Gerardo Martinez-Guajardo, Thomas Johnson, Jesus M. Ugalde, Yan-bo Wu, Jose M. Mercero, Thomas Heine, Keilling J. Donald, and Gabriel Merino. "CBe<sub>5</sub>E (E = Al, Ga, In, Tl): Planar Pentacoordinate Carbon in Heptaatomic Clusters." *Physical Chemistry, Chemical Physics* 14 (2012): 14764-14768. doi:10.1039/c2cp40839b.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Chemistry at UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact [scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu](mailto:scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu).

---

**Authors**

Abril C. Castro, Gerarso Martinez-Guajardo, Thomas Johnson, Jesus M. Ugalde, Yan-Bo Wu, Jose M. Mercero, Thomas Heine, Kelling J. Donald, and Gabriel Merino

## CBe<sub>5</sub>E<sup>−</sup> (E = Al, Ga, In, Tl): planar pentacoordinate carbon in heptaatomic clusters†

Abril C. Castro,<sup>a</sup> Gerardo Martínez-Guajardo,<sup>ab</sup> Thomas Johnson,<sup>c</sup> Jesus M. Ugalde,<sup>d</sup> Yan-bo Wu,<sup>e</sup> José M. Mercero,<sup>f</sup> Thomas Heine,<sup>b</sup> Kelling J. Donald<sup>\*c</sup> and Gabriel Merino<sup>\*adg</sup>

Received 16th March 2012, Accepted 30th March 2012

DOI: 10.1039/c2cp40839b

A series of clusters with the general formula CBe<sub>5</sub>E<sup>−</sup> (E = Al, Ga, In, Tl) are theoretically shown to have a planar pentacoordinate carbon atom. The structures show a simple and rigid topological framework—a planar EBe<sub>4</sub> ring surrounding a C center, with one of the ring Be–Be bonds capped in-plane by a fifth Be atom. The system is stabilized by a network of multicenter  $\sigma$  bonds in which the central C atom is the acceptor, and  $\pi$  systems as well by which the C atom donates charge to the Be and E atoms that encircle it.

Molecules with planar pentacoordinate carbon (ppC) centers are oddities in chemistry. There is increasing theoretical evidence, however, that when metals surround carbon atoms it is possible for unusual coordinations to emerge and persist. Molecular systems with ppC atoms have been achieved computationally, for example, in hyparenes,<sup>1</sup> hydrocopper complexes,<sup>2</sup> boron–carbon clusters,<sup>3</sup> and in mixed metal–carbon clusters.<sup>4–6</sup> Unfortunately, most of those structures are just local minima on their corresponding potential energy surfaces (PES) such that they are impractical as targets for experimental detection, especially at the conditions under which such clusters are typically generated and studied.

In 2008, Pei *et al.* reported the first global minimum structure containing a ppC: CAI<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup>.<sup>7</sup> We recently found *in silico* that the most stable structures of the CAI<sub>4</sub>Be, CAI<sub>3</sub>Be<sub>2</sub><sup>−</sup> and CAI<sub>2</sub>Be<sub>3</sub><sup>2−</sup> clusters also possess ppC centers.<sup>8</sup> Those predictions prompted us to examine a wider range of small rings that combine beryllium with group 13 elements since such combinations of elements seem to provide excellent

(spatial and electronic) conditions for the stability of ppC centers in compounds.

We report herein a series of systems with the general formula CBe<sub>5</sub>E<sup>−</sup> (E = Al, Ga, In, Tl) that are minima (global minima for E = Al, and Ga) when the C atom is in a planar pentacoordinate environment, and which show substantial promise as experimentally attainable species. We found that for both spatial and electronic reasons the preference for a planar pentacoordinate carbon in the minimum energy isomer is quite sensitive to the identity of E. The prospects for the experimental identification of the lowest energy pentacoordinate systems are also discussed.

### Computational methods

Our computational procedure utilizes the *ab initio* Gradient Embedded Genetic Algorithm (GEGA)<sup>9,10</sup> to generate starting structures (at the B3LYP<sup>11,12</sup>/LANL2DZ<sup>13</sup> level), which are screened using density-functional theory (at the B3LYP/def2-TZVPP<sup>14</sup>) to establish a hierarchical ordering of the isomers based on the computed energies. Starting with the most competitive minimum energy structures from the previous steps, an evaluation of the relative energies at a high level *ab initio* method (CCSD(T)<sup>15</sup>/def2-TZVPP//B3LYP/def2-TZVPP) is used to identify the lowest energy isomer. All these computations were done using the Gaussian 09 suite of programs.<sup>16</sup>

To further confirm the thermal stability of the predicted ppC structures at room temperature, Born–Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD) calculations were performed using the deMon2k<sup>17</sup> program, employing the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) PW91<sup>18</sup> functional and the double zeta plus valence polarization (DZVP-GGA)<sup>19,20</sup> all-electron basis sets. The temperature in the canonical BOMD simulations was controlled by a Nosé chain thermostat.<sup>21,22</sup> For CBe<sub>5</sub>Al<sup>−</sup> and

<sup>a</sup> Universidad de Guanajuato, Noria Alta s/n, Guanajuato, Guanajuato C.P. 36050, Mexico. E-mail: gmerino@ugto.mx

<sup>b</sup> School of Engineering and Science, Jacobs University Bremen, Bremen, 28759, Germany

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, Gottwald Center for the Sciences, University of Richmond, Richmond, VA 23173, USA. E-mail: kdonald@richmond.edu

<sup>d</sup> Kimika Fakultatea, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU), and Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), P. K. 1072, 20080 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Institute of Molecular Science, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi 030006, China

<sup>f</sup> IZO-SGI Sgiker, Euskal Herriko Unibertsitatea (UPV/EHU), P. K. 1072, 20080 Donostia, Euskadi, Spain

<sup>g</sup> Ikerbasque, Basque Foundation for Science, 48011 Bilbao, Euskadi, Spain

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Molecular dynamics movie of the CBe<sub>5</sub>Al<sup>−</sup> cluster. See DOI: 10.1039/c2cp40839b

$\text{CBe}_5\text{Ga}^-$ , four trajectories were recorded at 300 K. The systems were sampled for 30 ps with 1 fs step size.

The induced magnetic field ( $\mathbf{B}^{\text{ind}}$ ) computations<sup>23,24</sup> were performed using the PW91 functional in conjunction with the DZVP basis sets for  $\text{CBe}_5\text{Al}^-$  and  $\text{CBe}_5\text{Ga}^-$ . The shielding tensors were computed using the IGLO<sup>25</sup> method. The deMon2k program<sup>17</sup> was used to compute the molecular orbitals, and the deMon-NMR package<sup>26</sup> for the shielding tensors. Induced magnetic fields are given in ppm of the external field applied perpendicular to the molecular plane. Assuming an external magnetic field of  $|\mathbf{B}^{\text{ext}}| = 1.0$  T, the unit of  $\mathbf{B}^{\text{ind}}$  is  $1.0 \mu\text{T}$ , which is equivalent to 1.0 ppm of the shielding tensor. In order to compute the induced magnetic fields the molecules were oriented so that the carbon atom is located at the origin of the coordinate system. The external field is applied perpendicular to the molecular plane.


## Structural preferences

The most stable  $\text{CBe}_5\text{E}^-$  ( $\text{E} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}, \text{In}, \text{Tl}$ ) structures within  $10 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  above the respective global minima are shown in Fig. 1. For  $\text{E} = \text{Al}$  and  $\text{Ga}$ , the planar pentacoordinate isomer **A** is the lowest energy structure, and it is the second lowest energy minimum on the PES for the  $\text{In}$  and  $\text{Tl}$  cases. The preferred structure, in the case of the  $\text{In}$  and  $\text{Tl}$  systems, **B** is an extraordinary three-dimensional cluster with a square pyramidal  $\text{CBe}_4$  fragment, but that is more stable by only 1.2 and 1.8  $\text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$  relative to structure **A** in Fig. 1 with the ppC center.

	<b>A</b>	<b>B</b>	<b>C</b>	<b>D</b>	<b>E</b>
Al	0.0	2.7	2.8	3.5	4.0
Ga	0.0	1.4	1.9	8.7	4.1
In	1.2	0.0	3.1	10.4	1.2
Tl	1.8	0.0	4.8	13.6	2.4
	<b>F</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>H</b>	<b>I</b>	<b>J</b>
Al	4.2	4.3	4.5	4.7	5.2
Ga	4.8	5.2	4.5	6.5	6.9
In	4.1	2.2	6.7	8.9	8.1
Tl	5.6	2.8 (1) <sup>b</sup>	8.9 (1) <sup>b</sup>	10.1	9.9
	<b>K</b>	<b>L</b>	<b>M</b>	<b>N</b>	
Al	7.0	9.4	10.4	12.8	
Ga	8.0	31.0	9.1	10.7	
In	3.3	33.1	7.2	12.4	
Tl	3.4	--- <sup>a</sup>	8.2	14.1	

<sup>a</sup>This structure does not converge. <sup>b</sup>In parentheses is the number of imaginary frequencies.

**Fig. 1**  $\Delta E$  ( $\text{kcal mol}^{-1}$ ) calculated at the CCSD(T)/def2-TZVPP//B3LYP/def2-TZVPP level for the most stable isomers of  $\text{CBe}_5\text{E}^-$  ( $\text{E} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}, \text{In}, \text{Tl}$ ).



**Fig. 2** Key geometrical parameters of the  $\text{CBe}_5\text{E}^-$  ( $\text{E} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}, \text{In}, \text{Tl}$ ) clusters. The bond lengths are given in Å units and have been computed at the B3LYP/def2-TZVPP level of theory.

Several other low energy isomers have been present for the  $\text{Ga}$ ,  $\text{In}$ , and  $\text{Tl}$  systems that are also identified on the PES of the  $\text{Al}$  system. It is quite remarkable, therefore, that the systems with planar pentacoordinate centers are found to be so competitive even for the  $\text{In}$  and  $\text{Tl}$  clusters that have a noticeably different ordering of the relative energies of the stable (local minima) conformations.

The structural parameters for isomer **A** are summarized in Fig. 2. For the rest of this discussion, we will focus on that geometry. The interatomic separation between the central  $\text{C}$  and the  $\text{Be}$  atoms in the ring (Fig. 2) ranges from 1.682 to 1.717 Å, depending on the identity of  $\text{E}$ . Recently, Wang *et al.* reported that planar tetracoordinate carbon arrangements can be achieved by employing multiple substituents on a framework of beryllium atoms,<sup>27</sup> despite its rather weak  $\pi$ -acceptor ability. In those cases, the reported  $\text{C}-\text{Be}$  bond lengths were somewhat shorter, ranging from 1.61 to 1.66.

For the  $\text{CBe}_5\text{Al}^-$  structure, the  $\text{C}-\text{Al}$  bond length is 2.233 Å. This separation is slightly longer than both the typical  $\text{C}-\text{Al}$  bond distance (of  $\sim 2.00$  Å) and the computed distance in the  $\text{CAI}_5^+$  structure (2.12 Å at the CCSD(T)/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory).<sup>7</sup> The longer  $\text{C}-\text{E}$  distances are unsurprising since the  $\text{Be}$  atoms limit, in fact, how closely the  $\text{E}$  atom can approach the  $\text{C}$  atom in the ring center.

The vibrational analysis of isomer **A** at the B3LYP level shows that the lowest energy modes of the clusters are 99 ( $\text{Al}$ ), 89 ( $\text{Ga}$ ), 77 ( $\text{In}$ ), and 72  $\text{ cm}^{-1}$  ( $\text{Tl}$ ), and all of them involve the displacement of the  $\text{C}-\text{E}$  or  $\text{Be}_3$  fragments out of the plane of the molecule. Born–Oppenheimer molecular dynamics (BOMD) simulations at the PW91/DZVP-GGA level support the thermal stability of the title species. The simulations were started from the equilibrium geometry, with random velocities assigned to the atoms. From the analysis of the structural evolution along the recorded trajectories, both simulations show that the ppC structure remains intact during the 30 ps run (see the movie included in the ESI†).

## Why the ppC geometry?

The central  $\text{C}$  atom in structure **A** is involved in a system of multicenter bonds to the five atoms, one  $\text{E}$  and four  $\text{Be}$ , surrounding it. The extra  $\text{Be}$  atom that is on the perimeter

of the five membered ring has no significant direct interaction with the C atom, even though some electron density from that atom is delocalized into the five membered EBe<sub>4</sub> ring.

The preference for this (EBe<sub>4</sub> + Be) structure over the alternative hexacoordinate EBe<sub>5</sub> ring or, for that matter, the (Be<sub>5</sub> + E) structure with E outside, is interesting. There is no obvious reason for the former structure to be preferred over the latter two alternatives. Yet, neither the hexacoordinate nor the (Be<sub>5</sub> + E) structure is even a local minimum for any of the CBe<sub>5</sub>E<sup>-</sup> structures considered. Isomer N in Fig. 1 is non-planar hexacoordinate, and E has a five membered ring with atom E outside the ring. But in both of those cases it is a Be atom that is at the ring center, not the C atom.

A possible explanation for the stability of A over the structural analogues of N and E with C in the center is the greater polarity and stability of the E–C bond over the bridging E–Be bonds that would otherwise be formed (compare the local bonding environments of the E atom in A vs. E). A six membered Be<sub>5</sub>E ring is unfavorable too, it seems, since the resulting ring turns out to be large and spatially incompatible with the small carbon atom.

Indeed, as we mentioned above, the C–E and C–Be bond lengths in all of the CBe<sub>5</sub>E<sup>-</sup> structures are already somewhat longer than the corresponding covalent single bond distances, and the individual C–E and C–Be Wiberg bond indices (WBIs)<sup>28</sup> are rather small, too. They range from 0.30 in CBe<sub>5</sub>Tl<sup>-</sup> to 0.42 in CBe<sub>5</sub>Ga<sup>-</sup>, and the C–Be indices (WBI<sub>C–Be1</sub> ≈ 0.52, WBI<sub>C–Be2</sub> ≈ 0.63) are quite independent of the identity of E. The interactions between the central C and the Be atom outside the five-membered ring are quite weak, and this is reflected in the rather small bond index (≈0.12). Taken together, these contributions give a total bond index for the central carbon atom of approximately 2.8. So, even though no single C–Be or C–E bond comes close to the nominal bond order of 1.0 for a free single bond, the fractional contributions from the individual C–E and C–Be interactions in structure A reinforce each other and stabilize the hypercoordinate structure.

The net charge transfer from the peripheral atoms to the central C atom in molecules is achieved primarily through the σ bonding framework. The natural population analysis (NPA)<sup>29</sup> charges on the C atoms are –2.18 (Al), –2.16 (Ga), –2.19 (In), and –2.20 (Tl). These charges are smaller, however, than those calculated for in CAI<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> (–2.9 |e|),<sup>7</sup> CAI<sub>4</sub>Be (–2.87|e|), and CAI<sub>3</sub>Be<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (–2.96|e|),<sup>8a</sup> and this is likely because Be is less electropositive than Al. Nonetheless, carbon is a σ acceptor in our title molecules, and this is partially off-set by some donation from its 2p<sub>z</sub> orbital to the π system of the surrounding ring. The valence orbital populations at C are (2s<sup>1.44</sup> 2p<sub>x</sub><sup>1.68</sup> 2p<sub>y</sub><sup>1.64</sup> 2p<sub>z</sub><sup>1.40</sup>) for CBe<sub>5</sub>Al<sup>-</sup>, and (2s<sup>1.44</sup> 2p<sub>x</sub><sup>1.69</sup> 2p<sub>y</sub><sup>1.62</sup> 2p<sub>z</sub><sup>1.39</sup>), (2s<sup>1.45</sup> 2p<sub>x</sub><sup>1.69</sup> 2p<sub>y</sub><sup>1.62</sup> 2p<sub>z</sub><sup>1.40</sup>), and (2s<sup>1.46</sup> 2p<sub>x</sub><sup>1.69</sup> 2p<sub>y</sub><sup>1.62</sup> 2p<sub>z</sub><sup>1.40</sup>) for E = Ga, In, and Tl, respectively. The higher 2p<sub>x</sub> and 2p<sub>y</sub> occupancies compared to the situation for the 2p<sub>z</sub> orbitals are the result of this π back-donation, which helps to stabilize the planar structure. Interestingly, the 1.4 |e| occupancies of the 2p<sub>z</sub> orbital of the ppCs in this work are 0.2 |e| smaller than they are in the CAI<sub>4</sub>Be, and CAI<sub>3</sub>Be<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ppC cluster.<sup>8a</sup> So, even though the σ-bonding is apparently weaker in the title compounds compared to the latter systems, the π interactions are a bit more substantial.

The valence molecular orbitals for the lightest member of the family, CBe<sub>5</sub>Al<sup>-</sup>, are depicted in Fig. 3. As expected for




Fig. 3 Occupied valence molecular orbitals of A.

planar hypercoordinate carbon systems<sup>30–33</sup> a significant contribution of the perpendicular 2p<sub>z</sub> orbital of the central C atom to the π-system in the molecule is observed. This is a primary feature of the 1b<sub>1</sub> orbital (Fig. 3) that involves the p<sub>z</sub> orbital on each atom of the five-membered ring. This MO is not solely responsible for the stability of the ppC system, however; as we confirm presently, the filling of this MO does not guarantee a preference for the planar structure. Given that the minimum energy structures with ppCs possess formally two delocalized π electrons, they satisfy the (4n + 2) Hückel rule. The HOMO–LUMO gap in the systems at the B3LYP level (5.74, 5.82, 5.66, 5.65 eV for Al, Ga, In, Tl, respectively) supports their stability. By all of these measures, the gallium compound seems to be especially stable: it has the shortest C–Be distances, the largest total WBI (2.81), and the largest HOMO–LUMO gap.

## Electron counts


Our experience with systems that contain planar tetra- and pentacoordinate C centers suggests that certain electron counts are crucial to the stability of molecules with C centers in non-classical coordination environments. Indeed, Schleyer made this point elegantly in his discussion of the CAI<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> system.<sup>7</sup> With its eighteen electrons, CBe<sub>5</sub>Al<sup>-</sup> has the same number of electrons as do the other small metal clusters, CAI<sub>4</sub>Be and CAI<sub>3</sub>Be<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>,<sup>8a</sup> and the CAI<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> cation that all have a planar pentacoordinate global minimum. The key difference here, however, is that the CBe<sub>5</sub>E<sup>-</sup> compounds are seven atom

rather than six atom systems with a somewhat more complicated geometry. So, there is no reason to expect the planar pentacoordinate ( $\text{CBe}_5\text{E}^-$ ) species considered in this work to be stabilized by the same 18 electron count. However, the basic fragment,  $\text{CBe}_4\text{E}$  ring hexatomic (which is common to the  $\text{CaI}_5^+$ ,  $\text{CaI}_4\text{Be}$  and  $\text{CaI}_3\text{Be}_2^-$  systems), is present in  $\text{CBe}_5\text{E}^-$ , and as the NPA data confirm (*vide supra*) the central C atom in the latter compound is stabilized by a net charge transfer from the peripheral Be and E atoms, which is also the case in the hexatomic systems studied previously. Moreover, the NPA charges suggests that the Be atom outside the ring is positive (see Fig. S1, ESI†). In principle, if we assume that the beryllium atom outside the five-membered ring acts as a dication, the total charge of  $\text{CBe}_4\text{E}$  is  $-3$  and thus the  $\text{CBe}_4\text{E}$  fragment has 18 electrons. In other words,  $\text{CBe}_5\text{E}^-$  could be described as the interaction of  $\text{CBe}_4\text{E}^{3-}$  and  $\text{Be}^{2+}$ . So, the same basic electronic driving force and of course the same number of electrons appear to be required to stabilize these heptatomic clusters.

### Electron delocalization

To improve our understanding of the electron delocalization in the  $\text{CBe}_5\text{E}^-$  anion we carried out a computational analysis of the ring current and possible aromaticity in the species. For this purpose, we considered two points in the structures, which are identified as ‘A’ and ‘B’ in Fig. 4. The graphs in that figure show the profiles along the  $z$ -axis for the  $\sigma$ - and  $\pi$ -contributions of the  $z$ -component of the induced magnetic field ( $B_z^{\text{ind}}$ ) (the NICS $_{zz}$  index plotted as a scalar field).<sup>34</sup> The  $B_z^{\text{ind}}$  value at the center of any three membered ring ( $B_z^{\text{ind}}(0)$ ) within the carbon centered five membered ring in  $\text{CBe}_5\text{Al}^-$  and  $\text{CBe}_5\text{Ga}^-$  is higher than  $-80$  ppm (see Fig. 4), approximately five times the value in benzene ( $-15.9$  ppm). Clearly, the  $\sigma$ -system is very diatropic (and similar to that reported for  $\text{Al}_4^{2-}$  and  $\text{CaI}_4^{2-}$ ).<sup>35,36</sup> Additionally, the contribution of the  $\pi$ -system is also diatropic, even though the effect is far smaller than it is for the  $\sigma$ -system. So, by this magnetic index, the ppC systems considered in this work could be classified as double aromatic although the  $\sigma$  contribution clearly dominates.

Moreover, our calculations reveal that the three-membered Be ring is also  $\sigma$ -aromatic, with a sizeable value of  $B_z^{\text{ind}}$  at the center of the ring of  $-35$  ppm, as shown in Fig. 4. A straightforward electron counting yields two valence electrons for this



**Fig. 4** Profile of the induced magnetic field,  $B_z^{\text{ind}}$ . The external field is  $|B^{\text{ext}}| = 1.0$  T and the units of the  $B_z^{\text{ind}}$  are ppm or  $\mu\text{T}$ .

three-membered ring, which (in accordance with the molecular orbital description of aromaticity in all-metal rings)<sup>37</sup> occupy the delocalized  $\sigma$ -type valence molecular orbital  $3a_1$  of Fig. 3. This accounts for the  $\sigma$ -aromatic and the negligible  $\pi$ -aromaticity of this ring as revealed by the calculated induced magnetic field on a line perpendicular to the ring and passing through the center of the ring.<sup>38</sup>

### Summary and conclusion

In summary, we propose two planar pentacoordinate carbon species, which are global minima for their stoichiometries and are likely to exist in gas phase. The structures show a simple and rigid topological framework—a planar  $\text{EBe}_4$  ring surrounding a C center, with one of the ring Be–Be bonds capped in-plane by a fifth Be atom. The system is stabilized by a network of multicenter  $\sigma$  bonds in which the central C atom is the acceptor, and  $\pi$  systems as well by which the C atom donates charge to the Be and E atoms that encircle it. We find that the planar structures are double ( $\sigma$  and  $\pi$ ) aromatic. The presence of a global minimum energy ppC-containing cluster raises hope that this species can be prepared experimentally even at moderate to high temperatures. The limitations of working experimentally with Be do not escape us, but the systems we have considered provide a model for developing stable planar pentacoordinate systems and demonstrate that even for heptaatomic main group clusters a planar C center is feasible. The 18 electron preference proposed by Schleyer and Boldyrev<sup>39</sup> for the five-membered systems extends to these slightly larger clusters as well, but they are stabilized by isolating one of the Be atoms from the ring structure, and engaging its electron density in the more dominant five membered ring system to which it is attached.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in Guanajuato by Conacyt (Grant 57892). Technical and human support provided by IZO-SGI, SGIker (UPV/EHU, MICINN, GV/EJ, ERDF and ESF) is gratefully acknowledged. Financial support of project REA-FP7-IRSES TEMM1P (GA 295172) is gratefully acknowledged. K.J.D. was supported by The Jeffress Memorial Trust (Award J-903) and an NSF-CAREER award (CHE-1056430). T.J. thanks the Faculty of Arts and Sciences of the University of Richmond for a summer research fellowship. A.C.C. and G.M.-G. thank Conacyt for the Master and PhD fellowship, respectively.

### Notes and references

- Z. X. Wang and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Science*, 2001, **292**, 2465.
- S. D. Li, C. Q. Miao and G. M. Ren, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 2232.
- J. X. Liang, W. H. Jia, C. J. Zhang and Z. X. Cao, *Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin.*, 2009, **25**, 1847.
- Q. Luo, *Sci. China, Ser. B: Chem.*, 2008, **51**, 1030.
- W. Yamaguchi, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2010, **110**, 1086.
- A. D. Zdetsis, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**.
- Y. Pei, W. An, K. Ito, P. v. R. Schleyer and X. C. Zeng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 10394.
- (a) J. O. C. Jimenez-Halla, Y. B. Wu, Z. X. Wang, R. Islas, T. Heine and G. Merino, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 8776; (b) Y.-B. Wu, Y. Duan, H.-G. Lu and S.-D. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2012, **116**, 3290.

- 9 A. N. Alexandrova, A. I. Boldyrev, Y.-J. Fu, X. Yang, X.-B. Wang and L.-S. Wang, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2004, **121**, 5709.
- 10 A. N. Alexandrova and A. I. Boldyrev, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2005, **1**, 566.
- 11 C. T. Lee, W. T. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1988, **37**, 785.
- 12 A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 5648.
- 13 W. R. Wadt and P. J. Hay, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **82**, 284.
- 14 F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **7**, 3297.
- 15 J. A. Pople, M. Head-Gordon and K. Raghavachari, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1987, **87**, 5968.
- 16 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. Montgomery, J. A. J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, N. J. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Knox, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, Ö. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 09*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, 2009.
- 17 A. M. Köster, P. Calaminici, M. E. Casida, V. D. Dominguez, R. Flores-Moreno, G. Geudtner, A. Goursot, T. Heine, A. Ipatov, F. Janetzko, J. M. del Campo, J. U. Reveles, A. Vela, B. Zuniga-Gutierrez and D. R. Salahub, *The deMon developers*, Cinvestav, Mexico City, 2006.
- 18 J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter*, 1992, **45**, 13244.
- 19 N. Godbout, D. R. Salahub, J. Andzelm and E. Wimmer, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1992, **70**, 560.
- 20 P. Calaminici, F. Janetzko, A. M. Koster, R. Mejia-Olvera and B. Zuniga-Gutierrez, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2007, **126**, 044108.
- 21 S. Nosé, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1984, **81**, 511.
- 22 S. Nosé, *Mol. Phys.*, 1984, **52**, 255.
- 23 G. Merino, T. Heine and G. Seifert, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2004, **10**, 4367.
- 24 R. Islas, T. Heine and G. Merino, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2012, **45**, 215.
- 25 W. Kutzelnigg, U. Fleischer and M. Schindler, *The IGLO-Method: Ab Initio Calculation and Interpretation of NMR Chemical Shifts and Magnetic Susceptibilities*, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1990.
- 26 V. G. Malkin, O. L. Malkina and D. R. Salahub, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1993, **204**, 80.
- 27 Z.-X. Wang, C.-G. Zhang, Z. Chen and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1332.
- 28 K. B. Wiberg, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, **24**, 1083.
- 29 A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock and F. Weinhold, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **83**, 735.
- 30 R. Hoffmann, R. W. Alder and C. F. Wilcox Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 4992.
- 31 J. B. Collins, J. D. Dill, E. D. Jemmis, Y. Apeloig, P. v. R. Schleyer, R. Seeger and J. A. Pople, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1976, **98**, 5419.
- 32 R. Keese, *Chem. Rev. (Washington, D. C.)*, 2006, **106**, 4787.
- 33 G. Merino, M. A. Méndez-Rojas, A. Vela and T. Heine, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2007, **28**, 362.
- 34 T. Heine, R. Islas and G. Merino, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2007, **28**, 302.
- 35 R. Islas, T. Heine and G. Merino, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2007, **3**, 775.
- 36 A. C. Castro, M. Audiffred, J. M. Mercero, J. M. Ugalde, M. A. Mendez-Rojas and G. Merino, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2012, **519–520**, 29.
- 37 S. Giri, D. R. Roy, S. Duley, A. Chakraborty, R. Parthasarathi, M. Elango, R. Vijayaraj, V. Subramanian, R. Islas, G. Merino and P. K. Chattaraj, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2010, **31**, 1815.
- 38 J. M. Mercero, I. Infante and J. M. Ugalde, in *Aromaticity and Metal Clusters*, ed. P. K. Charraraj, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2011.
- 39 P. v. R. Schleyer and A. I. Boldyrev, *Chem. Commun.*, 1991, 1536.