

University of Richmond UR Scholarship Repository

Finance Faculty Publications

Finance

2012

Extending the Arnold-Eisemann Algorithm for Pro Forma Circularity with a Specific Mix of New Debt and New Equity

Tom Arnold University of Richmond, tarnold@richmond.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://scholarship.richmond.edu/finance-faculty-publications

Part of the <u>Finance and Financial Management Commons</u>, and the <u>Management Sciences and Quantitative Methods Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Arnold, Tom. "Extending the Arnold-Eisemann Algorithm for Pro Forma Circularity with a Specific Mix of New Debt and New Equity." *Advances in Financial Education* 10, no. 1&2 (2012): 95-99.

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Finance at UR Scholarship Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Finance Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of UR Scholarship Repository. For more information, please contact scholarshiprepository@richmond.edu.

Extending the Arnold-Eisemann Algorithm for Pro Forma Circularity with a Specific Mix of New Debt and New Equity

Tom Arnold University of Richmond

Arnold and Eisemann (2008) developed an algorithm that calculates the value of long-term debt when long-term debt is considered the "plug" or "slack" term within a pro forma analysis. In this paper, the algorithm is presented in a slightly different form and adjusted for the use of a target mix of new debt and new stock.

INTRODUCTION:

Pro forma analysis is a method for forecasting financial statements that can be used for budgeting purposes or for valuation purposes. Generally, revenues or sales grow at a particular rate and other portions of the income statement and balance sheet either grow at the same rate as sales (or computed as a proportion of sales) or at individual rates. The level of long-term debt or the level of stock on the balance sheet is determined in a manner to make the balance sheet "balance." This is achieved by having all other items determined and then have the long-term debt, stock, or a combination of both set as a "plug" figure (or slack term) to make the assets equal the liabilities and the equity. Any account associated with the plug figure is interpreted as the source of funding to support the sales growth.

When using long-term debt as the plug figure, generally a circularity issue occurs when considering only accounts in the current period:

- long-term debt requires information about total equity and total assets
- total equity requires information about retained earnings
- retained earnings requires information about paid to retained earnings
- paid to retained earnings requires information about taxable income
- taxable income requires information about the interest expense on long-term debt
- interest expense on long-term debt requires knowledge of long-term debt which returns one to the first bullet point.

Iteration routines will solve for the long-term debt value, however, Arnold and Eisemann (2008) developed an algorithm that uses current and past information to solve for the "debt-plug" directly.

$$A = \text{Total assets}_{t} - \text{Current liabilities}_{t} - \text{Total equity}_{(t-1)}$$
 (1)

$$B = -EBIT_{1} \times (1 - Tax \ rate_{1}) \times (1 - Dividend \ payout \ ratio_{1})$$
 (2)

$$C = [1 - Interest rate_t \times (1 - Tax rate_t) \times (1 - Dividend payout ratio_t)]$$
 (3)

Debt plug_t =
$$(A + B) \div C$$
 (4)

where EBIT = revenues - operating expenses - depreciation

In this paper, the Arnold-Eisemann algorithm is extended to allow for a target mix of debt and stock as the means for raising funds to support the sales growth. The target mix will be measured using the proportion of the funds that is debt (%D). The algorithm extension is provided in the next section and is followed by the conclusion of the paper.

EXTENDING THE ARNOLD-EISEMANN ALGORITM

Because the desire is to raise new capital with a specific mix of debt and equity using %D, the Arnold-Eisemann algorithm has to be adjusted to only be applied to new debt (Δ Debt plug_t). Consequently, the debt plug becomes the previous long-term debt (Long-term debt_(t-1)) plus Δ Debt plug_t.

Debt plug_t = Long-term debt_(t-1) +
$$\Delta$$
Debt plug_t (5)

Based on equation (4):

$$\Delta \text{Debt plug}_{t} = [(A + B) \div C] - \text{Long-term debt}_{(t-1)}$$
 (6)

Keeping C as it is currently defined, define X and Y as follows:

$$X = Total assets_t - Current liabilities_t - Long-term debt_{(t-1)}$$
 (7)

$$Y = -[Total equity_{(t-1)} + (EBIT_t - Interest_{(t-1)}) \times$$
(8)

$$(1 - \text{Tax rate}_t) \times (1 - \text{Dividend payout ratio}_t)$$

$$\Delta \text{Debt plug}_t = [(X + Y) \div C] \tag{9}$$

The mix of new debt and new equity is calculated based on %D (note: the Equity plug is the additional amount of stock that is raised to fund the growth):

Equity
$$plug_t = Stock_{(t-1)} + \Delta Stock plug_t$$
 (10)

$$\Delta \text{Stock plug}_t = (X + Y) \div [1 + {\%D} \div (1 - {\%D}) \times C]$$
 (11)

Debt plug_t = Long-term debt_(t-1) +
$$\Delta$$
Debt plug_t (12)

$$\Delta Debt \ plug_t = (X + Y) \div [\{(1 - \%D) \div \%D\} + C]$$
 (13)

A demonstration of the adjusted algorithm with D = 80% is displayed in Table 1.

Table 1. Pro Forma Financial Statements with %D = 80%

Panel A: Inputs		
Revenue growth rate [g(rev)]:	10%	
Cost of Goods Sold growth rate [g(COGS)]:	8%	
Salary, General, Administrative growth rate	2%	
[g(SGA)]:		
Depreciation periods*:	20	
Interest rate on debt:	8%	
Tax rate:	40%	
Dividend Payout Ratio:	20%	
Current Assets growth rate [g(C/A)]:	10%	
Fixed Assets growth rate $[g(F/A)]$:	10%	
Current Liabilities growth rate [g(C/L)]:	10%	

Panel B: Pro Forma Financial Statements

000.00 ^a
SU UUP
00.00
020.00°
00.00^{d}
00.00
62.50 ^e
637.50
555.00 ^f
982.50
96.50^{g}
786.00
r 1:
600.00^{h}
000.00^{i}
100.00
900.00
500.00
550.00 ^j
531.20
632.80
786.00

\$ 22,500.00

\$ 21,000.00

Total Liabilities and Equity:

Table 1. Pro Forma Financial Statements with %D = 80% (continued)

```
%D = 80\%
 X = $22,500.00 - $550.00 - $9,000.00 = $12,950.00
 Y = -[\$11,500.00 + (\$2,400.00 - \$720.00) \times (1 - 40\%) \times (1 - 20\%) = -
 $12,306,40
 C = [1 - 8\% \times (1 - 40\%) \times (1 - 20\%)] = 0.9616
 (X + Y) = $643.60
 \DeltaStock plug = $643.60 ÷ [1 + {80% ÷ (1 - 80%)} × 0.9616] = $132.80
 Common Stock (Equity plug) = $10,500.00 + $132.80 = $10,632.80
 \Delta Debt plug = \$643.60 \div [\{(1 - 80\%) \div 80\%\} + 0.9616] = \$531.20
 Long-term debt (Debt plug) = $9,000.00 + $531.20 = $9,531.20
 Depreciation is assumed to be straight-line based on 20 periods
a = Revenues (Year 0) \times (1 + g(Rev)) = $10,000.00 \times (1 + 10\%)
^{b} = Cost of Goods Sold (Year 0) × (1 + g(COGS)) = $6,000.00 × (1 + 8%)
^{\circ} = Salary, General, Administrative (Year 0) × (1 + g(SGA)) = $1,000.00 × (1 + 2%)
<sup>d</sup> = Fixed Assets (Year 1) ÷ Depreciation periods = $22,000.00 ÷ 20
<sup>e</sup> = Long-term Debt (Year 1) × Interest rate on debt= $ 9,531.20 × 8%
f = EBT (Year 1) \times Tax rate = $1,637.50 \times 40\%
g = EAT (year 1) × Dividend Payout Ratio = $ 982.50 × 20%
^{h} = Current Assets (Year 0) × (1 + g(C/A)) = $6,000.00 × (1 + 10%)
i = Fixed Assets (Year 0) \times (1 + g(F/A)) = $20,000.00 \times (1 + 10\%)
j = Current Liabilities (Year 0) \times (1 + g(C/L)) = $500.0 \times (1 + 10\%)
```

If preferred, defining Q as $[\%D \div (1 - \%D)]$ simplifies equations (11) and (13):

$$\Delta Stock \ plug_t = (X + Y) \div [1 + Q \times C]$$

$$\Delta Debt \ plug_t = Q \times (X + Y) \div [1 + Q \times C]$$

$$\Delta Debt \ plug_t = Q \times \Delta Stock \ plug_t$$
(15)

Q is similar to a debt-to-equity ratio, however, in this case it is new debt divided by new equity (or the proportion of new debt funding divided by the proportion of new stock funding). Q equals 4.0 when %D is 80% (i.e. $4.0 = 80\% \div [1 - 80\%]$) and fits into Table 1 in the following manner:

$$\Delta$$
Stock plug_t = \$643.60 ÷ [1 + 4.0 × 0.9616] = \$132.80 (16)
 Δ Debt plug_t = 4.0 × \$132.80 = \$531.20 (17)

CONCLUSION

The purpose of this paper is to extend the Arnold-Eisemann algorithm to allow for a specific mix of new debt and new equity to support sales growth in a pro forma analysis. The topic is advanced compared to traditional pro forma analysis and can be incorporated into a curriculum or the assessment of a curriculum in a number of ways for AACSB purposes:

- used as a means to assess aptitude with pro forma analysis in that one will
 not be able to grasp nor perform the Arnold-Eisemann algorithm nor the
 extension without a firm basic understanding of pro forma analysis
- used as a means of assessing the implications of the funding choice for new growth...if the firm decides to use only debt, the additional funding based on equation (13) will be \$669.30...if the firm decides to use only equity, the additional funding based on equation (14) is \$643.60... this could also be used as an assessment of testing "critical thinking" in regard to this topic
- used as a means to advance the understanding of the concept of "additional funds needed" (AFN, Brigham and Houston (2011)) when considering sales growth of "g"
- AFN = [Total assets_(t-1) × (1 + g) ÷ Sales_(t-1)] × Δ Sales
 - [Total liabilities_(t-1) × (1 + g) ÷ Sales_(t-1)] × Δ Sales
 - Net profit $\operatorname{margin}_{(t-1)} \times \operatorname{Sales}_{(t-1)} \times (1+g) \times (1-\operatorname{Dividend} \text{ payout ratio})$

AFN (using Table 1 values) = \$589.16

The intention here is to demonstrate how much of an approximation AFN actually is (Note: Winkler (1994) also provides content in this area)

Whether used as a means of developing pro forma statements or as a means of assessing pro forma statement/AFN acumen, the extension of the Arnold-Eisemann algorithm provides a rich context for the classroom at the undergraduate and MBA level.

REFERENCES

Arnold, T. and P. Eisemann, 2007, "Debt Financing Does NOT Create Circularity Within Pro Forma Analysis," *Advances in Financial Education*, V. 6 (Summer), 96–102.

Brigham, E. and J. Houston, 2011, Fundamentals of Financial Management, Concise Edition (Thomson South-Western, Mason, OH).

Winkler, D., 1994, "Financing Costs of Additional Funds Needed: A Modified Equation Approach.", *Financial Practice and Education*, Vol. 4, No. 1, 149-154.

2012 99